Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

Agency Name: **District Government-Wide Cumulative FOIA Report**

FOIA Officer Reporting: **Victor L. Reid**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period	10,913
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022	1,542
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023	1,426
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023	51.54

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole	3,199
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part	2,657
7. Number of requests denied, in whole	992
8. Number of requests withdrawn	707
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies	681
10. Other disposition ("No responsive documents")	2,793

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1) (Trade Secrets)	384
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2) (Personal Privacy)	2878
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3) (Law Enforcement)	
Subcategory (A) (Records that would interfere with enforcement proceedings; Council investigations; or Office of Police Complaints ongoing investigations)	371

Subcategory (B) (Records that deprive persons of a right to a fair trial or an impartial adjudication)	155
Subcategory (C) (Records that constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy)	1,103
Subcategory (D) (Records that would disclose the source of a confidential source)	82
Subcategory (E) (Records that would disclose investigative techniques)	50
Subcategory (F) (Records that would endanger the life or physical safety of law enforcement personnel)	16
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) (Agency Communications)	243
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5) (Future Examination Questions)	2
16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6) (Information Exempt from Disclosure by Statute)	
Subcategory (A) (Requires that the matters be withheld from the public in a manner as to leave no discretion	140
Subcategory (B) (Establishes criteria for withholding)	19
17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7) (National Security Matters under Federal Law)	0
18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8) (Antitrust Investigations)	0
19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9) (Arson Investigations)	0
20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10) (Emergency Response Plans)	7
21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11) (Social Security and Federal employee identification numbers)	26

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12) (Whistle blower unless the name of the employee is already known to the public) 1

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days 7,883

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days 1,247

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more 1,833

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests 12.00

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests 72,241

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests $3,725,422.84

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body $28,714.32

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act 0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

The District-wide FY 2023 FOIA Report includes an unweighted average for the "Avg. number of days unfulfilled requests pending on 9/30/2023". The unweighted average is based solely on the average numbers reported by each agency.

Agency Acronyms	Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period	Number of FOIA requests pending on 10/1/2022	Number of FOIA requests pending on 9/30/2023	Avg number of days unfulfilled requests pending on 9/30/2023	Number of requests granted in whole	Number of requests granted in part denied in part	Number of requests denied in whole
ABCA	241	30	20	18.2	61	139	3
ANC	21	0	0	0	12	7	2
BEGA	13	0	0	0	1	0	4
CAB	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CFSA	20	3	2	0	3	0	11
CIC	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CJCC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CJDT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DACL	9	0	1	4	1	1	3
DBH	29	1	1	12	5	6	1
DC Council	32	7	5	14.6	11	19	1
DC Health	590	16	39	34.7	336	37	7
DC Water	105	35	33	44	35	24	0
DCA	1	0	0	0	1	0	0
DCBOE	180	7	4	3	68	56	0
DCCAH	4	27	0	0	2	0	27
DCHA	112	14	32	164	65	16	12
DCHFA	29	0	0	0	9	0	0
DCHR	54	2	5	4.6	10	16	7
DCNG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCOZ	106	0	0	0	3	1	0
DCPC	65	0	0	0	3	19	25
DCPL	28	0	2	168.5	8	8	10
DCPS	101	15	11	75	26	23	16
DCRB	25	3	1	21	6	11	8
DDOT	454	18	12	8.63	105	87	2
DDS	8	0	0	0	3	0	2
DFHV	12	3	5	125	3	3	1

Agency Acronyms	Number of requests withdrawn	Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies	Other disposition	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(1)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(2)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory A	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory B	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory C
ABCA	2	3	43	55	142	2	1	3
ANC	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
BEGA	1	0	7	0	3	3	1	3
CAB	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
CFSA	0	0	7	0	0	0	0	0
CIC	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0
CJCC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CJDT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DACL	0	0	4	1	3	0	0	0
DBH	0	3	14	0	6	0	0	0
DC Council	0	0	3	0	18	0	0	2
DC Health	21	131	35	0	28	0	0	0
DC Water	43	0	5	7	16	0	0	0
DCA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCBOE	5	2	49	0	2	0	0	0
DCCAH	0	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
DCHA	0	0	1	4	8	0	0	0
DCHFA	0	17	3	0	0	0	0	0
DCHR	9	0	9	0	8	0	0	0
DCNG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCOZ	2	26	74	0	0	0	0	0
DCPC	0	0	18	0	43	2	0	42
DCPL	0	0	0	2	4	1	0	0
DCPS	9	5	26	5	21	0	0	0
DCRB	0	0	2	14	2	0	0	0
DDOT	9	18	239	11	76	0	0	0
DDS	1	2	0	0	2	0	0	0
DFHV	0	2	1	0	1	0	0	0

Agency Acronyms	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory D	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory E	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory F	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(4)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(5)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(6) Subcategory A	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(6) Subcategory B
ABCA	1	2	2	2	1	0	0
ANC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
BEGA	1	2	1	3	0	2	0
CAB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CFSA	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
CIC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CJCC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CJDT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DACL	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
DBH	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
DC Council	0	0	0	10	0	0	0
DC Health	0	0	0	8	0	3	0
DC Water	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
DCA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCBOE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCCAH	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCHA	0	0	0	16	0	0	0
DCHFA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCHR	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
DCNG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCOZ	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
DCPC	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
DCPL	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
DCPS	0	0	0	14	0	0	0
DCRB	0	0	0	1	0	0	6
DDOT	0	0	0	23	0	0	0
DDS	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
DFHV	0	0	0	0	0	0	0

Agency Acronyms	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(7)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(8)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(9)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(10)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(11)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(12)	Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days	Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days
ABCA	0	0	0	0	26	0	131	33
ANC	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	4
BEGA	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	1
CAB	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
CFSA	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	6
CIC	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
CJCC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
CJDT	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DACL	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	1
DBH	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	4
DC Council	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	5
DC Health	0	0	0	0	0	0	512	32
DC Water	0	0	0	1	0	0	8	6
DCA	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
DCBOE	0	0	0	0	0	0	160	12
DCCAH	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
DCHA	0	0	0	0	0	0	23	19
DCHFA	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	1
DCHR	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	3
DCNG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DCOZ	0	0	0	0	0	0	106	0
DCPC	0	0	0	0	0	0	65	0
DCPL	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	24
DCPS	0	0	0	2	0	0	54	20
DCRB	0	0	0	0	0	0	13	7
DDOT	0	0	0	1	0	0	412	34
DDS	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	0
DFHV	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	1

Agency Acronyms	Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more	Median number of days to process FOIA Requests	Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests	Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests	Total amount of fees collected by public body
ABCA	87	10.15	2,080.00	$0.00	$0.00
ANC	4	18	369	$12,697.10	$0.00
BEGA	0	14	49	$3,164.00	$0.00
CAB	0	0.083	2	$174.80	$0.00
CFSA	7	20	N/A	$0.00	$0.00
CIC	0	3	0	$0.00	$0.00
CJCC	0	0	0	$0.00	$0.00
CJDT	0	0	0	$0.00	$0.00
DACL	1	10	72	$399.68	$0.00
DBH	2	5.5	N/A	$0.00	$0.00
DC Council	13	17.5	553	$54,538.43	$0.00
DC Health	23	1	3,875	$265,000.00	$0.00
DC Water	93	66	1,473	$82,159.60	$293.00
DCA	0	13	3	$261.08	$0.00
DCBOE	3	5	100	$0.00	$14.00
DCCAH	27	623.5	0	$0.00	$0.00
DCHA	52	37	1,500	$60,000.00	$0.00
DCHFA	0	14	40	$4,000.00	$0.00
DCHR	1	3	287	$14,339.00	$0.00
DCNG	0	0	0	$0.00	$0.00
DCOZ	0	10	47	$2,929.00	$0.00
DCPC	0	2	98	$5,182.65	$0.00
DCPL	1	15	26	$115,215.70	$0.00
DCPS	31	15	4,481.60	$266,171.00	$384.00
DCRB	7	18	65	$0.00	$0.00
DDOT	14	6	3,640	$190,034.00	$140.00
DDS	0	7.5	7.5	$220.50	$0.00
DFHV	3	19	400	$22,508.65	$0.00

Agency Acronyms	Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act	Qualitative Reports
ABCA	0	
ANC	0	
BEGA	0	
CAB	0	
CFSA	0	
CIC	0	
CJCC	0	
CJDT	0	
DACL	0	
DBH	0	
DC Council	0	
DC Health	0	
DC Water	0	
DCA	0	
DCBOE	0	
DCCAH	0	
DCHA	0	
DCHFA	0	
DCHR	0	
DCNG	0	
DCOZ	0	
DCPC	0	
DCPL	0	
DCPS	0	
DCRB	0	
DDOT	0	
DDS	0	
DFHV	0	

Agency Acronyms	Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period	Number of FOIA requests pending on 10/1/2022	Number of FOIA requests pending on 9/30/2023	Avg number of days unfulfilled requests pending on 9/30/2023	Number of requests granted in whole	Number of requests granted in part denied in part	Number of requests denied in whole
DFS	14	6	0	35	2	4	5
DGS	109	7	1	0	50	15	1
DHCD	233	8	8	10.74	27	100	2
DHCF	50	30	33	370	13	10	14
DHS	49	0	4	4.5	21	1	2
DISB	31	0	1	16	2	4	5
DLCP	348	0	20	0	143	50	3
DME	9	23	29	457	0	0	0
DMOI	1	0	0	0	0	1	0
DMPED	53	34	4	73	16	14	22
DMPSJ	30	0	2	17.5	0	8	20
DMV	116	5	3	11	52	22	34
DOB	952	0	46	7.9	430	258	4
DOC	159	1	1	10	79	11	16
DOEE	700	402	332	139.6	425	37	1
DOES	74	184	56	18	43	5	32
DPR	34	3	16	119	8	9	0
DPW	94	25	36	189	22	10	40
DSLBD	11	3	1	15.17	0	7	1
DYRS	5	0	0	0	1	0	0
EOM	75	128	97	299.83	8	15	1
FEMS	1491	0	29	5	398	538	16
HBX	2	0	0	29	0	0	2
HSEMA	113	22	34	420	20	1	0
JNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MOLC	62	1	0	0	1	5	5
MPD	2611	358	381	0	296	823	442
OAG	126	8	17	19	41	21	9

Agency Acronyms	Number of requests withdrawn	Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies	Other disposition	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(1)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(2)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory A	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory B	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory C
DFS	0	6	3	0	3	2	0	2
DGS	10	6	33	1	4	0	0	0
DHCD	1	61	42	75	93	0	0	0
DHCF	0	10	0	4	0	0	0	0
DHS	1	16	4	0	1	0	0	0
DISB	0	0	19	5	5	0	0	0
DLCP	3	25	104	4	36	2	0	1
DME	0	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
DMOI	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
DMPED	5	0	26	5	5	0	0	0
DMPSJ	0	4	0	4	5	0	0	0
DMV	0	0	10	0	0	0	0	0
DOB	21	6	187	81	240	0	0	73
DOC	3	13	37	0	20	8	7	9
DOEE	85	5	217	30	6	1	0	0
DOES	51	8	63	0	7	0	0	0
DPR	4	0	0	0	9	0	0	0
DPW	1	5	5	0	0	0	0	0
DSLBD	0	0	5	6	8	0	0	0
DYRS	1	2	1	0	0	0	0	0
EOM	28	10	44	0	12	0	0	0
FEMS	2	5	503	0	545	3	0	0
HBX	0	0	0	0	2	1	0	1
HSEMA	1	0	79	0	0	0	0	0
JNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MOLC	2	0	50	0	10	4	0	4
MPD	314	197	516	3	1188	274	124	915
OAG	4	10	24	2	24	2	0	1

Agency Acronyms	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory D	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory E	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory F	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(4)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(5)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(6) Subcategory A	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(6) Subcategory B
DFS	0	2	0	0	0	0	0
DGS	0	0	0	10	0	6	0
DHCD	0	0	0	5	0	0	0
DHCF	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DHS	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
DISB	0	0	0	0	0	2	0
DLCP	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
DME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DMOI	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
DMPED	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
DMPSJ	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
DMV	0	0	0	0	0	19	0
DOB	73	0	0	25	0	0	0
DOC	1	1	0	4	0	0	0
DOEE	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DOES	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DPR	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
DPW	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DSLBD	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DYRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
EOM	0	0	0	12	0	0	0
FEMS	0	4	0	0	0	2	0
HBX	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
HSEMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
JNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MOLC	0	0	0	4	0	0	0
MPD	4	13	12	18	0	0	0
OAG	1	2	0	10	1	0	0

Agency Acronyms	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(7)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(8)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(9)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(10)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(11)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(12)	Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days	Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days
DFS	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	5
DGS	0	0	0	0	0	0	11	93
DHCD	0	0	0	0	0	0	195	11
DHCF	0	0	0	0	0	0	38	1
DHS	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	7
DISB	0	0	0	0	0	0	29	1
DLCP	0	0	0	0	0	0	182	143
DME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DMOI	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
DMPED	0	0	0	0	0	0	28	7
DMPSJ	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	3
DMV	0	0	0	0	0	0	91	19
DOB	0	0	0	0	0	1	738	165
DOC	0	0	0	0	0	0	132	12
DOEE	0	0	0	0	0	0	349	78
DOES	0	0	0	0	0	0	140	19
DPR	0	0	0	0	0	0	8	2
DPW	0	0	0	0	0	0	47	12
DSLBD	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	1
DYRS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	2
EOM	0	0	0	0	0	0	27	3
FEMS	0	0	0	0	0	0	1405	49
HBX	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1
HSEMA	0	0	0	0	0	0	66	22
JNC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
MOLC	0	0	0	0	0	0	61	1
MPD	0	0	0	0	0	0	1808	226
OAG	0	0	0	0	0	0	31	16

Agency Acronyms	Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more	Median number of days to process FOIA Requests	Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests	Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests	Total amount of fees collected by public body
DFS	4	15	N/A	$0.00	$0.00
DGS	11	12	1892	$132,899.00	$0.00
DHCD	7	11	107	$4,460.00	$0.00
DHCF	8	4	N/A	N/A	$0.00
DHS	21	21	392	$22,594.00	$0.00
DISB	0	0	380	$19,448.40	$0.00
DLCP	3	18	2080	$212,985.00	$0.00
DME	3	477	15	$879.00	$0.00
DMOI	1	40	14	$929.00	$0.00
DMPED	48	28.5	835	$40,000.00	$0.00
DMPSJ	2	3	N/A	N/A	$0.00
DMV	8	28	100	$7,640.00	$130.00
DOB	3	13	6,240	$354,692.00	$15,624.00
DOC	15	15	2,400	$95,000.00	$64.25
DOEE	343	21	2,166	$91,000.00	$610.00
DOES	43	21	1000+	$10,000.00	$28.25
DPR	11	26	800	N/A	$0.00
DPW	24	16	190	$0.00	$0.00
DSLBD	2	10	N/A	N/A	$1,504.00
DYRS	2	25	10	$0.00	$0.00
EOM	76	189.5	600	$27,000.00	$0.00
FEMS	8	4	3000	$106,000.00	$0.00
HBX	1	29	12	$1,332.00	$0.00
HSEMA	13	9	202	$12,051.32	$0.00
JNC	0	0	0	$0.00	$0.00
MOLC	1	2	N/A	N/A	$0.00
MPD	554	4	22,880	$1,120,619.50	$2,955.00
OAG	62	29	656	$37,013.76	$1,115.76

Agency Acronyms	Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act	Qualitative Reports
DFS	0	
DGS	0	
DHCD	0	
DHCF	0	
DHS	0	
DISB	0	
DLCP	0	
DME	0	
DMOI	0	
DMPED	0	
DMPSJ	0	
DMV	0	
DOB	0	
DOC	0	
DOEE	0	
DOES	0	
DPR	0	
DPW	0	
DSLBD	0	
DYRS	0	
EOM	0	
FEMS	0	
HBX	0	
HSEMA	0	
JNC	0	
MOLC	0	
MPD	0	
OAG	0	

Agency Acronyms	Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period	Number of FOIA requests pending on 10/1/2022	Number of FOIA requests pending on 9/30/2023	Avg number of days unfulfilled requests pending on 9/30/2023	Number of requests granted in whole	Number of requests granted in part denied in part	Number of requests denied in whole
OAH	34	19	1	30	23	7	3
OCA	28	0	4	9.75	0	4	4
OCFO	222	16	26	140	108	17	23
OCME	12	0	0	0	1	2	6
OCP	142	24	14	35	42	43	19
OCTFME	6	0	1	54	1	0	0
OCTO	11	0	2	9.5	1	0	0
ODR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHR	76	14	6	8.66	8	34	29
OIG	21	1	0	4	5	2	15
OLRCB	2	1	1	202	2	0	0
OP	54	2	9	124.33	12	2	0
OPC	2	0	0	0	2	0	0
ORM	17	1	3	95	2	5	0
OS	7	0	0	0	2	0	3
OSSE	58	8	7	383	15	13	3
OTA	7	0	0	0	0	1	3
OUC	371	23	24	35	70	79	61
OVSJG	3	0	1	18	1	1	0
PCSB	20	2	0	0	15	3	1
PERB	5	0	1	43	0	0	0
PSC	7	0	0	0	0	2	3
RPTAC							
SBOE							
SCDC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UDC	15	2	2	20	14	0	0
UMC							

Agency Acronyms	Number of requests withdrawn	Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies	Other disposition	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(1)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(2)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory A	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory B	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory C
OAH	1	4	14	0	7	0	0	0
OCA	2	12	6	0	1	3	1	1
OCFO	4	11	49	20	26	0	0	0
OCME	0	0	3	0	8	0	0	1
OCP	5	20	23	40	39	0	0	0
OCTFME	2	0	3	0	0	0	0	0
OCTO	0	7	1	0	0	0	0	0
ODR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHR	0	0	13	0	46	37	18	44
OIG	0	0	0	0	1	2	2	1
OLRCB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OP	0	0	33	0	2	0	0	0
OPC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORM	0	6	2	0	3	1	0	0
OS	0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
OSSE	0	11	17	3	13	1	0	0
OTA	0	0	3	0	4	0	0	0
OUC	52	6	103	0	114	22	1	0
OVSJG	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
PCSB	2	0	1	0	4	0	0	0
PERB	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
PSC	0	0	2	2	1	0	0	0
RPTAC								
SBOE								
SCDC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UDC	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0
UMC								

Agency Acronyms	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory D	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory E	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory F	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(4)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(5)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(6) Subcategory A	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(6) Subcategory B
OAH	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
OCA	1	1	1	2	0	0	0
OCFO	0	0	0	4	0	15	11
OCME	0	0	0	1	0	8	0
OCP	0	0	0	22	0	0	0
OCTFME	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OCTO	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ODR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHR	0	13	0	17	0	55	0
OIG	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
OLRCB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OP	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OPC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
ORM	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
OS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OSSE	0	0	0	1	0	6	0
OTA	0	0	0	3	0	0	0
OUC	0	4	0	0	0	0	0
OVSJG	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PCSB	0	0	0	2	0	2	0
PERB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
PSC	0	0	0	1	0	0	0
RPTAC							
SBOE							
SCDC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UDC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UMC							

Agency Acronyms	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(7)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(8)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(9)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(10)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(11)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(12)	Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days	Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days
OAH	0	0	0	0	0	0	5	26
OCA	0	0	0	0	0	0	17	3
OCFO	0	0	0	0	0	0	79	60
OCME	0	0	0	0	0	0	12	0
OCP	0	0	0	0	0	0	121	24
OCTFME	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	0
OCTO	0	0	0	0	0	0	9	0
ODR	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OEA	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OHR	0	0	0	0	0	0	80	4
OIG	0	0	0	0	0	0	20	2
OLRCB	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
OP	0	0	0	0	0	0	46	1
OPC	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
ORM	0	0	0	0	0	0	14	1
OS	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2
OSSE	0	0	0	2	0	0	45	6
OTA	0	0	0	0	0	0	7	0
OUC	0	0	0	0	0	0	279	0
OVSJG	0	0	0	1	0	0	1	0
PCSB	0	0	0	0	0	0	16	3
PERB	0	0	0	0	0	0	3	1
PSC	0	0	0	0	0	0	6	1
RPTAC								
SBOE								
SCDC	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
UDC	0	0	0	0	0	0	10	1
UMC								

Agency Acronyms	Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more	Median number of days to process FOIA Requests	Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests	Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests	Total amount of fees collected by public body
OAH	21	16	103	$7,259.44	$0.00
OCA	8	6	0	$0.00	$0.00
OCFO	73	22	1,677.50	$0.00	$0.00
OCME	0	1	24	$1,800.00	$0.00
OCP	7	13.5	2454	$140,950.00	$3,009.75
OCTFME	3	27.5	40	$2,462.94	$0.00
OCTO	0	4	9	$449.59	$0.00
ODR	0	0	0	$0.00	$0.00
OEA	0	0	0	$0.00	$0.00
OHR	0	5	N/A	N/A	$0.00
OIG	0	10	1403	$72,306.00	$0.00
OLRCB	2	202	8	$0.00	$0.00
OP	0	3	71	$3,650.05	$0.00
OPC	1	14	14	$726.40	$0.00
ORM	0	8	286.25	$15,194.57	$0.00
OS	0	5	66	$40.00	$2,842.31
OSSE	8	12	N/A	$0.00	$0.00
OTA	0	10	7.25	$383.37	$0.00
OUC	59	9	1480	$56,923.00	$0.00
OVSJG	1	29	80	$5,043.76	$0.00
PCSB	3	15	275	$17,400.00	$0.00
PERB	0	12	1	$0.00	$0.00
PSC	0	15	44.5	$2,343.72	$0.00
RPTAC					
SBOE					
SCDC	0	0	0	$0.00	0
UDC	4	9	58	$2,951.83	$0.00
UMC					

Agency Acronyms	Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act	Qualitative Reports
OAH	0	
OCA	0	
OCFO	0	
OCME	0	
OCP	0	
OCTFME	0	
OCTO	0	
ODR	0	
OEA	0	
OHR	0	
OIG	0	
OLRCB	0	
OP	0	
OPC	0	
ORM	0	
OS	0	
OSSE	0	
OTA	0	
OUC	0	
OVSJG	0	
PCSB	0	
PERB	0	
PSC	0	
RPTAC		
SBOE		
SCDC	0	
UDC	0	
UMC		

Agency Acronyms	Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period	Number of FOIA requests pending on 10/1/2022	Number of FOIA requests pending on 9/30/2023	Avg number of days unfulfilled requests pending on 9/30/2023	Number of requests granted in whole	Number of requests granted in part denied in part	Number of requests denied in whole
Totals	10913	1542	1426	51.54	3199	2657	992

** The Office of the Secretary (OS) did not receive FY 2023 FOIA Reports for 3 agencies (highlighted in yellow).

Agency Acronyms	Number of requests withdrawn	Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies	Other disposition	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(1)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(2)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory A	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory B	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory C
Totals	707	681	2793	384	2878	371	155	1103

**** The Office of the Secretary (OS) did not receive FY 2023 FOIA Reports for 3 agencies (highlighted in yellow).**

Agency Acronyms	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory D	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory E	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(3) Subcategory F	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(4)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(5)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(6) Subcategory A	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(6) Subcategory B
Totals	82	50	16	243	2	140	19

**** The Office of the Secretary (OS) did not receive FY 2023 FOIA Reports for 3 agencies (highlighted in yellow).**

Agency Acronyms	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(7)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(8)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(9)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(10)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(11)	Exemption DC Official Code 2-534(a)(12)	Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days	Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days
Totals	0	0	0	7	26	1	7883	1247

**** The Office of the Secretary (OS) did not receive FY 2023 FOIA Reports for 3 agencies (highlighted in yellow).**

Agency Acronyms	Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more	Median number of days to process FOIA Requests	Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests	Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests	Total amount of fees collected by public body
Totals	1833	12.00	72241	$3,725,422.84	$28,714.32

** The Office of the Secretary (OS) did not receive FY 2023 FOIA Reports for 3 agencies (highlighted in yellow).

Agency Acronyms	Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act	Qualitative Reports
Totals	0	

** The Office of the Secretary (OS) did not receive FY 2023 FOIA Reports for 3 agencies (highlighted in yellow).

Agency Name

Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Jocelyn de Castro, Domingo Juan

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....241
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……. 30
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….20
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….18.2

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole…….…...61
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….139
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……3
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….2
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….....3
10. Other disposition …… ……. 43

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....55
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....142
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……...2
    - Subcategory (B)……...1
    - Subcategory (C)……..……..…3
    - Subcategory (D) ……..1
    - Subcategory (E) ……....2
    - Subcategory (F) ……...…2
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...……2
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....1

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..……...0.

Subcategory (B)……0.

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….……....0.

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……26

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…….0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…… ……131

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……. 33

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….87

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……..……10.15

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……2,080.0

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

In re: Exemptions - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(e), there are 4 requests to which this exemption must be applied. In re: total of Questions 23 through 25, the sum is 251 which equal the number of FOIA requests processed.

The Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration [ABCA] receives FOIA requests on a daily basis. Most are time sensitive due to the board hearings that are conducted weekly. ABCA strives to provide excellent customer service to meet and exceed customer expectations.

Agency Name

Advisory Neighborhood Commission

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Kathy S. Williams, Esq.

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……21.....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……0..……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……0.…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……0..…….

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……12……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……7.……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……2..……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……0……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….0……...
10. Other disposition ……0 ……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……0..…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……1..…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…….…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……0……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……0..…….…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0..…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0..…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……0...……...…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……0..…….....

Subcategory (B)……0..……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0..…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……13……

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……4..……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……4…..……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……18..……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……369..……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests..$12,697.10

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating provisions of the
District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……0..…….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The OANC is happy to report for this period fewer days in processing FOIA requests. More ANCs have partnered with OANC to quickly respond to FOIA requests with an OANC Staff Person as FOIA Officer or in requesting same as a consultant. Additionally, many potential requestors are finding that the information sought, on most occasions, may be located on the new websites created by OANC. FOIA transparency has heightened among the ANCs; and, for those two (2) requests – noted above – which were denied and

appealed, the OANC was upheld by the MOLC.

Agency Name

BEGA
Board of Ethics and Government Accountability

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Sheree DeBerry

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....13
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...1
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……4
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….1
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……7

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....3
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….3
    - Subcategory (B)……1
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……3
    - Subcategory (D) ……..…….....1
    - Subcategory (E) …….....2
    - Subcategory (F) …… 1
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...……3
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..……....2

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0.

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……12

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……1

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……14

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……49

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……$3,164.

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……...0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

BEGA processed thirteen (13) FOIA requests during FY 2023 in a manner consistent with FOIA's statutory requirements.

DC Contract Appeals Board (CAB)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Mark D. Poindexter, General Counsel

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....1
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..1

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..0
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0
    - Subcategory (C) …….……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) ……0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……1

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……...0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……0.083

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……2

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$174.80

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The DC Contract Appeals Board received one (1) FOIA request during FY23, and satisfied that request consistent with the requirements of the statute.

Agency Name

Child & Family Services Agency

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Wendy Singleton

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……20…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……3……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…2……
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……3……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……0……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……11……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……0……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……0……...
10. Other disposition ……7……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….
    - Subcategory (C) …….……
    - Subcategory (D) …….....
    - Subcategory (E) …….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..…….9.

Subcategory (B)……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……8…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……6……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……7…..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……20……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……unknown……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……0……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……0……...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……0…..

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

A statutory time frame of 15 days or 25 is not adequate to cover requests that seek significant quantities of records. Tracking time spent on requests is a burden on staff so is not captured. Staff focus on getting the records to the FOIA Officer rather than tracking time spent searching and compiling.

CIC

DC Corrections Information Council

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Nicole Ukaegbu

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....1
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...1
10. Other disposition ……..0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..
    - Subcategory (B)…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……
    - Subcategory (D) …….....
    - Subcategory (E) …….....
    - Subcategory (F) …….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……1

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……3

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……0

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

On June 7, 2023, A reporter from the Washington Post responded to a memo regarding an incident at the DC Jail. The memo referenced footage from the abovementioned incident. The footage in question belonged to the DOC, so the reporter's request was forwarded to the DOC for further review and processing.

Criminal Justice Coordinating Council (CJCC)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Kristy Love, Executive Director

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……..... 0
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……... 0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……. 0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..
   NA

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...NA
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..NA
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……NA
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..NA
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...NA
10. Other disposition …….. NA

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....NA
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……..... NA
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…… NA
    - Subcategory (B)…… NA
    - Subcategory (C) ……..…… NA
    - Subcategory (D) ……..….. NA
    - Subcategory (E) ……..... NA
    - Subcategory (F) …… NA
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……... NA
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..……..... NA

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..……NA

Subcategory (B)…… NA

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……..... NA

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….... NA

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……..... NA

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……..... NA

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)…… NA

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…… NA

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…… NA

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….. NA

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…… NA

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……..… NA

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……0

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The CJCC did not receive any FOIA requests during FY23.

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA
COMMISSION ON JUDICIAL DISABILITIES AND TENURE

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Cheryl Bozarth, Executive Director

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……0…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……0……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……0…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30,
   2023……N/A……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0..
    - Subcategory (B)……0.
    - Subcategory (C) ……0……
    - Subcategory (D) …….....0.
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0..
    - Subcategory (F) ……0.
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0.

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0.

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0.

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0.

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0..

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……..0.

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……0.

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….0.

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….0.

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……0

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……0

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……..0.

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..…0.

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

CJDT did not receive any FOIA request for the reporting period.

Agency Name

Department of Aging and Community Living

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Darrell Chase**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period: **9 requests.**
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022: **0 pending.**
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023: **1 pending**.
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023: **4 days**.

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole: **1.**
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part **1.**
7. Number of requests denied, in whole: **3.**
8. Number of requests withdrawn: **0.**
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies: **0.**
10. Other disposition: **4, closed – other reason.**

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1): **1.**
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2): **3.**
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3): **0.**
    - Subcategory (A): **0.**
    - Subcategory (B): **0.**
    - Subcategory (C): **0.**
    - Subcategory (D): **0.**
    - Subcategory (E): **0.**
    - Subcategory (F): **0.**
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4): **2.**
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5): **0.**

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6): **2.**

    Subcategory (A): **0.**

    Subcategory (B): **0.**

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7): **0.**

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8): **0.**

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9): **0.**

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10): **0.**

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11): **0.**

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12): **0.**

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days: **7.**

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days: **1.**

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more: **1.**

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests: **10.**

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests: **72 hours.**

28. Total dollar amount expended by the public body for processing FOIA requests: **$399.68.**

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body: **$0.00.**

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act: **0 persons.**

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Unlike other District Government agencies, the Department of Aging and Community Living (DACL) receives minimal FOIA requests throughout the fiscal year. Any FOIA requests received prior to August 2023 were processed in an average of ten days. The FOIA request received after August 2023 was processed in 26 days or more due to the absence of an agency FOIA Officer, which is typically our agency's general counsel. This FOIA request has now been processed within 24 hours of appointing a FOIA Officer. In FY2024, DACL will strive to process all received FOIA requests within a timely fashion.

Department of Behavioral Health (DBH)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting: Matthew Caspari, General Counsel

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....29
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...1
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……1
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..……12..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...5
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….6
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……1
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……....3
10. Other disposition ……14.

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....6
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)……..0
    - Subcategory (A)…….0.
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0.
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……..0
    - Subcategory (D) ……..….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0.
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…...1
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……....0..

Subcategory (B)……..0.

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0.

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0.

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…….…0.

.

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….23

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..4

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..2

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……5.5

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……N/A

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…… N/A

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Comparing FY23 to the preceding three years, DBH received less FOIA requests. Most requests were processed within the allotted 15 days. During FY23 there were many various types of FOIA requests. There seemed to be no specific trend. The majority of our requests were categorized under "Other", which were requests for Medical Records or forwarded to other Agencies. Some requests DBH had no responsive records. Other requests were for expenditures related to St. Elizabeths Hospital.

Agency Name

DC Council

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Nicole L. Streeter

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …32…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……7……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…5…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……14.6

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……11……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……19……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……1……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……0……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……0……...
10. Other disposition ……3……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……0…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……18…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…….
    - Subcategory (C) …2……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) …10……...…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)…0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……16…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…5……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……13……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……17.5…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……553

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$54,538.43

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The number of FOIA requests received by the Council in FY23 is in line with the number of requests received in FY22. As in prior years, many of the requests the Council receives are broadly worded and each may require dozens of staff hours to process as searches can yield thousands of results. Additionally, because many requests seek records from the entire Council, additional staff time is needed to coordinate the search for records with each individual Council office.

Department of Health

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Phillip Husband

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....590
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….. 16
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……. 39
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….34.7

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...336
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….. 37
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 7
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….. 21
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….. 131
10. Other disposition …….. 35

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....28
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….0
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..…….…0
    - Subcategory (D) …….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …… 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..….... 8
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..……..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…… 3

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……..0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….512

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….. 32

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….. 23

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…… 1

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… est. 3,875

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……est. $265,000

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… $0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..…. 0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

FOIA volume decreased by approximately 12%. Some FOIA requests were often complex, multi-part, and resource consuming. 90% of FOIA requests were completed within 15 FOIA days; 96% of FOIA requests were completed within 25 FOIA days. FOIA requests completed on or after the 26th FOIA day were the result of the FOIA Officer not having timely received responsive documents or not having sufficient resources to process the FOIA requests.

DC Water

District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Victoria A. Fleming**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……105…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……35……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……33…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……44……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……35……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……24……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……43……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……0……...
10. Other disposition ……5……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……7…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……16…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0…..……
    - Subcategory (B)……..……0…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……0……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……..……0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……..…0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……1……..…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0…..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……0…….....

Subcategory (B)……0……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……1…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……..8……

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……6……...

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……93……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……66…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……1,473……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……$82,159.60……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……$293.00……..……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……0……..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Annual Report October 1, 2022 – September 30, 2023. The Freedom of Information Act requests received by the District of Columbia Water and Sewer Authority (the "Authority") represents a cross section of requests from the homeowners, businesses, and organizations. These requests include procurement inquiries for bid information, requests from Environmental organizations, the local media and preliminary discovery requests in potential litigation. Requests were also received from homeowners concerning inspections performed and sewer backups. A number of these requests involved extensive research.

DCA
Office of the
DC Auditor

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Amy Bellanca

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period 1
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022..... 0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023..... 0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023..... 0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole..... 1
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part..... 0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole..... 0
8. Number of requests withdrawn..... 0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies..... 0
10. Other disposition 0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)..... 0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A).....0
    - Subcategory (B).....0
    - Subcategory (C) 0
    - Subcategory (D) 0
    - Subcategory (E) .....0.
    - Subcategory (F) 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) 0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)…….0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0.

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……. 1

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….. 0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….. 0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…… 13

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… 3

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……... $261.08

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… $0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..…0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

This was a year with only one official FOIA request, so no issues.

Agency Name

DCBOE – Board of Elections

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Tonisha Erskine**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……...180
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….7
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……...……4
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….……3

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole…….…….68
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..…56
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…….……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……. ….5
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...2
10. Other disposition ……....49

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……...0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……....2
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……...….0
    - Subcategory (B)……0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..…….0
    - Subcategory (D) ……..0
    - Subcategory (E) ……....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...….0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……160

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……12

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..3

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……5

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……100

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……. $14

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

This report reflects the Board of Elections' commitment to providing the general public with full access to information regarding the Board's operation and adherence to the principals embodied in the Freedom of Information Act. The Board looks forward to providing excellent service to the public in FY 2024.

DC Commission on the
Arts and Humanities
(DCCAH)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting David Esteban Martinez, DCCAH General Counsel

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....4
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...27
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..... 0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...2
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……27
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..……..2

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3) ……..…0

    Subcategory (A)…….0
    Subcategory (B)…….0
    Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    Subcategory (D) …….....0
    Subcategory (E) …….....0
    Subcategory (F) ……0
    Subcategory (B)…….……0
    Subcategory(C) ……..……0
    Subcategory (D) …….....0
    Subcategory (E) …….....0

Subcategory (F) ……...….0

14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0

15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory

(A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)…….0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)…….0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…….0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….4

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..27

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……623.5

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……0

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

In FY23, DCCAH processed a significant number (27) of outstanding FOIA requests. These requests were submitted in prior fiscal years but were improperly handled by previous FOIA officers. Once they were identified, OGC made every effort to contact the requesters and close requests if no responses were provided. All other requests were handled within the statutorily mandated timeframe and were either granted or denied for insufficient information from the requester.

District of Columbia Housing Authority

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Andrea Powell**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....112
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...14
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….32
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..164

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...65
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..16
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……12
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..1

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....4
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....8
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….0
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0
    - Subcategory (C) …….……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..16
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……23

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..19

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..52

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……37

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……1,500 – 2,000 (estimate only)

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$60,000 (estimate only)

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

The District of Columbia Housing Authority (DCHA) Annual Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) Report contains detailed summary statistics of the activities conducted in the administration of FOIA, including the number of requests received and processed by the agency. The length of time required to process FOIA requests increased from Fiscal Year (FY) 2022, as did the number of still pending requests, and the length of time unfulfilled requests have been pending. DCHA attributes this to a roughly 45% increase in the number of FOIA requests received in FY 2023, and a reduction of internal processing staff.

In addition, the complexity of FOIA requests received has increased, as has the volume of responsive material to be reviewed to process certain requests, particularly requests for email communications. Requests from media sources and legal entities in particular have tended to be more complex and result in more potentially responsive documents for review. Notable examples include a pair of requests from one requester that included 26 and 42 separate parts and sub-parts of various document request categories. Another request which was appealed to the Mayor's Office of Legal Counsel (MOLC) was characterized by MOLC as "asking for any and all stored data about 6156 public housing units."

FOIA requests for emails have increased from both media and non-media sources seeking a broad scope of communications spanning multiple persons, topics, and years. These requests have resulted in thousands, and in some cases tens of thousands, of potentially responsive emails to undergo legal review for possible exemptions under DC Code § 2–534, as well as federal laws applicable to DCHA, including the Privacy Act of 1974, Pub Law No. 93-579, 88 Stat 1896 (Dec. 31, 1974). DCHA has sometimes (but not always) been successful in discussing a narrowed scope of communications search and review with a FOIA requester in these situations, though even narrowed search scopes frequently still result in thousands of potentially responsive communication emails and attachment files for legal review. A single request of this type can result in dozens of hours of staff time to execute an electronic communications search, process potentially responsive files, undergo legal review, and produce non-exempt files and materials.

DCHA notes that it is unable to limit voluminous requests by passing on the costs of review and production (of which all is electronic in regard to email communications) to media requesters under DC Code § 2–532. In August 2023, DCHA sought additional guidance from the District's Board of Ethics and Government Accountability, Office of General Counsel, which confirmed DCHA's internal analysis regarding the barriers to limiting the scope of voluminous requests, and the inability to pass on ballooning costs of review for media FOIA requests.

In an effort to increase the processing efficiency of FOIA requests, and reduce the length of time required to process requests, DCHA is currently reviewing procurement of additional software tools that will facilitate more efficient processing and review of certain FOIA requests, in particular requests for emails.

District of Columbia Housing Finance Agency (DCHFA)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting: Jasmine Jackson

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....29
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2023……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...9
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….....17
10. Other disposition ……..3

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….0
    - Subcategory (B)…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) ……..…....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….28

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..1

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……14

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……40

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$4000

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The DC Housing Finance Agency received more requests in FY 2023 than FY 2022. Processing times were roughly the same as the previous year. There were no staff changes in FY 2023.

Agency Name

Department of Human Resources

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Lauren Scott, Attorney Advisor

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……54…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……2……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……5…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……4.6……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……10……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……16……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…7……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……9……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…0……...
10. Other disposition ……9……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……0…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……8…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……0……..……
    - Subcategory (D) …0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) …3……..…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……0…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……0……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……47…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……3……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……1……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……3…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……287……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…$14,339……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……$0……...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act …0……..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

In FY2023, DCHR received 54 FOIA requests. There were 2 requests pending from the 2022 fiscal year. Five requests were pending at the end of the 2023 fiscal year. Therefore, the agency processed a total of 51 FOIA requests in FY2023. The number of requests received in FY2023 was slightly lower than FY2022, in which 64 FOIA requests were received. Forty-seven of the requests received were processed within 15 business days as directed by D.C. Code § 2-532(c)(1).

Agency Name

DC National Guard (DCNG)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Vakisa V. Bragg**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……0…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……0……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……0…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……0……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……0……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……0……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……0……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……0……...
10. Other disposition ……0……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……0…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……0…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory A)……0……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…….
    - Subcategory(C) ……0…….……
    - Subcategory (D) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……0……..…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory A)……0…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……0……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……0…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…0……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……0……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……0…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……0……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……0……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……0……...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……0……..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The DC National Guard has been in compliance with the provisions of the Act.

Agency Name

DC OFFICE OF ZONING (DCOZ)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Tracey Rose**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……..... 106
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...3
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..1
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..2
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...26
10. Other disposition ……....74

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……...0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3) ……..…0
    - Subcategory (A)……0
    - Subcategory (B)……0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) ……..…0
    - Subcategory (E) ……...0
    - Subcategory (F) ……0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..…1
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..…....0

Subcategory (B)…….……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……106

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…..……10

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……47

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$2,929

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

All requests received responses from DCOZ within the 15-day period. In FY 2023, many requests contained multiple inquiries and sought information in the purview of other agencies, particularly the Department of Buildings (DOB) or Department of Energy & Environment (DOEE). DCOZ had no responsive information for many of the requests (Other Disposition). Most requested information was available in the public domain (website).

Office of Police Complaints

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Jacqueline Hazzan

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....65
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….N/A

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...3
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..19
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……25
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..18

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....43
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….2
    - Subcategory (B)…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……42
    - Subcategory (D) ……..…....0
    - Subcategory (E) ……..…....3
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...……0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..……..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….65

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……2

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……98

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……$5,182.65

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The Office of Police Complaints (OPC) is in compliance with the D.C. Freedom of Information Act.

Agency Name

District of Columbia Public Library (DCPL)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting James Kevin McIntyre

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period: 28
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022: 0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023 2
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023: 168.5

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole: 8
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part: 8
7. Number of requests denied, in whole: 10
8. Number of requests withdrawn: 0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies: 0
10. Other disposition: 0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1): 2
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2): 4
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3): 1
    - Subcategory (A): 1
    - Subcategory (B): 0
    - Subcategory (C): 0
    - Subcategory (D): 0
    - Subcategory (E): 0
    - Subcategory (F): 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4): 5
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5): 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6): 0

Subcategory (A): 0

Subcategory (B): 0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7): 0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8): 0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9): 0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10): 0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11): 0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12): 0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days: 1

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days: 24

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more: 1

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests: 15

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests 26

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests: $115,215.70

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body: $0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act

0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

In FY23, DCPL processed 26 FOIA requests. The majority of the requests were for incident reports and surveillance footage from the DCPL Office of Public Safety, and bidding documents and contracts from the DCPL Office of Procurement. DCPL encourages requesters to submit requests through the portal for efficiency and statistical purposes.

Agency Name

District of Columbia Public Schools

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Eboni J. Govan**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……101.....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……15……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…… 11…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…… 75……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……26……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……23……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 16……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……9……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……5……...
10. Other disposition …… 26……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……5…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…… 21…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) ……..…....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) ……0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……14..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory

(A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……2….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)…….0..

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….54…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……20……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……31…..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……15……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……4,481.6…

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……266,171…...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……384.00……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act …….0…..

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT – DCPS ANNUAL FOIA REPORT FY 2023

DCPS processed 105 FOIA requests in FY 23. DCPS continues to process a considerable number of voluminous and complex requests, involving large email searches, documents, and data. A sizable number of these FOIA requests come from media entities, including NBC Washington, WUSA, and WJLA. DCPS has continued to maintain efficiency with the completion of requests by utilizing all available human capital resources, including one team member whose sole purpose is sending acknowledgment letters, and responding to requests that are able to be closed immediately (if the FOIA request is improper or the request must be filed with another agency). The FOIA team also continued to engage DCPS employees who serve as POCs for FOIA requests. This engagement includes meetings to discuss complicated or voluminous requests and discussions to clarify the responsibility of agency staff in performing searches to respond to requests. The FOIA team continues to be proactive in providing requesters with periodic updates on the status of requests and in contacting requesters to obtain clarity on requests when necessary.

There were several large FOIA requests in FY23 that consumed inordinate amounts of processing time. Also, during FY 23, the DCPS FOIA Officer also spent significant time involved with FOIA litigation arising out of a FOIA request that was filed in FY22 (Advocates in Justice for Education v D.C.). Despite these challenges, DCPS processed the majority of the requests received in FY 23 within the statutorily prescribed time limits. DCPS will continue to improve the ways in which resources are expended to achieve timely processing of all FOIA requests.

DCPS continues to implement a fee schedule. However, the majority of the requests DCPS receives are from the media, so the opportunity to collect fees is limited. DCPS collected $384.00 in fees in FY 23 for three FOIA requests. DCPS has experienced much better outcomes with fee collection since adjusting the collection process wherein responses are not provided to requesters until their payments have been received.

Agency Name

DC Retirement Board (DCRB)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Emily Baver Bowie, Interim General Counsel

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....25
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...3
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……1
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..21

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...6
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..11
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……8
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..2

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....14
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....2
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..0
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..1
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)……...6

17.Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….13

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……...7

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..7

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……18

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……65

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...Unknown

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The majority of FOIA requests DCRB receives pertain to investment matters and related materials. To ensure full transparency and facilitate responding to these requests, DCRB posts publicly available information regarding its investment activity and fund performance on its website.

Agency Name

D.C. Department of Transportation

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Karen R. Calmeise**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……**454**…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……18……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…… 12…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……8.63 days……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……105……..
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……87……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……2……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……9……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…18……...
10. Other disposition ……239……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……11…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……76…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……0……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……23……...…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0…..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……0…….....

Subcategory (B)……0……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……1…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….412…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……34……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……14……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……6…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……3,640……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…190,034

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body…….$140.00...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……0 ..…

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The 2023 DDOT FOIA report includes one request that recorded processed days as 229 days. The high number of processed days was an error. DDOT FOIA team could not close the request for some reason. We alerted the FOIAXpress technician to help delete or adjust the request, 2022-FOIA-09834. The 229 days added to the agency average and increased our average processing days.

DDOT again exceeded the regulatory guidelines with a higher number of FOIA requests and more OCTO searches for email communications, which has strained the time and resources of the DDOT FOIA team while we continue to hit the target processing times.

Department on Disability Services
(DDS)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **K. Nalaka A. Senaratne**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....8
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...3
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……2
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..1
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...2
10. Other disposition ……..0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....2
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….0
    - Subcategory (B)…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..1

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……..0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……8

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……7.5

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27.Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……7.5

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$220.50

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

The single request listed in the "Withdrawn" category (number 8, above) was closed after a conversation with the requester regarding fees. One of the requests that was denied in full was because the information requested is privileged, confidential and not subject to release through FOIA(D.C. Official Code 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(6)). The DDS FOIA officer worked with the requester to obtain the requested information outside of FOIA. The other request that was denied, again requested information that is protected from disclosure by D.C. Official Code 2-534(a)(2).

Department of
For-Hire
Vehicles
(DFHV)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Abigail Zenner

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……..... 12
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….... 3
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……. 5
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….125

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole…….... 3
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….. 3
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 1
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……... 2
10. Other disposition …….. 1

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……..... 1
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….0
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0
    - Subcategory (C) …….……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) ……0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...….0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)…….0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……..0

23.Exemption 17 – D.C. Oficial Code § 2-534(a)(17) …… 2

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……4

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….1

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..3

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…… 19

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… 400

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……$22,508.65

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… 0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act …….. 0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

This report often reflects the types of FOIA requests the agency receives though in FY23 there were some unusual requests that took more time to process. Often requesters ask for data that is not on hand or would require additional analysis or processing which is not covered by FOIA. It can take time to work with the requester to discover what is available to provide. Additionally, Private For-Hire Data is not subject to FOIA and is a common request exemption. Finally, DFHV is a small agency and has little staff time to devote to these requests.

Agency Name

Department of Forensic Sciences (DFS)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Shannon Hall

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……..... 14
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……... 6
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……. 0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….. 35

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……... 2
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….. 4
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……. 5
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….. 0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……..... 6
10. Other disposition …….. 3

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……..... 3
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    Subcategory
    - (A)…….2
    - (B)…… 0
    - (C) ……...2
    - (D) ……... 0
    - (E) ……..... 2
    - (F) ……..0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……....…0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……....0

Subcategory (B)……0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)…….0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…….0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….11

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….. 5

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….. 4

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…… 15

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… N/A (Not tracked)

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……... 0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… 0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……. 0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Due to the complexity of some of the requests and the number of individuals within the agency responsive, some requests required additional time beyond the statutory period. The DFS complies with the Freedom of Information Act.

Agency Name

Department of General Services

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Victoria Bartee

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period 109
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022 7
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023 1
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023 0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole 50
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part 15
7. Number of requests denied, in whole 1
8. Number of requests withdrawn 10
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies 6
10. Other disposition 33

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1) 1
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2) 4
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A) 0
    - Subcategory (B) 0
    - Subcategory (C) 0
    - Subcategory (D) 0
    - Subcategory (E) 0
    - Subcategory (F) 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) 10
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5) 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…6…….…….....

Subcategory (B)..0……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)..0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)..0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)..0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)..0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)..0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)..0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…..11……

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…93……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more ….11……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……12……..……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests….1892……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests..$132,899.00

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body….0……...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act …0……..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

DGS processed one hundred fifteen (115) FOIA requests during FY2023 in a manner consistent with FOIA's statutory requirements.

As the FOIA Specialist for the agency the number of hours dedicated to completing all requests is a daily process therefore the amount expended equals the employee's annual salary. The report this year includes the prorated additional time and allocated salary devoted to FOIA by the Senior Assistant General Counsel (approximately 20% of his time) who reviews all FOIA responses and appeals before they are released by the Agency.

Agency Name

Department of Housing and Community Development (DHCD)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting TONYA CONDELL

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……233…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……8……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……8…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30,
   2023……10.74……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……27……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……100……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……2……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……1……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……61……...
10. Other disposition ……42……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……75…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……93…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..
    - Subcategory (B)…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……
    - Subcategory (D) …….....
    - Subcategory (E) …….....
    - Subcategory (F) …….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……5……...…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……0…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……0……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……195…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……11……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……7……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……11…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……107……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……$4,460……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……0……...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……0……..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

In FY 2023, DHCD processed 233 requests. Most of the assigned requests were for Tenants Opportunity to Purchase Act ("TOPA") documents and documents from the Rental Accommodations Division. However, DHCD received an increase in requests for warranty claim documents and Voluntary Petition Agreements with its supporting documents. These voluminous requests caused another spike in DHCD's median. Most requests are filled in a timely manner in accordance with the statute.

Department of Health Care Finance (DHCF)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received between October 1, 2022 and September 30, 2023.....**50**

2. Number of FOIA requests that were pending on October 1, 2022……**30**

3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….**33**

4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..…….**370**

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……13

6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…… 10

7. Number of requests denied, in whole……14

8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0

9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies[1]……10

10. Other disposition ……...0

[1] This number reflects the number of requests referred or forwarded to another public body as the only and final disposition of that request. The requests that are processed within the public body and resulted in a grant, denial or partial grant/denial as well as a referral are not included in this number.

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…… …...4
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)…… ……...0

Subcategory (A)…….0

Subcategory (B)…….0

Subcategory (C) ……0

Subcategory (D) ……....0

Subcategory (E) ……....0

Subcategory (F) …….0

14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0
16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)……..0

Subcategory (A)……....0

Subcategory (B)…….0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…… 0
18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……. 0
19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……. 0
20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……. 0
21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..0
22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…….. 0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……38
24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….1
25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..8
26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……4

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of Hours Staff Devoted to Processing FOIA Requests……DHCF DOES NOT TRACK

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests .....DHCF DOES NOT TRACK

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

This year DHCF received a substantial number of FOIA requests that required additional information from the requester. At the time of this report the requester has not responded to our correspondence requesting additional information. This has resulted in a relatively high number of pending requests. In general, DHCF worked diligently to process and respond to any requests for information it retains, and will continue to work closely with requesters to ensure the requested information is properly submitted and reviewed. DHCF will drive to maintain its high level of professionalism and fast response times during the next fiscal year.

Agency Name

Department of Human Services (DHS)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Folashade Bamikole

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....49
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….4
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……....4.5

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...21
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..1
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……2
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..1
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……....16
10. Other disposition ……..4

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....1
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..0
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……....…..1
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…..…….....0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……..0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……17

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……....7

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……...21

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……..…21

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……392

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…….$22,594

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

During the fiscal year, DHS had a transition between FOIA Officers and the method of processing FOIA requests, moving from emails/paper to the FOIA Xpress platform. This transition created some discrepancies in the average processing times because records appeared open on FOIA Xpress, even though they had been closed out via email or paper method (see questions 23-26). Overall, DHS' FOIA Officer and staff were successful in responding to requests within the statutory timeframe.

Agency Name

Department of Insurance, Securities, and Banking (DISB)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2022

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Claudine Sassa

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……..31
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….. 0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…… 1
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……16

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……..2
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……4
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……5
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….. 0
10. Other disposition ……19

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....5
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....5
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0
    - Subcategory (B)……..…...0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……..0
    - Subcategory (D) ……..…....0
    - Subcategory (E) ……..…....0
    - Subcategory (F) ……0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..… ..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..……..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..……..2

Subcategory (B)……0

17.Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18.Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19.Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20.Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21.Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23.Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…… 29

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….....1

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……...0

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…….....380

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests....$19,448.40

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The Department of Insurance, Securities and Banking is in full compliance with the District's FOIA statutes.

Agency Name

Department of Licensing & Consumer Protection

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Jessica Darby

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……348
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……0……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…20……
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…0……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……143……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……50……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……3……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……3……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……25……...
10. Other disposition ……104……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……4…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……36…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3) 8
    - Subcategory (A)……2……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……1……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0……
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……3……..…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A).0

Subcategory (B).0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7).0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8).0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9).0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10).0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11).0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12).0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days.182

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days.143

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more.3

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests.18

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests..... 2080

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests.$212985.00

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body.0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act .0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The DLCP FOIA Division has processed 348 FOIA requests during the fiscal year. Request have been responded to on time and we recieved no appeals.

Office of the Deputy Mayor for Education

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting: Hillary Desir

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received between October 1, 2022 and September 30, 2023..9
2. Number of FOIA requests that were pending on October 1, 2022……23
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……29.
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2022……..……457

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies[1]……3
10. Other disposition ……...0

[1] This number reflects the number of requests referred or forwarded to another public body as the only and final disposition of that request. The requests that are processed within the public body and resulted in a grant, denial or partial grant/denial as well as a referral are not included in this number.

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)…….

Subcategory (A)…….0

Subcategory (B)…….0

Subcategory (C) ……0

Subcategory (D) ……....0

Subcategory (E) ……....0

Subcategory (F) …….0

14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……0..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)…….0..
16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)……0...

Subcategory (A)…….0...

Subcategory (B)……0.

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0..
18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….0.
19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0..
20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……0..
21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0..
22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0..

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……0
24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….0
25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……3
26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……477

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of Hours Staff Devoted to Processing FOIA Requests……15

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests .....879..

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Beginning Summer 2022 DME staff members were trained on FOIA-Xpress and Email Search Request software to better and more accurately processing newly submitted FOIA requests and working to process any old requests. DME is working to become current with requests and improve compliance rates for new requests going forward.

Deputy Mayor for Operations and Infrastructure

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting: Jonathan Rogers

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……..... One (1) FOIA request
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..

One (1) FOIA request granted, in part, denied, in part.

7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……..0
10. Other disposition ……..0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....1.
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory(A)……..0
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….0
    - Subcategory(C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..1
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……....0

Subcategory (B)……0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….0

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..1

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……40

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……14

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$929.00

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……..….

N/a.

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

DMOI received one (1) FOIA request in Fiscal Year 2023 from the Pacific Legal Foundation regarding collaboration between the DMOI Equity Council and Mayor's Office of Racial Equity, all documents pertaining to DC 311, and all documents regarding the District's Automated Traffic Enforcement (ATE) program. FOIA Officer April Randall transmitted documents from pertinent DMOI staff and directed Pacific Legal Foundation to the Office of Unified Communications and to the Office of Racial Equity. There was no follow-up request or communication from the requester, so our conclusions were that we responded thoroughly and in compliance with statute.

Agency Name

Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development (DMPED)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Alexander Joel Watson**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period 53
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022..... 34
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023..... 4
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023..... 73

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole..... 16
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part..... 14
7. Number of requests denied, in whole..... 22
8. Number of requests withdrawn..... 5
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies..... 0
10. Other disposition 26

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)..... 5
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)..... 5
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)..... 0
    - Subcategory (B)..... 0
    - Subcategory (C) 0
    - Subcategory (D) 0
    - Subcategory (E) 0
    - Subcategory (F) 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) 3
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..……..... 0

Subcategory (B)…….... 0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….28

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……...7

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..48

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……28.5

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… 835 (estimate)

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……... $40,000

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… $0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……..… 0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The majority of DMPED's FOIA requests were email searches of agency-wide communications or broad key-words, which required significant time to receive the email search results and review the voluminous records produced. The FOIA officer typically communicated with the requesters to narrow the searches in order to provide results within the statutory time limits, but extensions were often required to even receive the email search results within the statutory time frames, as currently mandated.

Agency Name

DMPSJ - Office of the Deputy Mayor for Public Safety and Justice

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022, through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Helen McClure and Sadie Barrera

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……..... 30
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022 …… 0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023 …… 2
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023 …….. 17.5

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole …… 0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part …… 8
7. Number of requests denied, in whole …… 20
8. Number of requests withdrawn …… 0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies …… 4
10. Other disposition …… 0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1) ……..... 4
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2) ……..... 5
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..
    - Subcategory (B)…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……..…....
    - Subcategory (E) ……..... 3
    - Subcategory (F) …….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..…. 0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5) ……..……..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A) ... 0 .....

Subcategory (B) ... 0 .....

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7) ... 0 .....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8) ... 0 .....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9) ... 0 .....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10) ... 0 .....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11) ... 0 .....

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12) ... 0 .....

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days ... 27 .....

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days ... 3 .....

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more ... 2 .....

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests ... 3 .....

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests ... N/A .....

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests ... N/A .....

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body ... 0 .....

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ... 0 .....

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act].

There was a new FOIA Officer designated for PSJ. Thus, the period created a transition time for FOIA notifications. This issue has been resolved.

Agency Name

Department of Motor Vehicles

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Pamela Washington

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....116
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...5
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….3
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..11

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...52
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..22
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……34
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..10

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0
    - Subcategory (C)……..……0
    - Subcategory (D)……...0
    - Subcategory (E)…….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....19

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……..0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….91

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……...19

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..8

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……28

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……100

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$7640

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… $130

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Pamela Washington was the FOIA Officer for FY2023 reporting period. Compliance was good this year. The FOIA Officer has a good working relationship with agency officials and contractors that most often assist in responding to FOIA requests. In a number of cases, especially in instances of press inquiries or requests for large amounts of data, reports were required which took an extended period of time. In cases in which the 15-day response was impossible a letter was sent to the requestor. There were a number of requests for information, including ticket issuance and payment data, accident reports and video from cameras, and explanations not contained in DMV records. The Department continued to receive requests for privileged information unique to motor vehicles, protected by federal

and local statutes.

Agency Name

Department of Buildings

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Erin Roberts and Ezgi Soylu**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....952
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….46
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..7.9

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……..430
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..258
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……4
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..21
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...6
10. Other disposition ……..187

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....81
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....240
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..0
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……73
    - Subcategory (D) …….…....73
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..25
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...1

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……738

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..165

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..3

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……13

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……6,240

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$354,692

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$15,624

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

DOB was substantially in compliance with the D.C. FOIA Statute

Agency Name

Department of Corrections
(DOC)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
(October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023)

FOIA Officer Reporting: Oluwasegun Obebe

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……..... 159
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……... 1
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……. 1
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….. 10

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……... 79
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……. 11
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 16
8. Number of requests withdrawn…… 3
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…… 13
10. Other disposition …… 37

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……..... 20
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…… 8
    - Subcategory (B)…….7
    - Subcategory(C) ……..…9
    - Subcategory (D) ……...1
    - Subcategory (E) ……..... 1
    - Subcategory (F) ……0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..…. 4
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..…….... 0

Subcategory (B)……. 0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…… 132

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….. 12

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..15

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……15

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… 2400

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...95,000

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……64.25

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Response: Agency's headquarters moved from the Reeves Center to 3924 Minnesota Avenue, NE, in the Summer. We, nevertheless, maintained a high level of compliance in the Fiscal Year. Also, predominant production of documents in electronic format continued to be the trend.

Agency Name

Department of Energy and Environment

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Hannah Brubach

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……..... 700
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….... 402
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……..332
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….. 139.6

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole…….……... 425
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……. . 37
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……..1
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..85
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….....5
10. Other disposition …… 217

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....30
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....6
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……1
    - Subcategory (B)……0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) ……...0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) ……..…0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……....…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0
16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)
    - Subcategory (A)…….…….....0
    - Subcategory (B)……..0
17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….. 349

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….…….... 78

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….…….…... 343

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…….…… 21

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……2,166

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$91,000

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$610

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act…….……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

DOEE continues to work diligently through the backlog of FOIA requests and to reduce the response time for new requests. During FY 2023, DOEE FOIA staff sent the FOIA trainings around to DOEE program managers to encourage managers to learn and better understand the importance of responding to request promptly. At the end of FY 2023, DOEE began the search for a full-time FOIA analyst to join the agency. We expect this hire to begin in Quarter 2 of FY 2024. The new hire will be responsible for gathering request responses, training agency staff, reviewing documents for redaction, and developing procedures to guide the program moving forward. At this time, the FOIA load is currently being balanced by attorneys and staff in the Office of General Counsel.

- *Open requests:* 3 of the 332 open requests are on hold. 217 of the 332 remaining open requests were made during FY 2023.
- *Response time:* Over FY 2023, DOEE reduced our average response time from 346 days to 140 days, and our median response time for FY 2023 is 31 days. DOEE has responded to seventy-three percent (73%) of our FY 2023 requests in 25 or less days.
- *"Other dispositions" includes*: No records (210), Request referred to another agency (5), Request withdrawn (85), Not Agency Record (1), and Duplicate Request (6).

DEPARTMENT OF EMPLOYMENT SERVICES

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting TONYA ROBINSON

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....74
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...184
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……...56
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……...18

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...43
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…… 5
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……32
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….51
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….....8
10. Other disposition (Duplicate request)……...63

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....7
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)……..0
    - Subcategory(A)……..…0
    - Subcategory (B) ……0
    - Subcategory (C)…….0
    - Subcategory (D)……..…0
    - Subcategory (E)……..….0
    - Subcategory (F)……..….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) …….....0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……...……...0

Subcategory (B)…….….0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7) …….….0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8) …….….0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9) ……...0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10) …… 0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11) …… ….0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12) ……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….140

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……19

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….43

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…….….21

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…….1000+

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$10,000+

During this fiscal year, the DOES FOIA Officer, who is the General Counsel, was supported by an administrative assistant, a paralegal and a line attorney to process the FOIA requests received. The processing of FOIA requests involved (1) review and redaction of confidential or otherwise unresponsive information from documents produced by program in response to FOIA requests; (2) frequent and regular interchanges with program to get responsive documents; and (3) frequent and regular interchanges with requesters, who did not understand that DOES is not required to answer questions or create things, that do not exist or that have been destroyed, pursuant to the document retention schedule or transferred to the federal government, based on a recall of the function from DOES. Based on the foregoing, there is no way to capture the actual amount of staff hours or money spent by DOES processing requests.

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$28.25

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Based on the volume and complexity of the requests, DOES was in substantial compliance with the statutory timelines and provided responsive documents consistent with the requirement to make DOES decisions and actions open and transparent.

Agency Name

Department of Parks and Recreation

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Jamarj Johnson

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period 34
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022..... 3
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023..... 16
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023..... 119

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole..... 8
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part..... 9
7. Number of requests denied, in whole..... 0
8. Number of requests withdrawn..... 4
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies..... 0
10. Other disposition 0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)..... 9
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)..... 0
    - Subcategory (B)..... 0
    - Subcategory (C) 0
    - Subcategory (D) 0
    - Subcategory (E) 0
    - Subcategory (F) 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) 3
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……8

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..2

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..11

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……26

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……800

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...N/A

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……N/A

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

DPR received a large number of requests this year. Several of the requests were voluminous and required several rounds of rolling production in order to complete. This resulted in other less voluminous requests getting "stuck" in que behind larger more substantial FOIA and resulted in a large number of pending FOIA requests at the end of fiscal year. Moving forward DPR will implement a system whereby requests will be processed out of order depending on how much material they are likely to generate for review and production. I am hoping that this system will result in an overall cutback on the number of small pending requests at the end of the year and hopefully alleviate the back log that is created when a large request requiring a lot of processing comes into the system.

Agency Name

Department of Public Works

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2022
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Christine Davis

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……94…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……25……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……36…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……189

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……22……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……10……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……40……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……1……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……5……...
10. Other disposition ……5……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……0…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……0…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……0……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……0……..…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory

(A)……0…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……0……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……47…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……12……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……24……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……16…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……190

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……0……...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……0……..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The average number of days pending is inordinately high due to technical difficulties experienced with the FOIAXpress portal resulting in the inability to process, attach, transmit, or finalize requests resulting in the provision of records to requesters outside of the FOIAXpress portal and those requests remaining open within the portal.

Agency Name

Department of Small and Local Business Enterprise

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Lorenzo McRae, General Counsel**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……..... 11
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….... 3
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…… 1
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…… 15.17

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……... 0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….. 7
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 1
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….. 0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……... 0
10. Other disposition …….. 5

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……..... 6
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……..... 8
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….0
    - Subcategory (B)…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) ……..…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) ……..…….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……..… unknown, but 9 processed between 1-20 days

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…… unknown, but 9 processed between 1-20 days, and 1 between 21-40 days

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….unknown, but 1 processed between 21-40 days, and 2 between 41-60 days

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……10

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… unknown

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...unknown

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… $1,504

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

For FY23, DSLBD received 11 requests, and 13 were processed in the fiscal year using the FOIA Express Portal. Of those: 0 granted in full, 7 partially granted, 1 denied, 4 no records, 1 requested records not reasonably described, and 1 was pending at the end of the FY. The average response time for all processed perfected requests was approximately 15 days.

The FOIA Officer works with other staff to pull and review documents. At least 1 FTE is needed to manage the processing of requests, but additional staff is needed to perform research, review

materials, and process redactions as appropriate. The number of staff varies depending on the FOIA request, but typically a total of two to five people may work on a request. The FOIA Officer was a member of the Office of the General Counsel. It is not known how much time the FOIA Officer or other personnel average this FY. However, for the one request that garnered fees, there were a minimum of eight professional hours and 32 supervisory hours. The costs cannot be identified at this time but presumably would be based partly on their respective salaries.

Agency Name

The Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services (DYRS)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022, through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting: General Counsel Aisha Braithwaite Flucker

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period October 1, 2022, through September 30, 2023. **Answer:** The former Chief of Staff of the Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services (DYRS) was previously responsible for overseeing the Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request process. However, the individual is no longer with the agency, and as of late August 2023, the responsibility of managing FOIA requests has been transitioned to the DYRS Office of General Counsel (OGC). Therefore, any responses to outstanding inquiries below will be based on FOIA requests managed by OGC. As of August 2023, DYRS received 5 FOIA requests.

2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022. **Answer:** The Office of General Counsel at DYRS has been overseeing all FOIA requests since August 2023 and, as a result, does not have access to FOIA request information prior to August 2023.

3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023. **Answer:** 0

4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023. **Answer:** 0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole. **Answer:** 1

6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part. **Answer**: 0

7. Number of requests denied, in whole. **Answer:** 0

8. Number of requests withdrawn. **Answer:** 1

9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies. **Answer:** 2 DC Office of Contracting & Procurement (OCP) & D.C. Metropolitan Police Department (MPD)

10. Other disposition. **Answer:** 1

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1) **Answer:** (0)

12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2) **Answer:** (0)

13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3) **Answer:** (0)

Subcategory (A) **Answer:** (0)

Subcategory (B) **Answer:** (0)

Subcategory (C) **Answer:** (0)

Subcategory (D) **Answer:** (0)

Subcategory (E) **Answer:** (0)

Subcategory (F) **Answer:** (0)

14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) **Answer:** (0)

15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a) **Answer:** (0)

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6) **Answer:** (0)

Subcategory (A) **Answer:** (0)

Subcategory (B) **Answer:** (0)

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7) **Answer:** (0)

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8) **Answer:** (0)

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9) **Answer:** (0)

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10) **Answer:** (0)

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11) **Answer:** (0)

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12) **Answer:** (0)

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days. **Answer:** 1

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days. **Answer:** 2

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more. **Answer:** 2

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests. **Answer:** 25

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests. **Answer:** total of 10 hours

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests. **Answer:** 0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body. **Answer:** N/A

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act. **Answer:** 0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Answer: OGC now has access to the DYRS FOIA email address and established protocols for responding to and tracking all requests. As such, the current numbers provided in this report will likely dramatically increase over the next year. OGC is committed to responding to the public body in a timely and meaningful way to advance government accountability and transparency.

Executive Office of the Mayor (EOM)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting: Henry Johnson

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period 75
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022 128
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023 97
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023 ..... 299.83

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole 8
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part 15
7. Number of requests denied, in whole 1
8. Number of requests withdrawn 28
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies… 10
10. Other disposition 44

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1) 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)… 12
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)… 0
    - Subcategory (B)… 0
    - Subcategory (C)… 0
    - Subcategory (D) 0
    - Subcategory (E) 0
    - Subcategory (F) 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) 12
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)… 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)… 0

Subcategory (B)… 0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7).....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8).....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9).....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10).....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11).....0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12).....0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days 27

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days 3

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more..... 76

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests 189.5

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests..... ~600

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests..... ~27,000

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body 0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act
……..…….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

EOM receives a large number of requests annually and has carried over a number of requests from year to year since the COVID-19 pandemic FOIA processing pause originally went into effect. EOM's new FOIA officer hired at the end of September 2023 has made great headway after the conclusion of this reporting period in reducing the backlog. During the reporting period, EOM continued to play a leadership role on some large FOIA requests, on an interagency basis, such as related to the January 6, 2021 insurrection. As the backlog becomes more manageable, EOM anticipates our processing time will reflect that and improve.

Agency Name

DC FIRE & EMERGENCY MEDICAL SERVICES

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Christina Dalton

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……..... 1491
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….... 0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……. 29
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….. 5

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...398
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….. 538
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……16
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..2
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...5
10. Other disposition ……..503

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....545
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….3
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….0.
    - Subcategory (C) …….……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....4
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...….0.
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0.

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..2

Subcategory (B)……..0.

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….1405

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..49

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..8

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……4

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……3000

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$106,000

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..…0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

In FY 2023 The Office of Information and Privacy was able to identify and close 29 open and aging requests received by the agency. During FY 2023 I worked with OCTO, and my team to eliminate most of the agency's outstanding and aging requests. OCTO provided closure instructions for several aging requests which were closed without affecting the FY 2023 numbers. However, a few of the aging requests warranted a response and were counted in the FY 2023 processing number.

FEMS has three FTEs dedicated to processing FOIA requests. The agency operated with only two employees for three months during FY 2023, before returning to full staff in June

of the same year. The addition of a third employee to process FOIA requests bolstered productivity and improved processing timelines.

FEMS continues to assess its FOIA processes to ensure continuous quality improvement.

Agency Name

D.C. Health Benefit Exchange Authority

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Andre Beard

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....2
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….29

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 2
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….....0
10. Other disposition ……..0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....2
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……1
    - Subcategory (B)…….
    - Subcategory(C) ……..…… ..1
    - Subcategory (D) …….....
    - Subcategory (E) …….....
    - Subcategory (F) …….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..1
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….0

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……1

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……1

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……29

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…….12

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$1332

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act …….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The agency receives so few FOIA requests no conclusions can be drawn.

HSEMA

Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Whitney Bowen**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....113
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...22
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….34
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..420

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...20
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..1
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..1
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..79

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0..
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0..
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) ……0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……....0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……..0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….66

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..22

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..13

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……9

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……202 hours

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$12,051.32

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0.00

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

One (1) request closed during the reporting period was granted in part/denied in part due to only a portion of the records responsive to the request being available. Therefore, an exemption does not apply for this partial denial.

Agency Name

Judicial Nomination Commission (JNC)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Tracy B. Nutall

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……..... 0
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….... 0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……. 0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….. 0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……... 0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….. 0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 0
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….. 0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……... 0
10. Other disposition …….. 0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……..... 0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….. 0
    - Subcategory (B)…… 0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) ……....0
    - Subcategory (E) ……....0
    - Subcategory (F) ……0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..….. 0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..……..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..……..... 0

Subcategory (B)…….. 0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……..... 0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……..... 0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……..... 0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……..... 0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)…….. 0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…….. 0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….0

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……0

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… 0

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…….... 0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The DC Judicial Nomination Commission did not receive any FOIA requests in Fiscal Year 2023, and none were pending at the close of the Fiscal year in 2022. As a result, no conclusions can be drawn from this data other than that, if no FOIA requests were received, no public funds or staff time were spent on responding to FOIA.

Agency Name

MOLC - Mayor's Office of Legal Counsel

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Andrea Stempel

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……..... 62
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….... 1
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…… 0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….N/A

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……... 1
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….. 5
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 5
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….. 2
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……..... 0
10. Other disposition …….50

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....10
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..4
    - Subcategory (B)…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……4
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...……4
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……...0

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……..61

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….. 1

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….. 1

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…….2

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… not tracked

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……... not tracked

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… 0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

MOLC complies with its FOIA obligations.

Agency Name

Metropolitan Police Department

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Brandynn Reaves

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……2611 (644 BWCs, 1967 FOIAs)
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022.……358 (50 BWCs, 308 FOIAs)
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023..……..381 (110 BWCs, 271 FOIAs)
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole…….……296
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……823
7. Number of requests denied, in whole.……..…442
8. Number of requests withdrawn.…….……..…314
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies.…….…..…197
10. Other disposition ……516

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……3
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2).……..…1188
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)..……274
    - Subcategory (B).……..124
    - Subcategory (C)…….……..915
    - Subcategory (D).……..…….4
    - Subcategory (E)……...13
    - Subcategory (F) ……12
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4)..……..18
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5).……..……..0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……0

Subcategory (B)……0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)..……0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)..……0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)..……0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)..……0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)..……0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12).……0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….1808

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…..……226

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….554

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests..……..……..4

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……..22,880

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……$1,120,619.50

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body…….……$2,955.00

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act.……..……..0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

For Fiscal Year (FY) 2023, the Metropolitan Police Department (MPD) received a total of 2611 FOIAs and BWCs requests, an increase of 25.3% from FY22. Despite this increase, MPD maintained a 4-day median processing time for simple requests and reduced the processing time for complex requests to 12 days from the prior fiscal year. At the same time, MPD successfully closed 2592 requests of the 2611 requests received for FY 22 and eliminated all outstanding requests from FY 2018-FY2020.

Agency Name

OAG - Office of the Attorney General

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Elaine Block

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....126
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...8
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……...17
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……...19

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...41
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..21
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…….….9
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….……...4
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….10
10. Other disposition ……..24

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....2
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....24
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……...……..2
    - Subcategory (B)...…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..…….…….1
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....1
    - Subcategory (E) …….....2
    - Subcategory (F) …… …...0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...….. .10
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....1

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)…….……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)…….…...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…….…...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….…....31

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..…...16

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….....62

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……..…...29

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…….……..656

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$37,013.76

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……..…..……..$1,115.76

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act..……..……...0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

During the reporting period, the median number of days OAG required to process a FOIA request was 29. OAG believes this number will be lower in the next reporting period because OAG's Ethics and Compliance Counsel is now managing OAG's FOIA function as a core responsibility with the support of a part-time assistant. Nonetheless, the nature of OAG's work on behalf of the District makes it difficult for the agency to complete many requests within the statutory 15-day period.

OAG faces three obstacles to timely completing FOIA requests. First, because a manual search by OAG employees is usually insufficient to identify all potentially responsive

records, OAG often must request that OCTO conduct an electronic search as well, which, depending on the complexity of the search, can require many days to complete. Second, a thorough search often yields many hundreds or even thousands of potentially responsive documents that must be reviewed first for responsiveness, and then for privilege and exemption applicability. Third, and most significantly, the majority of OAG's records are documents created by lawyers in the course of advising or representing District clients. Because these documents are often subject to evidentiary privileges—most commonly, the attorney-client or work product privilege—records collected in response to FOIA requests often require time-consuming, page-by-page review and sometimes extensive redaction prior to production.

For these reasons, OAG requests that the Council consider amending FOIA to enlarge the base statutory review period from 15 to 20 days, which would be consistent with the period allotted to federal agencies by the federal FOIA statute.

District of Columbia Office of Administrative Hearings

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Johnnie Barton

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....34
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……..19
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……1
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….30

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...23
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….…..7
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…….…3
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..…..1
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….....4
10. Other disposition ……..……..14

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……...….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....7
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)……...……..0
    - Subcategory (A)……..
    - Subcategory (B)…….……
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....
    - Subcategory (E) …….....
    - Subcategory (F) …….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..……0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)…….……7

Subcategory (A)…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……..….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……..….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..…...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…….....0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……..….5

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..26

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..21

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…….....16

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…….……..103

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……$7,259.44

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..…..0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The Office of Administrative Hearings efficiently grants public access to case files and other agency documents, while preserving confidentiality where required by law.

Agency Name

Office of the City Administrator (OCA)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Barry Kreiswirth**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....28
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….4
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….9.75

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….4
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…….4
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..2
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...12
10. Other disposition ……..6

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....1
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….3
    - Subcategory (B)…….……1
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……1
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....1
    - Subcategory (E) …….....1
    - Subcategory (F) ……1
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...……2
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….17

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..3

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..8

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……6

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……N/A

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...N/A

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

OCA did not have responsive records for most of the FOIA requests it received. Most requestors sought records maintained by other agencies, to which they were referred. OCA does not charge fees for processing requests and does not log the number of staff hours worked on producing responses.

Agency Name

Office of the Chief Financial Officer

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting LaVerne Lee

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....222
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……16
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….……..26
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……....140

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...108
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….……..17
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……...……23
8. Number of requests withdrawn……...……..4
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….……11
10. Other disposition ……..……..49

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....20
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....26
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……
    - Subcategory (D) …….....
    - Subcategory (E) …….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……...
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……....…..4
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….. 15

Subcategory (B)…….11

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….79

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..60

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..73

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……22

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……1677.5

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

OCFO's Summary Statement:

The OCFO received and processed 222 FOIA requests in FY 2023 and processed them, along with FOIA requests still pending from FY 2022, in a manner consistent with the FOIA's statutory requirements. The number of requests received in FY 2023 (222) plus the number that were carried over from FY 2022 (16), minus the number of requests still pending at the end of FY 2023 (26), equals the total number of FOIA requests OCFO processed (212).

Office of the Chief Medical Examiner

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting: Rodney Adams

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……12…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……0……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……0…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……N/A.

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……..1.
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….2.
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……6
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….0.
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……..……...0
10. Other disposition (no records)..……..…….3.

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0.
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....8.
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..
    - Subcategory (B)…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……1
    - Subcategory (D) …….....
    - Subcategory (E) …….....
    - Subcategory (F) …….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..….1.
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..8

Subcategory (B)……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……12.

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….0.

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….……0.

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……1

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……24

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…….$1800

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……..…0.

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Most requests to OCME fall into two categories: 1) details about a specific decedent; and 2) statistical information. Pursuant to DC Code 5-1412, as amended in 2023, only limited data about a decedent is public record, and that information is promptly provided to a requester. Likewise, de-identified statistical information is shared with the public whether requested through FOIA or by direct request. Most of this information is already contained in OCME's annual report.

OFFICE OF CONTRACTING AND PROCUREMENT

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting .Jeremiah S. Regan

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....**142**
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...**24**
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……**14**
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..**35**

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...**42**
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….**43**
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……**19**
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..**5**
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...**20**
10. Other disposition ……..**23**

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....**40**
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....**39**
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……**0**
    - Subcategory (B)……**0**
    - Subcategory (C) ……**0**
    - Subcategory (D) ……**0**
    - Subcategory (E) ……**0**
    - Subcategory (F) ……**0**
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..…..**22**
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....**0**

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……....**0**

Subcategory (B)……..**0**

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....**0**

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....**0**

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....**0**

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....**0**

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...**0**

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...**0**

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….**121**

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..**24**

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..**7**

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……**13.5**

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……**2,454**

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...**$140,950**

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……**$3,009.75**

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….**0**

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

In FY 2023, OCP continued to successfully handle its FOIA requests and maintained prior year improvements in performance.

Agency Name

OFFICE OF CABLE TELEVISION, FILM, MUSIC & ENTERTAINMENT (OCTFME)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Qualahnia Suggs-Randall

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....6
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……..0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……1
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..54

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole…….....1
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……....0
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….2
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….....0
10. Other disposition ……..3

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0
    - Subcategory (C) …….……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...……0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..……..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……....0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……..... 0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……..... 0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……..... 0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……..... 0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)…….... 0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…….... 0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….3

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……...3

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……27.5

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……40

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$2,462.94

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

OCTFME complies with the provisions of the Act.

Agency Name

Office of the Chief Technology Officer

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Victor Régal**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....11
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….2
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..9.5

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...1
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...7
10. Other disposition ……..1

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0.
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) ……0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……....0.

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….9

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……...0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……4

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……9

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……$449.59

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

It would be helpful if more people understood that OCTO is not the custodian of records for all the District's emails and that they should instead FOIA the agency most relevant to the substance of their requests. We refer most requests to other agencies in order to help requesters get the information they have asked for more quickly and efficiently.

Agency Name
Office of Disability Rights (ODR)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Ngoc Trinh**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …0…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……0……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……0…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……0……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……0……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……0……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……0……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……0……...
10. Other disposition ……0……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……0…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……0…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……0……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……0……...…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……0…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……0……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……0…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……0……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……0……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……0…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……0……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……0……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…….0……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……0…..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

ODR did not have any FOIA requests for FY 2023 and as such, it is in compliance with FOIA legal requirements.

Agency Name

Office of Employee Appeals (OEA)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2022
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Sheila G. Barfield**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……0…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……0……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……0…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30,
   2023……N/A……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……N/A……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……N/A……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……N/A……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……N/A……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……N/A……...
10. Other disposition ……N/A……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……N/A…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……N/A…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory(A)……N/A…..
    - Subcategory (B) N/A …….
    - Subcategory (C) N / A ……..……
    - Subcategory (D) N/A…….....
    - Subcategory (E) N/A…….....
    - Subcategory (F) N/A…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) N/A……...…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)… N/A……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..…….....N/A.

Subcategory (B)……N/A

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……..N/A

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……N/A

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….N/A

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……..N/A

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……N/A

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……N/A

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……N/A

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……N/A

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……N/A

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……N/A

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……N/A

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……N/A

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...N/A

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……N/A

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

OEA did not receive any FOIA requests during the relevant period.

Office of
Human Rights

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Alexis Applegate**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1.	Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period	**76**
2.	Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022	**14**
3.	Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023	**6**
4.	The average number of days unfulfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023	**8.66**

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5.	Number of requests granted, in whole	**8**
6.	Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part	**34**
7.	Number of requests denied, in whole	**29**
8.	Number of requests withdrawn	**0**
9.	Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies	**0**
10.	Other disposition	**13**

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11.	Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)	**0**
12.	Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)	**46**
13.	Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)	
	Subcategory (A)	**37**
	Subcategory (B)	**18**
	Subcategory (C)	**44**
	Subcategory (D)	**0**
	Subcategory (E)	**13**
	Subcategory (F)	**0**
14.	Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4)	**17**
15.	Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)	**0**

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A) …….…….....

55[1]

Subcategory (B) …….……..... **0**

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……..... **0**

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……..... **0**

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……..... **0**

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……..... **0**

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……. **0**

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……... **0**

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……. **80**

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….. **4**

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….. **0**

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…… **5**

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… ***not tracked**

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...***not tracked**

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… **None**

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..…**None**

[1] Neither OHR nor FOIAXpress track Subsections A or B. However, this exception is applied in instances where a request is made for records pertaining to OHR cases filed under the D.C. Human Rights Act (DCHRA), which provides that complaints filed with OHR are not to made available to the public, and only released to the parties after the closure of the case, and the running of the reconsideration period. *See* D.C. Code §§ 2-1401.02(16)(C) & 2-1402.52(c)(2) (as amended in 2023 by D.C. Law No. 24-0295) (data, documents, information, reports, and records filed with the office are not to be made public and are only available to the parties after the closure of the case and passage of the reconsideration period); 4 DCMR § 723 (private sector complaints are only to be made available to the parties after the investigation has closed and the reconsideration period has passed); 4 DCMR § 110.4 (in D.C. government complaints, only the Complainant, Complainant's representative, agency head, and EEO Officer may have access to complaint files at the end of the reconsideration period). Therefore, all 55 requests would fall under Subsection A.

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

During Fiscal Year 2023, the FOIA Officers, Agency Custodians of Record, and attorneys from the General Counsel's office spent hundreds of hours responding to over 80 requests, the majority of them being processed within 15 days. OHR produced thousands of pages to the public and parties in response to these FOIA requests. The majority of these FOIA requests concerned the agency's investigations, which are governed by the statutory requirements of the District of Columbia Human Rights Act (DCHRA). The DCHRA establishes that OHR's investigations, including the identities of the parties, are confidential, and only available to the parties after the investigation has closed and the reconsideration period has passed. D.C. Code §§ 2-1401.02(16)(C) & 2-1402.52(c)(2) (as amended in 2023 by D.C. Law No. 24-0295) (data, documents, information, reports, and records filed with the office are not to be made public and are only available to the parties after the closure of the case and passage of the reconsideration period); 4 DCMR § 723 (private sector complaints are only to be made available to the parties after the investigation has closed and the reconsideration period has passed); 4 DCMR § 110.4 (in D.C. government complaints, only the Complainant, Complainant's representative, agency head, and EEO Officer may have access to complaint files at the end of the reconsideration period). OHR has a dedicated Open Government and DC Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) page on its website, including instructions for requestors, at: https://ohr.dc.gov/page/opengovernment.

Agency Name

D.C. Office of the Inspector General

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Keith Van Croft, Esq./Yolanda Jones**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …21…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……1……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023… 0…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…… …… 4……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole…… 5……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…… 2……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 15……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……0……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies 0……...
10. Other disposition ……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…… 0 …….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……1…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……2……..
    - Subcategory (B)……2…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……1……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) …… 0…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) …… 0……..…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….0…….…….....

Subcategory (B)…….2……..

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……..0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……..0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……..0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……..0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……..0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….20…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……2……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……0……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….10…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……1403……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…$72,306……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……0……...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……0……..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The OIG processed 90% (20) of FOIA requests within 15 days. The remaining two FOIA requests were processed within 16 and 17 days, respectively. The OIG continues to strive to process each FOIA request within the 15-day timeframe.

Agency Name

Office of Labor Relations & Collective Bargaining [OLRCB]

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2022
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Michael Kentoff

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……..... 2
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….... 1*
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……. 1*
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…… 202

* Since fulfilled.

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……... 2
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….. 0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……. 0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……... 0
10. Other disposition ……. 0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……..... 0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…… 0
    - Subcategory (B) …… 0
    - Subcategory (C) …… 0
    - Subcategory (D) …… 0
    - Subcategory (E) …… 0
    - Subcategory (F) …… 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) …… 0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5) …… 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A) 0

Subcategory (B) 0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7) 0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8) ..... 0.

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9) 0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10) 0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11) 0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12) 0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days..... 0

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days..... 0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more..... 2

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests..... 202

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests..... 8

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests..... 0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body.....

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act 0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Although our office did not receive many requests, the use of FOIAXPRESS as well as being short-staffed slowed the process down considerably.

Agency Name

DC Office of Planning

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **David Lieb**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period 54
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022..... 2 (on hold)
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023..... 9 (4 on hold)
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023..... 124.33

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole..... 12
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part..... 2
7. Number of requests denied, in whole..... 0
8. Number of requests withdrawn..... 0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies..... 0
10. Other disposition 33

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)..... 2
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)..... 0
    - Subcategory (B)..... 0
    - Subcategory (C) 0
    - Subcategory (D) 0
    - Subcategory (E) 0
    - Subcategory (F) 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) 0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..……....0

Subcategory (B)…… 0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……..... 0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……..... 0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……..... 0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……..... 0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)…… 0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)…… 0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…… 46

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…… 1

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…… 0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…… 3

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…… 71 hrs

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…… $3,650.05

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… $0.00

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..… 0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

OP adheres to the requirements of D.C. FOIA. Nearly all requests OP receives seek records we do not maintain. DOB, DOEE, DCOZ, or FEMS almost always likely hold the requested records. Although OP tells the requester which agency likely has responsive records, we do not specifically forward the request to that agency's FOIA officer. Instead, per the Office of Open Government's *Guidance for Correspondence with D.C. FOIA Requesters* (8/9/2022), we direct the requester to submit a request with the relevant agency. We had 2 requests on hold at the start of the FY. At the end of the FY, we had 4 requests on hold and 5 active requests pending. Those 5 active requests were closed out shortly after FY 2024 began. We now only have the 4 on-hold requests.

Agency Name

Office of the People's Counsel (OPC)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Tamika Dodson

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……..... 2
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……... 0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……. 0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….. 0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……... 2
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….. 0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole…… 0
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….. 0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……... 0
10. Other disposition …….. 0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……..... 0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……..... 0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0
    - Subcategory (B)……0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) ……....0
    - Subcategory (E) ……....0
    - Subcategory (F) …… 0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..….. 0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..……..... 0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……..... 0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……..... 0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……..... 0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…… 1

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….. 0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….. 1

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….…… 14

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……14

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…….... $726.40

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The Office of the People's Counsel for the District of Columbia received 2 FOIA requests in FY23, which both were granted and processed in full. The FOIA request resulting in the 27-day turnaround (extension notice to requester was sent) was due to having to sort through numerous emails of OPC's Consumer Service Division Staff, placing these emails in chronological order, and then printing those emails to have them manually delivered to the FOIA requester. This request was also processed outside of the agency's physical office due to OPC moving office locations during that period.

Office of Risk Management (ORM)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022, through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting: Morgan Dowe

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....**17**
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...**1**
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….**3**
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..**95**

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...**2**
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….**5**
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……**0**
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..**0**
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...**6**
10. Other disposition ……..**2**

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....**0**
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....**3**
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……**1**
    - Subcategory (B)……**0**
    - Subcategory (C) ……**0**
    - Subcategory (D) ……....**0**
    - Subcategory (E) ……....**0**
    - Subcategory (F) ……**0**
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..**0**
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....**0**

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..**1**

Subcategory (B)……..**0**

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....**0**

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....**0**

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....**0**

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....**0**

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……..**0**

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……..**0**

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……**14**

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days…….**1**

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more…….**0**

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……**8**

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……**286.25**

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests**…….$15,194.57**

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body**……...……$0.00**

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….**0**

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

ORM has been responsive to all its FOIA requests. One pending response from FY22 remains on hold awaiting the voluminous emails search by OCTO and subsequent review to find responsive documents. Responses are being made on a rolling basis as agreed to by the Requestor. The 2 "Other dispositions" under "Disposition of FOIA Requests" were not proper FOIA requests. In sum, all responses fell in compliance with the respective cited provisions of the Freedom of Information Act.

Agency Name

Office of the Secretary of the District of Columbia

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Victor L. Reid, Esq.

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....7
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole…….2
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……3
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...2
10. Other disposition ……0

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….0
    - Subcategory (B)…….0
    - Subcategory(C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) ……..…....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory(A)……..…….....0

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….2

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..5

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……66

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……40

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$2842.31

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0.00

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

During FY 2023, the Office of the Secretary did not have any unresolved FY 2022 FOIA matters. During FY 2023, the Office of the Secretary resolved the seven FOIA matters that were submitted.

Agency Name

Office of the State Superintendent of Education (OSSE)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Lee Hagy

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....58
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...8
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……7
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…….383

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...15
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..13
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……3
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……....11
10. Other disposition ……..17

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....3
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....13
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……1
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...……1
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..6

Subcategory (B)……..0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....2

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….45

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..6

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..8

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……12

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

OSSE had a slight decrease in the number of FOIA requests received during the reporting period since FY22 and continues to regularly publish information which must be made public on the agency website. All fee waiver requests were granted in FY23. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending includes four backlogged requests from FY21 prior to the current FOIA officer assuming the role, which were in FOIAXpress primary user assignments other than the Queue for the State Superintendent of Education Office and are being processed for administrative closure after review. Training for agency FOIA Points of Contact was held in FY23 in partnership with the Office of Open Government and the OSSE FOIA Officer.

Agency Name

Office of the Tenant Advocate (OTA)

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2022
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Harrison J. Magy**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....7
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..0

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..1
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……3
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..3

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....4
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….0
    - Subcategory (B)…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..3
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….7

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..0

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……10

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……7.25

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...$383.37

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0.00

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

All requests processed in FY 2023 were submitted via FOIA Xpress.

Unfortunately, FOIA Xpress still has some major issues.

1. The first major issue is that, although OTA has set the FOIA Xpress settings to alert OTA by e-mail, FOIA Xpress still does not consistently notify OTA of a request electronically "dropped off" into FOIA Xpress. This issue only arose once in FY 2023, but even one instance can be problematic, and OTA requests that the FOIA Xpress developer look into this issue.

In this instance, OTA discovered the request's existence only after FOIA Xpress sent an email alerting OTA of a different request. (A check of FOIA Xpress's correspondence logs confirmed that an alert "ping" was never sent.) The requester was contacted on the same day of the request's serendipitous "discovery," and the request was timely fulfilled within 13 days after OTA was actually put on notice of the request's existence—thereby "receiving" it—pursuant to 1 DCMR § 405.6. (The requester was pleased by OTA's handling of their request.)

2. The second major issue (as evidenced by FOIA Xpress's correspondence logs) is that FOIA Xpress does not always send the requester an acknowledgement that it received the request.

3. The third major issue is that when FOIA Xpress fails to function properly, the response times as calculated by FOIA Xpress are neither "actually," nor legally, accurate when compared to those in 1 DCMR § 405.

OUC

Office of Unified Communications

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Heather McGaffin

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....**371**
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022…….. **23**
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……**24**
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..**35**

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...**70**
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….**79**
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……**61**
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..**52**
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...**6**
10. Other disposition ……..**103**

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....**0**
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....**114**
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……**22**
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….**1**
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……**0**
    - Subcategory (D) ……...**0**
    - Subcategory (E) ……..... **4**
    - Subcategory (F) ……**0**
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…**0**
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..……..…**0**

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..**0**

Subcategory (B)……**0**

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....**0**

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……....**0**

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....**0**

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……....**0**

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……**0**

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……**0**

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….**279**

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..**59**

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……**9**

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……**1,480**

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…….... **$56,923**

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...…… **$0**

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..… **0**

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The Office of Unified Communications is committed to fulfilling all FOIA requests in a timely manner. However, there is a right to personal privacy that must be maintained. Often the agency receives requests that are not perfected and must be before information can be released.

OVSJG

Office of Victim Services and Justice Grants

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Kelley Dillon

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period……3…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……0……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……1…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…18……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……1……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……1……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...
10. Other disposition ……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……1…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……..
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……
    - Subcategory (D) …….....
    - Subcategory (E) …….....
    - Subcategory (F) …….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……1…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……1…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……1……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……29…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……80……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…$5,043.76……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……$0……...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act……0……..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The Office of Victim Services and Justice Grants received few FOIA requests, but of the requests, two of the three received were very large and with only one FOIA Officer and the full time demands of their regular position, completing all requests within 15 days was impossible. Multiple extensions were needed to complete requests and several hours of their time over their regular tour of duty was needed to complete them all.

Agency Name

DC Public Charter School Board

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Daniel Quandt**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period ……20…….....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……2……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……0…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……N/A……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……15……...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……3……..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……1……
8. Number of requests withdrawn……2……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……0……...
10. Other disposition ……1 (no responsive documents)……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……0…….....
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……4…….....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0……..
    - Subcategory (B)……0…….…….
    - Subcategory (C) ……0……..……
    - Subcategory (D) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (E) ……0…….....
    - Subcategory (F) ……0…….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……2……..…..
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……0……..…….....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……2…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……0……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)……0…….....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0…….....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0…….....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)……0…….....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0……...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0……...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……16…….

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……3……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……3……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests……15…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……275……

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…$17,400……...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……$0……...……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act …….0……..….

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The number of FOIA requests received by DC PCSB has been lower since 2020. DC PCSB received 20 requests in FY 2023 and responded to all 20. One difficult request was responsible for approximately half the hours DC PCSB expended on FOIA in FY 2023.

District of Columbia Public Employee Relations Board

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Elizabeth Slover

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....5
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……1
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..43

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……...0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……...0
10. Other disposition ……..4

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)…….0
    - Subcategory (B)…….0
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….....0
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...…..0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….3

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..1

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……12

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……1

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……...0

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0.00

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..….0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

There were five FOIA requests submitted during the reporting period, four of which were processed during the reporting period and one of which was still pending at the end of the reporting period. The four processed requests were denied under D.C. Official Code § 2-532(a-2) because PERB staff made reasonable efforts to locate the requested records and, in each case, determined that they did not exist at PERB. One of the four processed requests was processed outside of the 15-day requirement due to a processing error. The fifth request, which was pending as of September 30, 2023, was processed on December 13, 2023, and was similarly denied under D.C. Official Code § 2-532(a-2). The delay in processing that request was due to a processing error. There were no fees charged for the requests.

Agency Name

Public Service Commission of the District of Columbia

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023
October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Christopher Lipscombe**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period…….....7
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……...0
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023…….0
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..n/a

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……..0
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part…….2
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……3
8. Number of requests withdrawn…….0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….....0
10. Other disposition ……..2

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....2
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....1
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3) …….0
    - Subcategory (A)……..
    - Subcategory (B)…….…….
    - Subcategory (C) …….……
    - Subcategory (D) …….....
    - Subcategory (E) …….....
    - Subcategory (F) …….
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..…...1
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….…….....

Subcategory (B)……...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….6

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..1[1]

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..0

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……15

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests…….44.5

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests…….....$2343.72

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……$0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act.…….……...0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

The Public Service Commission endeavors to respond to all FOIA requests within the statutory time period. Many PSC records are publicly available through the Commission e-docket system and do not require FOIA requests to access; these referrals are therefore categorized as a denial, although the records are ultimately available to the requester.

[1] For a request that required more than 15 days to conduct a search for responsive documents, we issued a letter of extension to the requester for an additional 10 days.

Agency Name

D.C. Sentencing Commission - SCDC

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2023

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting Maeghan Buckley

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....0.....
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……0……...
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……0…….
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023……..

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole……0…...
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……0…..
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0…….
8. Number of requests withdrawn……0……..
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies……0…...
10. Other disposition ……..

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)……..0.....
0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)……...0.....
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0…..
    - Subcategory (B)……0….
    - Subcategory (C) ……..……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…....0...
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0...
    - Subcategory (F) ……0.
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……...….0.
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..……0.....

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)……..……0.....

Subcategory (B)……0…...

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….0.....

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)……0.....

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)……0.....

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….0.....

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……0…...

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……0…...

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days……

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……..

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……0…

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……0…...

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0……

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……0……..….

0

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

Pursuant to section 208(a)(9) of the D.C. FOIA, provide in the space below or as an attachment, "[a] qualitative description or summary statement, and conclusions drawn from the data regarding compliance [with the provisions of the Act]."

Given that the agency had no FOIA requests this year, the agency has nothing to add in this section.

Agency Name

University of the District of Columbia

Annual Freedom of Information Act Report for Fiscal Year 2022

October 1, 2022 through September 30, 2023

FOIA Officer Reporting **Thomas E. Redmond on behalf of Avis Marie Russell**

PROCESSING OF FOIA REQUESTS

1. Number of FOIA requests received during reporting period …….....15
2. Number of FOIA requests pending on October 1, 2022……..2
3. Number of FOIA requests pending on September 30, 2023……2
4. The average number of days unfilled requests have been pending before each public body as of September 30, 2023…… 20

DISPOSITION OF FOIA REQUESTS

5. Number of requests granted, in whole…….14
6. Number of requests granted, in part, denied, in part……..0
7. Number of requests denied, in whole……0
8. Number of requests withdrawn……..0
9. Number of requests referred or forwarded to other public bodies…….. 0
10. Other disposition ……..1

NUMBER OF REQUESTS THAT RELIED UPON EACH FOIA EXEMPTION

11. Exemption 1 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(1)…….....0
12. Exemption 2 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(2)…….....0
13. Exemption 3 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(3)
    - Subcategory (A)……0.
    - Subcategory (B)…….……0.
    - Subcategory (C) …….……0
    - Subcategory (D) …….…….....0.
    - Subcategory (E) …….....0.
    - Subcategory (F) …….0
14. Exemption 4 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(4) ……..…. 0
15. Exemption 5 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(5)……..…….....0

16. Exemption 6 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(6)

Subcategory (A)…….……..0

Subcategory (B)……...0

17. Exemption 7 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(7)…….....0

0

18. Exemption 8 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(8)…….....0

19. Exemption 9 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(9)…….....0

20. Exemption 10 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(10)…….....0

21. Exemption 11 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(11)……...0

22. Exemption 12 - D.C. Official Code § 2-534(a)(12)……...0

TIME-FRAMES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

23. Number of FOIA requests processed within 15 days…….10

24. Number of FOIA requests processed between 16 and 25 days……...1

25. Number of FOIA requests processed in 26 days or more……..4

26. Median number of days to process FOIA Requests…….……9

RESOURCES ALLOCATED TO PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

27. Number of staff hours devoted to processing FOIA requests……58

28. Total dollar amount expended by public body for processing FOIA requests……$2,951.83..

FEES FOR PROCESSING FOIA REQUESTS

29. Total amount of fees collected by public body……...……0

PROSECUTIONS PURSUANT TO SECTION 207(d) OF THE D.C. FOIA

30. Number of employees found guilty of a misdemeanor for arbitrarily or capriciously violating any provision of the District of Columbia Freedom of Information Act ……..…0.

QUALITATIVE DESCRIPTION OR SUMMARY STATEMENT

The 15 FOIA requests represents 5 individuals;(3 individuals seeking records applicable to their issues with the University, 2 researchers-GMU and Georgetown University) 3 news organizations, 4 commercial data gathering organizations, and 3 University data research organizations re: construction, NCAA and student directory information.

FY 2023 FOIA Report
Agency Names and Acronyms

Acronym	Agency
ABCA	Alcoholic Beverage and Cannabis Administration
ANC	Advisory Neighborhood Commissions
BEGA	Board of Ethics and Government Accountability
CAB	Contract Appeals Board
CFSA	Child and Family Services Agency
CIC	Corrections Information Council
CJCC	Criminal Justice Coordinating Council
CJDT	Commission on Judicial Disabilities and Tenure
DACL (DCOA)	Department of Aging and Community Living
DBH	Department of Behavioral Health
DC Council	DC Council
DC Health	Department of Health
DC Water	DC Water and Sewer Authority
DCA	DC Auditor
DCBOE	DC Board of Elections
DCCAH	DC Commission on the Arts and Humanities
DCHA	DC Housing Authority
DCHFA	DC Housing Finance Agency
DCHR	DC Human Resources
DCNG	DC National Guard
DCOZ	DC Office of Zoning
DC Lottery	DC Lottery & Charitable Games Control Board
DCPC	DC Police Complaints
DCPL	DC Public Library
DCPS	DC Public Schools
DCRB	District of Columbia Retirement Board
DDOT	District Department of Transportation
DDS	Department of Disability Services
DFHV	Department of For-Hire Vehicles
DFS	Department of Forensic Sciences
DGS	Department of General Services
DHCD	Department of Housing and Community Development
DHCF	Department of Health Care Finance
DHS	Department of Human Services
DISB	Department of Insurance, Securities and Banking
DLCP	Department of Licensing and Consumer Protection
DME	Office of the Deputy Mayor for Education
DMOI	Deputy Mayor for Operations and Infrastructure

FY 2023 FOIA Report
Agency Names and Acronyms

DMPED	Deputy Mayor for Planning and Economic Development
DMPSJ	Deputy Mayor for Public Safety and Justice
DMV	Department of Motor Vehicles
DOB	Department of Buildings
DOC	Department of Corrections
DOEE	Department of Energy & Environment
DOES	Department of Employment Services
DPR	Department of Parks and Recreation
DPW	Department of Public Works
DSLBD	Department of Small and Local Business Development
DYRS	Department of Youth Rehabilitation Services
EOM	Executive Office of the Mayor
FEMS	Fire and Emergency Medical Services
HBX	Health Benefit Exchange Authority
HSEMA	Homeland Security and Emergency Management Agency
JNC	Judicial Nomination Commission
MOLC	Mayor's Office of Legal Counsel
MOCRS	Mayor's Office of Community Relations & Services
MOTA	Mayor's Office of Talent & Appointments
MPD	Metropolitan Police Department
OAG	Office of the Attorney General
OAH	Office of Administrative Hearings
OCA	Office of the City Administrator
OCCC	Mayor's Office of the Clean City
OCFO	Office of the Chief Financial Officer
OCME	Office of the Chief Medical Examiner
OCP	Office of Contracting and Procurement
OCTFME	Office of Cable Television, Film, Music and Entertainment
OCTO	Office of the Chief Technology Officer
ODR	Office of Disability Rights
OEA	Office of Employee Appeals
OHR	Office of Human Rights
OIG	Office of the Inspector General
OLRCB	Office of Labor Relations and Collective Bargaining
OP	Office of Planning
OPC	Office of the People's Counsel
ORM	Office of Risk Management
OS	Office of the Secretary of the District of Columbia
OSSE	Office of the State Superintendent of Education
OTA	Office of the Tenant Advocate
OUC	Office of Unified Communications

FY 2023 FOIA Report
Agency Names and Acronyms

OVSJG	Office of Victim Services and Justice Grants
PCSB	Public Charter School Board
PERB	Public Employee Relations Board
PSC	Public Service Commission
RPTAC	Real Property Tax Appeals Commission
SBOE	State Board of Education
SCDC	D.C. Sentencing & Criminal Code Sentencing Commission
UDC	University of District of Columbia
UMC	United Medical Center

FY 2023 FOIA Appeal Summaries
Mayor's Office of Legal Counsel

2020-135 Smith: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for the identities of witnesses and records related to several specific incidents. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2020-214 Mulhauser: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for all FOIA requests for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD provided the requested documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-034 Jackson: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2021-075 Mulhauser: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2021-086 Moore: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for barring notices related to a specific location. MPD advised the requester it did not have any responsive documents. The decision of MPD was affirmed given its representation that it does not issue or maintain barring notices.

2021-087 Crocheron: The appeal challenged the response of EOM to a request for certain information including that related to background checks. EOM closed the request after asking for clarification on two occasions. The decision of EOM was affirmed given the ambiguity of the request and the lack of clarification.

2021-090 Canora: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for the transcript of a specific 911 call. MPD referred the request to OUC because it does not maintain the type of records sought. The decision of MPD was affirmed given its referral to OUC for the requested records.

2021-097 Lu: The appeal challenged the response of BEGA to a request for a specific investigation file. BEGA denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 1–1162.12(d) and § 2-534(a)(3)(C). The decision of BEGA was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-098 Keeffe: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to the arrest of a specific individual. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-100 Spindel: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request seeking answers to questions and for records related to referrals from CFSA. MPD referred the request to CFSA because it does not maintain the type of records sought. The decision of MPD was affirmed given its referral to CFSA for the requested records and because FOIA does not create an obligation to answer questions.

2021-103 Mittelstadt: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for public records related to specific individuals. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-104 Pendleton: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD provided the requested footage but redacted certain images and audio pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) as the release would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-112 Williams: The appeal challenged the response of DOES to a request for records related to payments on an identified account. DOES provided responsive documents but the appellant asserted additional documents exist. The decision of DOES was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2021-114 Miller: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the footage would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-125 Crowder: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. Prior to providing any nonexempt records, MPD issued a pre-payment invoice pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-532(b-3). The appeal was dismissed for a lack of jurisdiction; the MOLC's jurisdiction is limited to "review[ing] the public record to determine whether [a record] may be withheld from public inspection." D.C. Code § 2-537(a).

2021-126 Banks: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a homicide. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-127 Newton: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to the arrest of a specific individual. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-138 Copeland: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2021-154 Link: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage of an MPD Officer on a specific date. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2021-160 Barras: The appeal challenged the response of OCME to a request for the autopsy report of a specific individual. OCME denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3), (a)(4), (a)(6) and (e). The decision of OCME was affirmed because D.C. Code § 5-1412(c) restricts the disclosure of OCME investigatory records to those with a legitimate interest and the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-179 Arnsdorf: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for the firearm license and application of specific individuals. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3) and (a)(6). The decision of MPD was affirmed because D.C. Code §§ 7-2502.11a and 7-2509.09, restricts the disclosure of records related to the application, receipt or revocation of a firearm registration.

2021-182 Slate: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to specific individuals and addresses. MPD provided responsive documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). Under the same privacy provisions, MPD declined to release certain records about 3rd party individuals in their entirety. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-185 Barras: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for an investigatory file related to the death of an individual. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C),(a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-186 Slate: The appeal challenged the response of EOM to a request for records related to specific individuals and addresses. EOM provided responsive documents, but redacted information protected from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and 2-534(e). Under the same provisions, EOM also declined to produce some records in their entirety. The decision of EOM was affirmed, in part, because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the

associated privacy interest, and, in part, because they contained information that was both pre-decisional and deliberative.

2021-187 Slate: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for any and all emails related to specific email addresses. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the request did not adequately describe the records sought.

2021-189 Slate: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for any and all emails received from or sent to a specific email address. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the request did not adequately describe the records sought.

2021-192 Mungin: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DPW to a request for records related to requisitions created by a specific individual. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DPW.

2021-193 Malin: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific incident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2021-194 Schafer: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to specific individuals on a specific date. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2021-195 Cameron: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for records related to injuries sustained by officers on a specific date. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2021-196 Steinberg: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for any settlement agreements containing a confidentiality clause over a limited time period. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2021-199 Colgan: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DHCD to a request for documents related to a project known as "Amber Overlook." The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DHCD.

2021-200 Malin: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for police reports and records related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The matter was remanded back to MPD for a determination as to whether any additional documents have been or can be located, and whether any information contained therein is reasonably segregable.

2021-202 Smith: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a homicide investigation. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2021-204 Findlay: The appeal challenged the response of OCA to a request for communications between identified individuals related to a specified address. OCA responded that it was unable to locate any responsive documents and the appellant challenged the adequacy of the search. The decision of OCA was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2021-206 Quinn: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to respond to a request for records related to the Metropolitan Police Employee Assistance Program. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2021-207 Steinberg: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for settlement agreements containing a confidentiality clause over a limited time period. DCHA provided responsive records but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). The matter was remanded back to DCHA for a determination as to whether the disclosure of settlement amounts would violate the privacy interest of the releasors by offering additional insight as to their identities.

2021-208 Simon: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCRA to a request for records related to a specific address. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DCRA.

2021-209 Malin: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident in a medical facility. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the footage was taken in a medical facility and the release would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-210 Copeland: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(c) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation, and the release would interfere with a law enforcement proceeding.

2021-211 Copeland: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request because the footage was taken inside a personal residence and is exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2A)(A). The decision of MPD was affirmed given the representation that the footage was taken inside a personal residence.

2021-217 Lauderdale: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request because the footage was

taken inside a personal residence and is exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2A)(A). The decision of MPD was affirmed given the representation that the footage was taken inside a personal residence.

2021-219 Ye: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-220 Winter: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for forms authorizing overtime for officers. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2021-221 Santana: The appeal challenged the response of OHR to a request for records related to a submitted complaint. OHR denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(6) and 4 DCMR § 110.4 because the investigation was still open. The matter was remanded back to OHR to make a determination as to whether it had any responsive documents that are not part of the investigative file and to disclose those documents or provide a basis for withholding the same.

2021-223 Cameron: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for documents describing injuries sustained by officers as the result of a specific incident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2021-224 Faniyi: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for documents related to minor children, their mother and a specific residence. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-227 Ayele: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request seeking background information about several individuals and other entities. MPD advised that it did not have any responsive documents and it asked for clarification as to part of the request. The appeal was denied because the record did not contain any evidence that a response was provided to the request for clarification of MPD.

2021-229 Hasbrouck: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage and audio related to a specific incident. MPD advised the requester that it did not have any responsive footage or audio because it is only preserved for 90 days. The decision of MPD was affirmed because an agency is only required to disclose materials if they were "retained by a public body." D.C. Code § 2-502(18).

2021-231 Schiano: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for emails sent to or from undefined employees containing a specific phrase. MPD closed the request stating it was unable to perform a reasonable search. On appeal the request was clarified, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to conduct a search given the updated details.

2021-233 Lewis: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD advised the requester that it did not have any responsive footage because they had been purged pursuant to its retention schedule. The decision of MPD was affirmed because an agency is only required to disclose materials if they were "retained by a public body." D.C. Code § 2-502(18).

2021-234 Spindel: The appeal challenged the response of OCA to a request for documents related to an initiative to mitigate gun violence. The appeal lacked a copy of OCA's final determination and after an unsuccessful attempt to obtain the same, the matter was dismissed with the option to refile.

2021-235; 2021-242 Marshall: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific officer and incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(c) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2021-241 Rodgers: The appeal challenged the response of DCHR to a request seeking answers to a series of questions. DCHR responded to the request by providing some information and also explaining that it did not have an obligation to answer questions. The appeal was denied because an agency does not have a duty to answer questions.

2021-247 Fahey: The appeal challenged the response of DPW to a request for all notices of violation issued under the Solid Waste Education and Enforcement program for a specific time period. DPW granted the request, in part, and denied it, in part, by providing a spreadsheet containing over 179,000 entries representing tickets issued. DPW withheld information related to private citizens pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of DPW was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-248 Grigg: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific motor vehicle accident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-250 Ayele: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records. MPD closed the request because it did not have any responsive records. The decision of MPD was affirmed because speculation, unsupported by any factual evidence, that records exist is not enough to support a finding that full disclosure has not been made.

2021-251 Ryals: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to specific investigative reports. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on a finding that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation, and the release would interfere with enforcement proceedings.

2021-252 Stargard: The appeal challenged the response of DFHV to a request for records related to a specific taxicab driver. DFHV denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of DFHV was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-253 Becker: The appeal challenged the response of OCFO to a request for documents related to District financial accounts. OCFO provided the requestor with the most recently compiled data available. The decision of OCFO was affirmed because an agency does not have an obligation to create documents or answer questions.

2021-254 Lu: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for documents related to the response of police to reported gunshots. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2021-255 Marshall: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to protection provided to attendees of the 2020 Republican National Convention. MPD closed the request because it did not have any responsive records. The decision of MPD was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2021-258 Spindel: The appeal challenged the lack of response of CFSA to a request for monthly Entry Services Diversion Reports and the number of diversions conducted per the Diversion Policy issued on July 13, 2020. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by CFSA.

2021-259 Spindel: The appeal challenged the lack of response of CFSA to a request for documents related to hotline calls. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by CFSA.

2021-261 Mulhauser: The appeal challenged the response of OCTO to a request for records showing the number of requests for records submitted to the D.C. FOIA portal that are not closed as of the date of the request. OCTO closed the request because it did not have any responsive records. The decision of OCTO was affirmed given the evolving nature of the request and the requester was urged to resubmit the request, as clarified in the appeal, to OCTO for additional processing.

2021-263 Heath: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific incident and individuals. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(B), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2021-267 Marcus: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to the usage and content of a gang database. MPD granted the request, in part, by providing records

that redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). MPD denied the request to the extent it required the creation of records and because the search terms did not adequately describe the records sought. The decision of MPD was affirmed because it does not have a duty to create statistical data and the search terms did not adequately describe the records sought.

2021-268 Ayele: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to ties between MPD and George Washington University. MPD closed the request because it did not have any responsive documents. Following a discussion with MPD, it agreed to revisit the search for a specific document sought by the requester.

2022-001 Marritz: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to the Nextdoor application. MPD advised that it did not have any responsive records to a portion of the request and denied the remainder pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4) because it contained information protected from disclosure by the deliberative process privilege. The matter was remanded back to MPD to cite the exemption relied upon in response to a portion of the request and further explain the applicability of D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4).

2022-003 Thorne: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a daily arrest/"lock up list" for a specific time period. MPD denied the request because "[a] search located no responsive data for the period and in the format requested [and] [p]roducing such data as requested would require considerable research, consolidation and analysis of data, and data quality reviews" which an agency is not required to perform. MPD also noted that "[t]he great majority of the information…requested, if it did exist in an existing record as requested, would be exempt from release under the FOIA… [because] [a]bsent authorization, and/or (a) privacy waiver(s), a release of such information… would constitute an (a clearly) unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and would be exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(2) and (a)(3)(C)." The decision of MPD was affirmed because it did not have the cumulative data in the specific format requested, and it does not have a duty to create the same.

2022-004 Stamatis: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD provided the requested footage but redacted certain images and audio pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) as the release would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-005 Jia-Sobota: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested footage would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-007 Davis: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for records related to specific homicides. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-009 Jindal: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested footage would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. Further, MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2A)(A) because the footage was recorded inside a personal residence. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest, and the footage was taken inside a personal residence.

2022-012 Marcus: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DYRS to a request for records related to the usage and content of a gang database. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DYRS.

2022-013 Rimmer: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to specific incidents and individuals. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-017 Ayele: The appeal challenged the response of BEGA to a request seeking various records unrelated to the agency. BEGA denied the request because it did not have any responsive records. The decision of BEGA was affirmed because speculation is not enough to support a finding that full disclosure has not been made and an agency does not have a duty to answer questions.

2022-020 Smith: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to the death of an individual and the resulting investigation. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i) and (a)(3)(B). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the investigation remains open.

2022-021 Seyoum: The appeal challenged the response of UDC to a request for records related to specific individuals. UDC granted the request, in part, by providing responsive records and denied it, in part, pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. UDC also declined to answer questions that were presented. The decision of UDC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-022 Heath: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for copies of all complaints or grievances about conditions at the D.C. jail made by detainees, their legal representatives or counsel since a specific date. DOC asked the requester to provide names and authorizations because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). The decision of DOC was affirmed given its request for clarification, in conformity with 1 DCMR § 402.5.

2022-023 Lewis: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a series of FOIA requests seeking records about a specific incident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-024 Lewis: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-025 Taranto: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to specific individuals and incidents. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(B), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2022-027 Mulhauser: The appeal challenged the response of OCA to a request for records related to the Building Blocks D.C. initiative. OCA provided some responsive records but denied the request, in part, pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2–534(a)(3) and (a)(4). The decision of OCA was affirmed, in part, and remanded, in part, for the identification and production of data sources and criteria used in identifying specific blocks. It is noted this was a revised decision after the inadvertent release of a draft.

2022-029 Devine: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for communications between identified individuals containing specific terms. MPD denied the request and asked for clarification. The decision of MPD was affirmed given its request for clarification, in conformity with 1 DCMR § 402.5.

2022-030 Guo: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to respond to a request for "all records provided to Judicial Watch in response to their request (2021-FOIA-04979)." The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2022-034 Drobnis: The appeal challenged the response of HSEMA to a request for video footage from a street camera at a specific location. HSEMA advised the requester that it did not have access to the requested camera and provided a list of accessible cameras. Using information in the appeal, the correct location of the camera was identified. However, due to the passage of time, the footage from the identified camera had been purged. The decision of HSEMA was affirmed and regret was expressed over the inability to provide the requested footage within the short window that it was available.

2022-036 Abdelhady: The appeal challenged the response of DOES to a request for records related to specific employers and entities. The appeal did not include a copy of the written denial as required by 1 DCMR § 412.4 and a determination as to the sufficiency of the response of DOES could not be made. The requester was invited to resubmit the appeal with additional information.

2022-038 Schnide: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to timesheets and authorizations for overtime of specific officers. MPD granted the request, in part, and denied it, in part, pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-039 Mohseni: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-043 Mondragon: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OANC to a request for documents related to the field next to John Burroughs Elementary School, the Brookland Football Club, ANC 5 and other individuals. OANC denied the request because it did not adequately describe the records sought. The decision of OANC was remanded to conduct a search for responsive documents and to disclose any nonexempt records.

2022-045 Dagle: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to the missing person report for a specific individual. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-049 Parker: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to any arrest made at a specific date, time and location. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-050 Parker: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to any arrest made at a specific date, time and location. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-051 Parker: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to any arrest made at a specific date, time and location. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-052 Becker: The appeal challenged the response of OCFO to a request for any and all internal and external documents, emails, notes of meetings, or any other materials pertaining to any and all aspects of a prior FOIA request which sought documents records maintained by the Permanent Records of the Investment Advisory Committee. OCFO denied the request asserting it was excessively broad and did not sufficiently describe the records sought. The decision of OCFO was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4) because the documents contained information protected from disclosure by the deliberative process privilege.

2022-054 Gural: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for records related to crime data and statistics. MPD initially denied the request asserting it did not have an obligation to create records. On appeal, the request was clarified and MPD produced responsive records. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-055 Cummings: The appeal challenged the response of DACL to a request for records related to an application for disability services/a personal care attendant. DACL initially denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) but subsequently provided responsive records following the verification of the requester's identity. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DACL.

2022-057 Daniels: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for "the technical proposals from the development teams selected as finalists in the RFP process [for Greenleaf Redevelopment], including their best-and-final offers." DCHA denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(1)(trade secrets or commercial information obtained from outside the government) and (a)(6)(information exempt from disclosure by statute). The matter was remanded back to DCHA to reconsider the request and disclose any nonexempt records because D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(1) was not sufficiently supported and § 2-534(a)(6) was inapplicable.

2022-059 Parker: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records related to any calls for service at a specific location and the names of any EMT dispatched. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of OUC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-060 Farley: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific officer, date and incident. MPD provided the requested documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-061 Speller: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for all parking tickets issued by an officer at a specific location. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-062 Maxwell: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for a specific police report. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-063 Guerrieri: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for a police report involving a specific individual. MPD provided a "non-involved traffic crash report" but declined to provide information identifying the involved parties pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(6)(information exempt from disclosure by statute). Specifically, MPD asserted "D.C. Code § 50-1401.01b prohibits the release of personal information from accident reports except in very limited circumstances—none of which apply in this case." The decision of MPD was affirmed because D.C. Code § 50-1401.01b(a)(3)(A) defines personal information as "an individual's photograph or image, social security number, driver identification number or identification card number, name, address, telephone number, medical or disability information, and emergency contact information."

2022-064 Shaivitz: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific officer, date and incident. MPD provided the requested documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-065 Trotta: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for a copy of the video obtained by an officer from a witness. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested video is part of an ongoing investigation.

2022-066 Park: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DHCD to a request for communications between DHCD and a specific condominium unit owner's association. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DHCD.

2022-067 Copeland: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident report. MPD provided the requested footage but redacted certain images and audio pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed and the requester was advised to contact MPD for an uncorrupted copy of the footage.

2022-068 Crane: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to two requests seeking documents and body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-069 Kuehnert: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to an accident involving a specific person. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested records are part of an ongoing investigation.

2022-070 Langley: The appeal challenged the response of OSSE to a request for **a**ny and all records relating to the Director of Student Hearings recruitment for the most recent posting in July/August 2021. OSSE provided the requested documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). OSSE declined to produce any additional records pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4) because they contained information protected from disclosure by the deliberative process privilege. The decision of OSSE was affirmed because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy and based on the representation a final selection had not been made.

2022-073 Rodriguez: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for videos, documents and other records related to a pedestrian accident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The matter was remanded back to MPD because the investigation was closed, and the requestor provided a signed authorization.

2022-074 Douglas: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to respond to a request for video related to a specific incident. The appeal did not include a copy of MPD's final decision, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2022-075 Howe-Goldstein: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for all records, audio and video related to a police response at a private residence. MPD had erroneously closed the request as a duplicate submission. On appeal, MPD re-opened the request and denied it pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-076 Waddell: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for various statistics of various conditions suffered by inmates. DOC responded to the request stating it did not have any responsive records. On appeal, DOC revisited the request and provided responsive records. The matter was remanded back to DOC to conduct an adequate search for responsive documents over an extended period of time.

2022-077 Johnson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for a specific incident report. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-078 Parker: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific incident report. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-080 Farley: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to an automobile accident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-081 Johnson: The appeal challenged the responses of OTR to multiple requests for various financial records related to the Howard Theater Development Group LLC and its partners Howard Theater Restoration. OTR responded to the requests by providing "copies of real property tax bills issued to the entities named in this request, as such information is publicly available." The remainder of the request was denied pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(1)("trade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from outside the government, to the extent that disclosure would result in substantial harm to the competitive position of the person from whom the information was obtained.") and 2-534(a)(6)("[i]nformation specifically exempted from disclosure by statute…"). The decision of OTR was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(6) because D.C. Code § 47-4406(a) restricts the disclosure of tax information.

2022-082 Dean: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage of an officer related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing criminal investigation the disclosure of which would interfere with a law enforcement proceeding.

2022-083 Edgerton: The appeal challenged the response of DOH to a request for all documents and communications related to COVID testing rates in schools for the fall semester of 2021. DOH referred the requester to publicly available statistics and denied the remainder of the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(1) and (a)(6). Given the appeal demonstrated a disconnect between the request and the processing of the request, the matter was remanded back to DOH to seek further clarification as to the records sought, to search for those records and provide a copy of any such non-exempt records that are identified.

2022-084 Malakar: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OS to a request for details regarding the issuance of municipal bonds. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by OS.

2022-086 Edgerton: The appeal challenged the response of OSSE to a request for any agreement between an agency of the DC government and an entity that involves testing children in schools for COVID-19. OSSE responded to the request by stating it did not have any responsive records and referring the requester to "the Office of Contracts and Procurement (OCP) as the agency originating and holding all final contract agreement records for District agencies within its purview." The decision of OSSE was affirmed given it performed an adequate search and the referral to OCP was appropriate.

2022-087 Deane: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for the locations of all homicides that occurred in Ward 7 for 1979-1988. MPD provided responsive records that had been redacted pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(6). The matter was remanded back to MPD for an explanation as to the applicability of D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) and D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(C), in the context of the redactions made (the location of the homicide, etc.), cite to another applicable exemption and/or produce a copy of the responsive records without redactions.

2022-092 Coughlin: The appeal challenged the response of DOH to a request for records related to students and COVID-19. DOH referred the requester to publicly available statistics and referred the requester to OSSE and DCPS. The decision of DOH was affirmed given its explanation which detailed the contemporary and future availability of responsive records.

2022-094 McLean: The appeal challenged the response of OCP to a request for "the current UnitedHealthcare Dual Complete Dual Special Needs Plan (PPO D-SNP) contract with the District of Columbia." After OCP dropped a pre-payment fee demand and provided the requested records, the appeal was dismissed as moot.

2022-096 Austermuhle: The appeal challenged the lack of response of EOM to two FOIA requests that sought specific contracts and invoices. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation that EOM had issued responses to the two requests.

2022-098 Coughlin: The appeal challenged the response of DGS to a request for public records that fulfill the data reporting requirements pursuant to Sec.105(b) of the Protecting Our Children Emergency Amendment Act B24-0403 which was enacted on October 26, 2021. DGS provided

responsive records, but the appellant asserted additional documents exist. The decision of DGS was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2022-100 Robbins: The appeal challenged OSA's failure to respond to a series of requests which sought email communications between certain individuals and entities. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to OSA to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2022-102 Douglas: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to respond to a request for five categories of records related to personnel activities in Israel. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2022-103 Johnson: The appeal challenged the response of DMPED to a request for "the annual lease payment history of $25,000 with 5% increase after 5 years from 2012 to 2022… [and] the outstanding property and possessory taxes during same time period." DMPED responded by advising the requestor that any responsive records in its possession were exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(1)("[t]rade secrets and commercial or financial information obtained from outside the government, to the extent that disclosure would result in substantial harm to the competitive position of the person from whom the information was obtained."). DMPED also advised the requester that it does not maintain any records related to property and possessory tax and referred the requester to OTR for this portion of the request. The decision of DMPED was affirmed given the representation that the information sought is exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(1).

2022-104 Smith: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for the processing notes and records related to a FOIA request. MPD provided the requester with responsive documents that had been redacted pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(c) which protect information of a personal nature, the release of which would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. Additional pages were withheld in their entirety, under D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4), which protects information, *inter alia*, that is protected from disclosure by the deliberative process privilege. The appeal was dismissed because a copy of the records in question were not included in the appeal.

2022-105; 2022-108 Konigsburg: These two consolidated appeals challenged the lack of response of MPD to a series of requests which sought various records regarding Lewis Crowe Park and the Valero shopping center. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-109 Konigsburg: The appeal challenged the response of EOM to a request for emails to and from by Kenyan R. McDuffie including the subject and all information related to Lewis Crowe Park in Ivy City, NE - on West Virginia and Mt. Olivet and Capitol Ave NE. The appeal was denied as EOM advised the requester that it was not the proper recipient of the request as the named individual was not an EOM employee.

2022-111 Konigsburg: The appeal challenged the response of DHS to a request for records related to a specific neighborhood. The appeal was denied as DHS properly responded to the request by asserting it lacked specificity.

2022-113 Harvey: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a police report. MPD responded by requesting proof of identity and additional information in order to conduct a search for the report. The decision of MPD was affirmed as the requester failed to respond to MPD's request for clarification.

2022-115 Douglas: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for communications related to the dispatch of the Fire Department and/or Emergency Medical Services in response to a specific incident. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). The decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) given there was a privacy interest in a record and no countervailing public interest.

2022-116 Khatri: The appeal challenged the response of UDC to a request for public records related to faculty and operations. UDC provided responsive records, but the appellant asserted additional documents exist. The decision of UDC was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2022-117 Boulanger: The appeal challenged the response of DOH to a request for a subpoena response. DOH provided a copy of the subpoena but asserted the responsive documents were exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(1), (a)(2) and (a)(4). The decision of DOH was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(1) because the requested information was obtained from outside the government, the party from which it was obtained faces actual competition, and the disclosure of the documents would cause a competitive injury.

2022-118 Infami: The appeal challenged the response of DOH to a request for a subpoena response. DOH provided a copy of the subpoena but asserted the responsive documents were exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(1), (a)(2) and (a)(4). The decision of DOH was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(1) because the requested information was obtained from outside the government, the party from which it was obtained faces actual competition, and the disclosure of the documents would cause a competitive injury.

2022-119 Townsend: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to the arrest of a specific individual. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-121; 2022-122 McCoy: These appeals challenged the response of DOH to a request for a subpoena response. DOH provided a copy of the subpoena but asserted the responsive documents were exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(1), (a)(2) and (a)(4). The decision of DOH was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(1) because the requested information was obtained from outside the government, the party from which it was obtained faces actual competition, and the disclosure of the documents would cause a competitive injury.

2022-123 Stone: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DOEE to a request for documents related to a facility at a specific address. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DOEE.

2022-124 Fakri: The appeal challenged the lack of response of FEMS to a request for a specific incident report. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by FEMS.

2022-126 Abdelhady: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DOES to a request for statements submitted by a specific employer. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DOES.

2022-127 Kirwin: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DPW to a request for a listing of all fines assessed against the owner of a specific property. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DPW.

2022-128 Lehmkuhl: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for communications related to an incident at a specific address. OUC responded by stating there were no 911 calls, and a subpoena was required because the matter was pending in court. The decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i) in consideration of the pending proceeding.

2022-129 Phillips: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to respond to a request for records related to personnel and training of specific divisions. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2022-132 Khatri: The appeal challenged the response of UDC to a request for public records related to faculty and operations. UDC provided responsive records, but the appellant asserted additional documents exist. The decision of UDC was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2022-135 Copeland: The appeal challenged the responses of MPD to three requests for body-worn camera footage. For two of the requests, MPD responded by stating it did not have any responsive records. For the remaining request, MPD provided the requested footage but redacted certain images and audio pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) as the release would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant. The decision of MPD was affirmed because it conducted an adequate search and the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-136 Fletcher: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for "the voice call/calls placed by MPD requesting fire assistance" at a specific address. MPD referred the requester to OUC as the custodian of the records. The decision of MPD was affirmed as it does not retain the type of records sought.

2022-137 Musgrave: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific adverse hearing. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the privacy interest.

2022-138 Maharaj: The appeal challenged the response of OIG to a request for records related to specific employes and a Reconsideration and Resolution Committee Performance Hearing. OIG granted the request, in part, by providing responsive documents, and denied it, in part, pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4) because the records contained information protected from disclosure by the deliberative process privilege. Following a review of the withheld records, the decision of OIG was affirmed.

2022-139 Fulton: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records and audio files related to a specific medical clinic. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). In consideration of the "strong privacy interest" in avoiding abortion-related violence the decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2)

2022-140 Golden: The appeal challenged the response of FEMS to a request for records related to a specific medical clinic. FEMS denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2)("Information of a personal nature where the public disclosure thereof would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.") and (a)(6)(B)("Information specifically exempted from disclosure by statute…"). The decision of FEMS was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the privacy interest of individuals identified in incident reports and dispatch logs. Further, HIPAA prohibits covered entities from using or disclosing protected health information except as provided in the HIPAA regulations. 45 C.F.R. § 164.502(a) (2016).

2022-141 Patel: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records and audio files related to a specific medical clinic. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i) and (a)(3)(E). In consideration of the "strong privacy interest" in avoiding abortion-related violence the decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2).

2022-142 Nelson: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records and audio files related to a specific medical clinic. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i) and (a)(3)(E). In consideration of the "strong privacy interest" in avoiding abortion-related violence the decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2).

2022-143 White: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records and audio files related to a specific medical clinic. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i) and (a)(3)(E). In consideration of the "strong privacy interest" in avoiding abortion-related violence the decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2).

2022-144 Dennis: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for records related to all vehicle repossessions in the last 10 years. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-145 Bangs: The appeal challenged the response of DMPSJ to a request for records showing the amount that the employees of violence intervention service contractors are paid. DMPSJ referred the request to ONSE because it does not maintain the type of records sought. The decision of DMPSJ was affirmed because it conducted an appropriate search and referred the requester to ONSE for responsive records.

2022-146 Doherty: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records and audio files related to a specific medical clinic. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). In consideration of the "strong privacy interest" in avoiding abortion-related violence the decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2)

2022-147 Wells: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records and audio files related to a specific medical clinic. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). In consideration of the "strong privacy interest" in avoiding abortion-related violence the decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2)

2022-148 May: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records and audio files related to a specific medical clinic. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). In consideration of the "strong privacy interest" in avoiding abortion-related violence the decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2).

2022-149 Golden: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records and audio files related to a specific medical clinic. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). In consideration of the "strong privacy interest" in avoiding abortion-related violence the decision of OUC was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2).

2022-150 Abdelhady: The appeal challenged the responses of OCTO to two requests for emails containing specific terms. OCTO denied one request stating that it did not have any responsive records and referred the other request to DOES. The decision of OCTO was affirmed because speculation, unsupported by any factual evidence, that records exist is not enough to support a finding that full disclosure has not been made. Further, Mayor's Order 2008-88 clarifies that requests for emails should be submitted to the agency that is the subject of the request and not OCTO, which maintains email records merely as a custodian.

2022-152 Prince: The appeal challenged the responses of DCPL to a request for records related to ejections from D.C. public libraries. DCPL provided responsive records, stated it did not have other records, referred the requester to another request and declined to answer specific questions in the request. The appeal was denied as the response of DCPL to the request was appropriate.

2022-153 Lee: The appeal challenged DCHA's failure to respond to a request for a specific memo. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to DCHA to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2022-154 Copeland: The appeal challenged the responses of MPD to three requests for body-worn camera footage. For one of the requests, MPD advised the requester that it did not have any responsive footage. For the remaining two requests, MPD provided the requested footage but redacted certain images and audio pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2)("[i]nformation of a personal nature where the public disclosure thereof would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy") and (a)(2a)(a)(body-worn camera footage related to an incident of domestic violence or sexual assault). The decision of MPD was affirmed because it conducted an adequate search and the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-155 Mercer: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for a homicide file. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(3)(A)(i)(investigatory records compiled for law enforcement purposes are exempt from disclosure to the extent the production of the records would interfere with an enforcement proceeding). The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to address the requester's contention that the pending proceeding has closed.

2022-156 Carpenter: The appeal challenged the response of DDOT to a request for the Hydrology and Hydraulic Analysis, or any similar information or assessment regarding hydrology, hydraulic, or drainage considerations for the design of the cofferdams and pump-around system for the Pinehurst Branch Erosion and Sediment Control Plan. DDOT provided responsive records, but the requester asserted additional documents exist. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to DDOT for an explanation of the search performed and/or the production of any additional documents that are identified.

2022-157 Ziemke: The appeal challenged the fee issued by DMV following the production of records in response to a request for a "[l]ist of license plate numbers of all vehicles owned and operated by DC city government" with a date range for record search of January 1, 2021 to January 27, 2022. The appeal was dismissed for a lack of jurisdiction; the MOLC's jurisdiction is limited to "review[ing] the public record to determine whether [a record] may be withheld from public inspection." D.C. Code § 2-537(a).

2022-158 Washington: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request in-full pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2022-159 Austermuhle: The appeal challenged DOES' failure to respond to a request for any emails, letters, or memos that include the name Martin Austermuhle. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to DOES to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2022-160 Simon: The appeal challenged DCHA's failure to respond to a request for presentation slides, a rent reasonableness study and the copy of a contract. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to DCHA to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2022-161 Musgrave: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for information related to tracking the submission and/or approval of Court Appearance Worksheets (otherwise known as P.D. 140's). MPD provided the requested documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C). The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-162 Contrera: The appeal challenged the responses of MPD to three requests for records related to a specific officer. MPD denied all of the requests pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-163 Ravnitzky: The appeal challenged EOM's failure to respond to a request for "[t]he Day Ahead Communications Rundown (email) for the Executive Office of the Mayor (EOM) for each day during the time period September 1, 2019 to the present." The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by EOM.

2022-164 Ravnitzky: The appeal challenged EOM's failure to respond to a request for records related to a helicopter noise study. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by EOM.

2022-165 Mulhauser: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to respond to a request for records related to the agency's use of outside public relations or messaging consultant assistance with explaining police policy or actions. The appeal was granted and the matter was remanded back to MPD to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2022-166 Van Dine: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for all records related to a homicide. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C),(a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(A)(i). After the investigation closed, MPD provided the responsive records, and the appeal was dismissed as moot.

2022-167 Simon: The appeal challenged DCHA's failure to respond to a request for a copy of the "Novogradac rent reasonableness study" and the "Novogradac contract." As detailed in a prior decision, the appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2022-168 Stonebarger: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for several 911 call transcripts. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of OUC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-169 Musgrave: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for a listing of Adverse Action Panels. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-171 Knight: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for a listing of all emails sent or received by a specific officer. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-172 Bossi: The appeal challenged the response of DMV to a request for emails related to a dumpster sitting in front of a specific property address. DMV could not locate any responsive records, but the appellant asserted additional documents exist. The decision of DMV was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2022-173 Coughlin: The appeal challenged DCPS' failure to respond to a request for "all data from February 28, 2022 to the present related to the total number of DCPS students and personnel in quarantine on each school day broken out by school and grade level." The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DCPS.

2022-174 Mercer: The appeal challenged the response of DFS to a request for a laboratory case file related to a homicide investigation. DFS denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i) and (a)(3)(E). The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to DFS to address the contention the referenced proceeding has closed, the applicability of D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(E), cite to another applicable exemption and/or produce a copy of any responsive records that are not exempt from disclosure.

2022-175 Macvicar: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific accident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-177 Chung: The appeal challenged MPD's response to a request seeking records related to a specific incident. MPD had requested additional information about the request. The appeal was dismissed as moot once the requester provided the additional information requested and MPD agreed to provide a response.

2022-178 Wolf: The appeal challenged the response of DCPS to a request for specific emails of DCPS employees. Prior to providing any nonexempt records, DCPS issued a pre-payment invoice pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-532(b-3). The appeal was dismissed for a lack of jurisdiction; the MOLC's jurisdiction is limited to "review[ing] the public record to determine whether [a record] may be withheld from public inspection." D.C. Code § 2-537(a).

2022-179 Coles: The appeal challenged the lack of response of FEMS to a request for records related to an Arson investigation. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by FEMS.

2022-181 Dennis: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for records related to all vehicle repossessions in the last 10 years. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(E). The appeal was dismissed given MPD's representation that the disclosure of the requested records would reveal investigative techniques and procedures not generally known outside the government.

2022-183 Obis: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for records related to the death of an inmate. DOC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of DOC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-184 Obis: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for records related to the death of an inmate. DOC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of DOC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-185 TateWhatley: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records of calls made between specific locations. MPD referred the request to OUC because it does not maintain the type of records sought. The decision of MPD was affirmed given its referral to OUC for the requested records.

2022-186 Khan-Tareen: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for an arrest warrant related to a specific event. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-187 Newsome: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for any records related to a paid informant. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-188 Newsome: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for any records related to a paid informant. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-189 Friendly: The appeal challenged the response of DOH to a request for emails to and from an individual and an entity. DOH provided redacted records, but the appellant asserted

additional records exist. The decision of DOH was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2022-190 Bond: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a traffic stop. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-191 Spindel: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for copies of all arrest records, Gersteins, and charging documents of child abuse or neglect cases. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-194 Abernethy: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for documents related to a homicide. MPD provided responsive records that had been redacted pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(4). The personal privacy redactions were affirmed but the matter was otherwise remanded back to MPD to provide responsive records.

2022-197 Bales: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for any document showing the payment of a fine by a specific officer. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-198 Luce: The appeal challenged the lack of response of CFSA to a request for "[c]opies of the CFSA Newborn Safe Haven annual status report for calendar years 2016, 2017, and 2018." The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by CFSA.

2022-199 Mcmullen: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific investigation. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-200 Marshall: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to an officer. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-202 Li: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for the results of a polygraph examination. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(E). The appeal was dismissed given MPD's representation that the disclosure of the requested records would reveal investigative techniques and procedures not generally known outside the government.

2022-203 Zalsman: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-204 Matheson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OIG to a request for specific complaints and investigative reports. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by OIG.

2022-205 Edelman: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for data on the use of force incidents. MPD referred the requester to publicly available data, but the requester asserted it was incomplete. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to address the concerns of the requester.

2022-206 Seegars: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage from a specific incident. MPD provided responsive footage, but the requester asserted additional footage was not provided. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to produce the additional footage, confirm it does not exist or cite an applicable exemption.

2022-207 Thompson: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for emails sent from an individual to DCHA board members. DCHA provided the requested documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). The decision of DCHA was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-208 Khatri: The appeal challenged the response of UDC to a request for specific emails and faculty workload assignments. UDC responded to the request asserting it was unable to locate the requested faculty teaching assignment records following a manual and automated search. Further, UDC requested additional information regarding the request for emails. The decision of UDC was affirmed based on the search performed and request for additional information for which it did not receive a response.

2022-210 Gural: The appeal challenged DCHA's response to a request for specific contracts and data. DCHA advised the requester it did not have any responsive documents. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to DCHA to clarify the search performed and to take any additional action necessary.

2022-211 Thompson: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for the most recent monthly status report to HUD that contains DCHA's occupancy rate. Following the appeal, DCHA provided additional records and the matter was dismissed as moot.

2022-212 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response to a request for DCHA data that was submitted to the Director of Public Affairs and Communications. On receipt of the appeal, DCHA responded stating that it was not aware of the request. The appeal was dismissed as the request was not properly submitted to the DCHA agency head, FOIA Officer, or through the District's FOIA submission portal.

2022-213 Spindel: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for copies of all arrest records, Gersteins, and charging documents of child abuse or neglect cases. MPD asked for a signed authorization for the records sought and placed the request on hold. The appeal was granted

the matter was remanded back to MPD to conduct a search, as is, or further engage the requester for clarification.

2022-214 Abdelhady: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DOES to a request for records related to specific employers and entities. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DOES.

2022-215 Herbert: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCRA to a request for [a]ll investigative reports, photographs, notes, witness statements and all other documentation regarding any violations issued to the landlord of a specific property. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DCRA.

2022-217 Maharaj: The appeal challenged the response of OIG to a request for records related to various project plans. OIG provided responsive documents, but the appellant asserted additional documents exist. The decision of OIG was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2022-218 Maharaj: The appeal challenged the response of DCHR to a request for personnel records of an employee. DCHR denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy The decision of DCHR was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2022-219 Jones: The appeal challenged the response of DMPED to a request for emails related to the Washington Commanders. DMPED provided non-exempt records but withheld additional records pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4), the deliberative process privilege. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to DMPED to provide a detailed explanation of the search performed and the applicability of D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4) to the withheld records.

2022-220 Hawkins: The appeal challenged the response of DDOT to a request for documents related to dockless, electric scooters. DDOT provided redacted records and withheld additional records pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(1), (a)(2) and (a)(4). The decision of DDOT was affirmed, in part, because it conducted an adequate search and it properly withheld documents pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4), the deliberative process privilege. The matter was remanded back to DDOT to produce other documents or explain the applicability of D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(1).

2022-221 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for records related to monthly HUD reports. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2022-222 Matzelevich: The appeal challenged the response of EOM to a request for a copy of the Mayor's response and any additional responses related to Councilmember Cheh's August 10 inquiry about the Hearst Park and Pool Project. EOM provided responsive records, but the requester questioned the documents provided. The appeal was dismissed as EOM conducted an adequate search and did not have an obligation to answer questions about the documents produced.

2022-223 Lewis: The appeal challenged the response of DCPL to a request for video footage taken in the Anacostia library. DCPL denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of DCPL was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2022-224 Silverman: The appeal challenged the response of DCPL to a request for personnel and policy records. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the release of additional records by DCPL.

2022-225 Matzelevich: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DME to a request for a copy of a response the Deputy Mayor provided to Councilmember Cheh regarding her letter of September 16, 2021 to Deputy Mayor Kihn requesting an explanation for the delays to the Hearst Park and Pool project. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DME.

2022-227 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for specific emails. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2022-228 McMullen: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records related to a specific accident. MPD had provided a copy of responsive records with redactions made pursuant to D.C. Code §2-534(a)(2). The appeal was denied because the cited privacy interest was not outweighed by any public interest in disclosure; it was unclear how disclosing the redacted information would be relevant to MPD's conduct as an agency.

2022-229 Bell: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records associated with a specific report number. MPD had denied the request because, in the absence of an authorization and/or waiver, the release of the records would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and is exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(C). The appeal was denied because the cited privacy interest was not outweighed by any public interest in disclosure; it was unclear how disclosing the requested documents would be relevant to MPD's conduct as an agency.

2022-230 Conner: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for traffic camera footage from a specific accident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2022-231 Thompson: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for data regarding public housing units, including "unit-by-unit data that would include fields such as: Status of unit (occupied, demo, MOD, move-in ready, awaiting turnover, etc.); Previous status of unit; Date of change in status; Other relevant dates; Date unit built/acquired; Type of unit; Location of unit; Size of unit." DCHA had provided data that only included some of the requested fields. DCHA also asserted that the request did not adequately describe the records sought. The appeal was remanded to DCHA to engage with [the requester] on the issue of clarifying [the] request within the parameters of a search for which DCHA believes it can reasonably perform.

2023-001 Thompson: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for "an electronic copy, in .xlsx or .csv format, if possible, of the unit-by-unit data maintained by DCHA regarding its vacant public housing units containing the date each unit became vacant and its address and/or identifying unit number." The appeal was dismissed as moot in consideration of FOIA Appeal Decision 2022-231.

2023-002 Ryals: The appeal challenged the response of DCHFA to a request for records related to a particular investigation. DCHFA had denied the request in full pursuant to the deliberative process privilege and attorney-client privilege, D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4). The appeal was denied because "following a review of the Vaughn index prepared by DCHFA in conjunction with the documents as provided—consisting of emails between counsel for the DCHFA Board of Directors, the DCHFA Office of General Counsel and other agency personnel—this Office is satisfied with the representation that they contain pre-decisional and deliberative communications, records protected from disclosure by the attorney-client privilege, and documents reflecting attorney work-product."

2023-003 Bruckheim: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for "a copy of a radio run call to MPD" for a specific incident. OUC had denied the request in full citing D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(E) which protects from disclosure "[i]nvestigatory records compiled for law-enforcement purposes… but only to the extent that the production of such records would…[d]isclose investigative techniques and procedures not generally known outside the government[.]" OUC also referenced "interfere[nce] with the enforcement proceedings by revealing the direction and pace of the investigation" as a basis for denial. The appeal was remanded to OUC "for the issuance of a determination 1) confirming whether or not there is still an ongoing investigation with respect to the subject incident; 2) clarifying whether or not [D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i)] is the applicable exemption being asserted; and 3) assessing whether a discretionary release of the requested 911 call is reasonable under the known circumstances."

2023-004 Dodd: The appeal challenged the response of DHCD to a request for "the demographics of persons who were picked through IZ lottery." DHCD had provided a link to "information regarding the IZ Affordable Housing Program" and "PowerPoint presentation, which all residents must attend before registering for the program." DHCD also explained that it "does not collect demographic information of the Inclusionary Zoning (IZ) registered households." The appeal was denied because DHCD properly responded to the request.

2023-005 Mulhauser: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MOLC to a request for FOIA appeal summaries. The Office of the Secretary dismissed the appeal as moot following the confirmation of a full response by MOLC.

2023-006 Conner: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage from a specific accident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2023-007 Lewis: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident inside of the Anacostia public library. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C),(a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision

of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-008 Jablow: The appeal challenged the response of BEGA to a request for a recording of the conciliation meeting held in response to an Open Meetings Act complaint. BEGA denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(4), (a)(3)(E) and (a)(3)(a)(i). In the absence of reviewing the video, in consideration of BEGA's oversight of OOG—which maintains the authority to issue advisory opinions on the implementation of FOIA pursuant to D.C. Code § 1–1162.05c(d)—the decision of BEGA was affirmed.

2023-009 Rosenberg: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to the investigation of an individual. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(B), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The matter was remanded back to MPD to address the request given the closure of the criminal proceeding, to engage with the requester as to any authorization required, cite to an ongoing exemption relied upon and/or to produce a copy of any responsive records that are not exempt from disclosure.

2023-010 Dole: The appeal challenged the response of DCRB to a request for various documents related to consultants and the budget. DCRB provided a referral to where the documents could be found online, now and in the future (pending an audit) and refused to otherwise answer an inquiry contained in the request. The decision of DCRB was affirmed as it properly responded to the request.

2023-011 Smith: The appeal challenged DOEE's closure without explanation of a request for various records relating to beekeeping. The appeal was dismissed as moot based on DOEE's representation that it was re-opening the request and would provide any non-exempt responsive records within 11 days.

2023-012 Golinker: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCSWA to a request for records pertaining to lead pipe replacement at a specific address. The appeal was dismissed as moot because DCWSA had provided the date it expected to issue its final determination.

2023-013 Soltas: The appeal challenged the response of DHCD to a request for documents related to low-income housing. DHCD provided a referral to online documents and asserted other documents were exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(1) and (a)(4). The decision of DHCD was affirmed because the requested information was obtained from outside the government, the party from which it was obtained faces actual competition, and the disclosure of the documents would cause a competitive injury. Further, DHCD appropriately withheld documents in their entirety pursuant to the deliberative process privilege.

2023-014 Speciale: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for all records "related to the Virginia Women for Trump rally in front of the US Supreme Court on January 5, 2021." The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2023-015 Schmidt: The appeal challenged SBOE's failure to respond to a request for specific communications and "materials that SBOE received from the Office of the State Superintendent of Education on 14 July 2022." At the time of the appeal, SBOE continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to SBOE to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-016 McMullen: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to respond to a request for various records related to a specific motor vehicle collision. At the time of the appeal, MPD continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to MPD to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-017 Shetty: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for an audio copy of 911 calls and documents related to a specific incident. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of OUC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-018 Schlom: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABRA to 4 requests for documents related to specific case numbers. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by ABRA.

2023-019 Henderson: The appeal challenged the response of OANC to a request for specific emails. OANC had issued a final response stating it did not have any responsive records, but additional information may prompt another search. The appeal was denied because OANC conducted an adequate search given the request.

2023-020 Schlom: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABRA to 2 requests for documents related to specific case numbers. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by ABRA.

2023-021 Abdelhady: The appeal challenged the response of BEGA to a request for various records related to a specific case. BEGA had denied the request in full pursuant to D.C. Code §2-534(a)(3)(C). The appeal was denied because the cited privacy interest was not outweighed by any public interest in disclosure; it was unclear how disclosing the information would be relevant to BEGA's conduct as an agency.

2023-022 McBrien: The appeal challenged the lack of response of EOM to a request for emails containing specific domains and keywords. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by EOM.

2023-023 Fritz: The appeal challenged the lack of response of FEMS to a request for a specific vehicle fire report. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by FEMS.

2023-024 Prince: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for transcripts of 911 calls related to a specific incident. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2),

(a)(3)(E),(a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). The decision of OUC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-025 McFarland: The appeal challenged the response of DOB to a request for the names, position titles and position Grades of all Permit Operations Division (POD) employees who were designated by the Board of Ethics and Government Accountability (BEGA) as a Confidential Filer and were required to submit a Financial Disclosure Statement. DOB denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(2). The decision of DOB was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(6) because the requested statements are confidential by law.

2023-026 Hermann: The appeal challenged the response of OCME to a request for a specific autopsy report. OCME denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(4) and (a)(6). The decision of OCME was affirmed because, per statute, the requester did not have an automatic right to the records sought, and the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-027 Green: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for 911 calls for a specific incident. OUC had referred the requester to the U.S. Capitol Police. The appeal was denied because OUC properly responded to the request.

2023-028 Hermann: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a death investigation. MPD had denied the request because the release of such records could interfere with a future proceeding by revealing the direction and pace of the investigation and are therefore exempt from disclosure under D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i). The appeal was denied based on MPD's representation of an ongoing investigation involving a death.

2023-030 Bastien: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DDOT to a request for traffic camera footage of a specific automobile accident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DDOT.

2023-031 Bass: The appeal challenged the response of DMV to a request for all public records that show vehicles that have been cited for moving violations on Bladensburg Road NE in the District of Columbia. DMV denied the request because it would require the creation of a record and it would take in excess of 8 hours to create such a record. The decision of DMV was affirmed given its representation that it would require the creation of a record, and in consideration of the changing nature of the request by-way-of the appeal.

2023-032 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for voucher data. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2023-033 Van Dine: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for documents related to a homicide. MPD provided the requested documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C). The matter was remanded back to MPD to promptly 1) provide a detailed explanation of the search conducted, 2) disclose any non-exempt records subsequently identified; and 3) revisit the redactions applied to the documents to conform with the asserted exemptions.

2023-034 Fesseha: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for information related to any suspect in a case being investigated. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-035 Cummings: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OIG to a request for records relating to tax and revenue records for the purchase of a specific home. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by OIG.

2023-036 Thompson: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for various records regarding Unit #32 at 1131 K St SE. DCHA had provided a copy of responsive records with redactions. The appeal was dismissed as moot because DCHA subsequently identified and produced additional responsive records.

2023-037 Prince: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for the "[d]etailed police report on the death of Dan Rapoport on August 14 at about 6 p.m. outside 2400 M Street NW." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by MPD.

2023-038 Siska: The appeal challenged EOM's failure to respond to a request for various records related to special police officers. At the time of the appeal, EOM continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to EOM to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-039 Roodman: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DOEE to a request for a list of households in the Solar for All program. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DOEE.

2023-040 Dole: The appeal challenged the response of DCRB to a request for invoices from specific consultants. DCRB denied the request stating the invoices would be available by law after publication. The matter was remanded back to DCRB to provide a copy of the requested invoices that are not exempt from disclosure, if they will not otherwise be available within the anticipated annual report.

2023-041 Orr: The appeal challenged the response of DDOT to a request which sought information related to Shared Fleet Device Permit Applications. The appeal was dismissed as moot given the issuance of an updated response by DDOT in conjunction with another request.

2023-042 McFarland: The appeal challenged the response of BEGA to a request for the names, position titles and position Grades of all Permit Operations Division (POD) employees who were designated by BEGA as a Confidential Filer and were required to submit a Financial Disclosure Statement. BEGA advised the requester that it did not possess any responsive records. The decision of BEGA was affirmed in consideration of the evolving request and the requester was advised the requested statements are confidential by law and exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(6).

2023-043 Schlom: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABRA to 2 requests for documents related to specific license numbers. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of responses by ABRA.

2023-044 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for specific emails. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2023-045 Howard: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage of a specific incident. MPD had denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(2A)(B) because the requested footage is "is related to an incident involving Domestic Violence." The appeal was denied "given MPD's representation that the requested footage is related to an incident involving domestic violence."

2023-046 Thompson: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for "all analyses, studies, reports and memos created for DCHA by Novogradac [since January 1, 2019]." DCHA had provided responsive records but omitted an "analysis created by Novogradac for DCHA on or about Aug. 31, 2020." The appeal was dismissed as moot because DCHA subsequently located and produced the analysis.

2023-047 Thomas: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for particular Incident/Offense Reports and personnel files. MPD had denied the request because the "records sought remain the subject of an open and ongoing investigation" and are therefore exempt from disclosure under D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i). The appeal was denied based on MPD's representation of an ongoing investigation.

2023-048 Schlom: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABRA to 8 requests for various documents related to specific businesses and license numbers. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of responses by ABRA.

2023-049 Gilliam: The appeal challenged the response of ABRA to a request for records related to applicants for a supervisory position. ABRA provided records that were redacted pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(4) and (a)(5). The decision of ABRA was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest and the records that ABRA created to assess applications or assist with the hiring decision process are intra-agency records, pre-decisional, and deliberative.

2023-050 Uhar: The appeal challenged the responses of DDOT to two requests for documents related to a public space permit. DDOT provided records in response to each of the requests. The decision of DDOT was affirmed and the requester was referred to a prior appeal regarding the adequacy of the search as conducted by DDOT.

2023-051 Schlom: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABRA to a request for a specific noise survey report and a request for documents related to a specific case number. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of responses by ABRA.

2023-052 Doe: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for "a copy of all versions of the DCHA's contract (original and any revised versions) with Faria Management and its related entities (including, but not limited to Dantes Partners, Buwa Binitie, Capitol Vista Community Partners, Dumas Collective) - relating to Capitol Vista." DCHA conducted a search and provided the responsive records found. Subsequently, based on additional information provided, DCHA located and produced an additional record. The appeal was dismissed because DCHA responded appropriately in light of the request.

2023-053 Ticker: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DMPED to a request for specific emails. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DMPED.

2023-055 Duffy: The appeal challenged the response of DDOT to a request for "the date of installation for a parking restriction sign located at approximately 2203 3rd St. NE at the intersection of 3rd St. NE and Adams St. NE." DDOT provided documents it identified as responsive, but the requester asserted that "they provided information for the wrong side of the street which didn't address [the] request at all. The appeal was dismissed as moot because DDOT subsequently located and produced the specific records requested.

2023-056 Barnes: The appeal challenged the response of FEMS to a request for audio of specific 911 calls. FEMS had stated that it was unable to locate any responsive records and referred the requester to OUC. The appeal was denied because FEMS responded appropriately to the request.

2023-057 Fawcett: The appeal challenged the response of OSSE to a request for school bus video and photographs of a specific incident. OSSE denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(3)(A)(i) "as there is an active investigation related to disciplinary enforcement proceedings with potential civil and criminal litigation implications, pending." The decision of OSSE was affirmed based on our *in camera* review of the video footage and OSSE's representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2023-058 Mears: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation and appellant's "assertion as to an imminent or ongoing civil proceeding."

2023-059 Mulhauser: The appeal challenged the lack of response of D.C. Health to a request for "all reports prepared to comply with the Death with Dignity Act of 2016." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by D.C. Health.

2023-060 Douglas: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DOES to a request for information regarding various cases "aris[ing] from workplace injuries suffered by individuals working for uninsured employers." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DOES.

2023-061 Deutch: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records concerning an investigation into a 1984 murder. MPD had provided an incident report with redactions pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B), (a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) and withheld other responsive records pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(3)(A)(i), and (a)(3)(B). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that disclosing the requested records "could reveal the direction of its ongoing investigation and lead to attempts to alter evidence and place witnesses in danger."

2023-062 Perloff: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to fully respond to a request for various records related to a specific officer-involved shooting. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to MPD to "promptly provide [appellant] with all non-exempt records that are responsive to [appellant's] request and to issue a D.C. FOIA compliant determination letter clarifying the legal basis for any withheld records."

2023-063 Williams-Jones: The appeal questioned DHCD's decision to place a multi-part request on hold. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation that DHCD had lifted the hold and provided non-exempt responsive records.

2023-064 Alexei: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DOES to a request for various records pertaining to a specific claim. DOES had asked the requester for proof of identify documentation, which was provided. The matter was remanded to DOES to promptly disclose the requested records or engage with the requester as to what additional proof of identification is necessary to adequately process the request.

2023-065 Pensack: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records regarding a 1955 double murder. MPD had stated "since the subject investigation stemmed from a double homicide in Prince George's County (PGC) Maryland, if there were any documentation generated by MPD, such records should have been turned over to the PGC Police Department, therefore, the search did not locate records responsive to [the] request." Given the contradictory information as to whether MPD even conducted a search for responsive records, the appeal was granted and the matter was remanded to MPD to promptly conduct an adequate search and disclose any non-exempt records identified, or to provide clarification as to the search conducted.

2023-066 Shor: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for "all reports, run sheets, 911 calls and any other relevant information regarding the police response at 1345 South Capitol St. SW on 1/25/2023." MPD had referred the requester to OUC to request calls for service data which "should provide report numbers, specifically a citizen complaint number (CCN)." The matter was remanded to MPD to conduct an adequate search because "request exceed[ed] that of a request for a 911 calls and reports, and there [was] no indication that MPD ha[d] otherwise performed any search for th[o]se documents."

2023-067 Hamilton: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for documents related to a homicide. MPD provided the requested documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C). MPD withheld additional records in their entirety pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4). The decision of MPD was affirmed, in part, because the public interest in disclosure

did not outweigh the associated privacy interest. The matter was remanded back to MPD to cite to an exemption for various unidentified redactions and to consider making a discretionary release of documents in the absence of any foreseeable public harm.

2023-068 Ryals: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for specific emails. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C) due to "an open and active investigation, as well as, an open proceeding in the Courts." The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation and a review of publicly available court records.

2023-069 Bromberg Gaber: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DMHHS to a request for "records and correspondence related to the expenditures of the 2021 CARE Encampment Pilot program." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DMHHS.

2023-070 Morris: The appeal challenged the response of DPW to a request for the overall scores and notes taken for a specific job interview. DPW had stated it does not maintain the records and referred the requester to DCHR. The appeal was denied because DPW properly responded to the request.

2023-071 Hunt Kelly: The appeal challenged the response of DOB to a request for communications between identified individuals and notice of infractions related to a specific address. DOB responded to the request by providing documents it redacted pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) to protect information concerning a customer's communication. The decision of DOB was affirmed, in part, because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest. The matter was otherwise remanded back to DOB to explain the search performed or to conduct an adequate search and disclose any additional non-exempt records identified.

2023-072 Drange: The appeal challenged the response of OSSE to a request for all adverse actions taken against teacher licenses or certificates as reported to the National Association of State Directors of Teacher Education and Certification (NASDTEC). OSSE provided responsive documents it redacted pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) but the appellant asserted additional documents exist. The decision of OSSE was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2023-074 Mulhauser: The appeal challenged the lack of response of EOM to a request for records describing the "partnership with Bloomberg Philanthropies to transform the digital landscape within DC Government." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by EOM.

2023-075 Sandford: The appeal challenged the response of OCA to a request for specific communications between ANC Commissioners. OCA had stated that it did not have any responsive records and referred the requester to OANC. The appeal was denied because OCA appropriately responded to the request.

2023-076 Mascagni: The appeal challenged the response of OPC to a request for records related to cases handled by OPC involving identified officers. OPC granted the request, in part, and denied it in part, by referring the requester to MPD and citing D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2) and (a)(3)(C). The decision of OPC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-077 Mulhauser: The appeal challenged the response of OCTO to a request for "any record showing the number of FOIA requests for D.C. government records submitted to the portal and pending as of today, 1/19/23." OCTO had denied the request in full because "OCTO does not maintain the requested records, and in 'accordance with D.C. FOIA, agencies are not required to do research, to analyze data, to answer written questions or to create records in order to respond to a request.'" Through subsequent communications it became clear that what was really sought was "the count of requests in all Request Statuses except Closed" within FOIAXpress and the matter was remanded to OCTO to conduct a search of the FOIAXpress database and/or run a report.

2023-078 Kamin: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for all records relating to a specific individual's death. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C) due to "an ongoing criminal proceeding." The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that disclosing the records "could reveal the direction of its ongoing investigation, lead to alteration of evidence, and subject potential witnesses to intimidation."

2023-079 Brown: The appeal challenged the response of DCHD to a request for "a copy of the housing counseling proposal submitted by Housing Counseling Services…" DCHD had provided responsive records with redactions pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(1) and (a)(2). The decision of DCHD was affirmed because "information was obtained from outside of the government, the party from which it was obtained faces actual competition and the disclosure of the documents would cause a competitive injury as competitors could seek to model and/or undercut the depicted business practices" and no public interest was identified to outweigh the privacy interest in "personal information including names, personal phone numbers, home addresses and other contact information."

2023-080 Greer: The appeal challenged the response of D.C. Health to a request for the addresses of specific nurses licensed by the DC Board. D.C. Health had provided business address information but withheld personal address information pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(2). The decision of D.C. Health was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-081 Ferraro: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to records requests pertaining to a former inmate. The appeal was dismissed for lack of jurisdiction because the underlying request was not submitted as a D.C. FOIA request.

2023-082 Lloyd: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for specific 911 call recordings or transcripts. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The

decision of OUC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-083 Miller: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OUC to a request for "911 audio recordings and transcripts, dispatch records, and any other communication pertaining to" a particular accident. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by OUC.

2023-084 Wang: The appeal challenged the response of DCHD to a request for a particular report. DCHD had withheld the report pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-531(a)(1). The appeal was dismissed as moot because DCHD determined that the report was erroneously withheld and delivered it to the requester.

2023-085 Carstens: The appeal challenged the response of OTR on remand to a request for documents related to two identified taxpayers. OTR denied the request because the responsive records would be exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2- 534(a)(2), (3), (4), and (6). The decision of OTR was affirmed because the requested materials are part of an ongoing administrative proceeding involving the subject taxpayers.

2023-086 Hatton: The appeal challenged EOM's failure to respond to a multi-part request for specific policies and communications. At the time of the appeal, EOM continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to EOM to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-087 Hatton: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to respond to a multi-part request for specific policies and communications. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2023-088 Satter: The appeal challenged OTR's failure to respond to a request for communications regarding a specific "request for an abatement of interest and penalties on a 2018 franchise tax" At the time of the appeal, OTR continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to OTR to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-089 Alexander: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for specific body-worn camera footage. MPD had provided a copy of video footage that had been redacted pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) to protect personal privacy interest. The appeal was denied because MPD appropriately responded to the request.

2023-090 Thompson: The appeal challenged OCF's failure to respond to a request for specific email data. At the time of the appeal, OCF continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to OCF to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-091 Uhar: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABRA to a request for various records regarding an "establishment located at 1063 31st Street, NW, Washington, DC 20007 a/k/a Lot 0064 in Square 1198." Subsequently, ABRA provided responsive records and the appeal was amended to challenge the adequacy of the search. The appeal was granted and the matter was

remanded to ABRA to "promptly 1) conduct a search for the documents requested, given the additional details provided in [the] appeal, and to disclose any additional non-exempt responsive records identified; 2) detail the search performed leading to an inability to locate any additional records; or 3) engage with [the appellant] as to any uncertainty in [the appellant's] request and the documents sought."

2023-092 Uhar: The appeal challenged the response of ABRA to a request for specific communications. ABRA had stated that it had no responsive records. The appeal was dismissed because it did not conform to the requirements of 1 DCMR § 412.4 and the basis of the appeal was unclear.

2023-093 Maccoby: The appeal challenged the response of DFS to a request for various records pertaining to a specific case. DFS had denied the request in full pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2)(B) and (a)(3)(C). The appeal was dismissed as moot because DFS provided an updated, post-appeal response.

2023-094 Maccoby: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records pertaining to a specific case. MPD had provided some responsive records with redactions pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) and withheld entirely records that "were determined to be other agency's records." The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-095 Glaberson: The appeal challenged MPD's failure to respond to a request for various records relating to a specific incident. At the time of the appeal, MPD continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to MPD to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-096 Strouse: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for specific Twitter Account Preservation Requests. Following the appeal, MPD provided records in response to this request and this portion of the appeal was dismissed as moot. The appeal also challenged the response of MPD to a request for certain communications and records related a specific officer and incident. MPD denied this request citing D.C. Code §§ 2- 534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(c). The appeal was denied, in part, and remanded in part. Specifically, MPD's denial of the request for any and all records related to the arrest of an individual pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2) & (a)(3)(C), is affirmed. The remainder of the request was remanded back to MPD for an explanation as to the applicability of D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(A)(i), to further clarify concerns over D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2) & (a)(3)(C) and/or to produce any non-exempt records, or portions thereof, that are responsive to the request.

2023-097 Bunn: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records pertaining to a specific case. MPD had denied the request because "in the absence of a signed authorization and/or a waiver, a release of such records would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and, as such, the records are exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C)." The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-098 Jewett: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OUC to a request for "data on any calls to 911, or texts to 911 associated with the address 1120 9th St NW." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by OUC.

2023-099 Uhar: The appeal challenged ABRA's failure to respond to a request for specific communications. At the time of the appeal, ABRA continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to ABRA to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-100 Uhar: The appeal was dismissed as improperly filed because it did not conform to the requirements of 1 DCMR § 412.4.

2023-101 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for "records related to the Hill East Parcel F-1 project at 1900 C St SE." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2023-102 Thompson: The appeal challenged OCF's failure to respond to a request for specific emails. At the time of the appeal, OCF continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to OCF to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-103 Thompson: The appeal challenged DMPED's failure to respond to a request for various records regarding the Hill East District Redevelopment. At the time of the appeal, DMPED continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to DMPED to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-104 Marshall: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a record for all records pertaining to a particular reported vehicle collision. MPD had stated that it had no responsive records. The appeal was denied because MPD conducted an adequate search.

2023-105 Goldstein: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for "any and all documents related to the District of Columbia Department of Corrections policies and procedures on specialty medical referrals and the approval process for such referrals." DOC had provided a link to its "Program Manual 6000.1I (Medical Management)." On appeal the requester clarified that they were seeking ""a complete and full assemblage of all records, documents, policies, regulations, and procedures—including those of medical contractors…" The appeal was denied because DOC conducted an adequate search in light of the initial request. The requester was invited to submit a new request and DOC was reminded that this Office has previously held that it has an obligation to identify and produce "any record produced or collected pursuant to a contract with a private contractor to perform a public function" in accordance with D.C. Code § 2-532(a-3).

2023-106 Schlom: The appeal challenged ABRA's failure to respond to a request for documents related to a specific case number. At the time of the appeal, ABRA continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to ABRA to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-107 Goldstein: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for records regarding recorded stabbings, fights, and deaths in the jail in the past 5 years. DOC had stated that

"the requested information, to the extent created and maintained, constitutes part of the D.C. government Performance Accountability Report (PAR), which can be obtained from the website of the D.C. Office of the City Administrator (OCA), https://oca.dc.gov/page/performance-plans-and-reports (Public Safety and Justice)." The appellant asserted that the PAR did not contain all of the requested information. The appeal was denied because DOC's response evolved but the appellant was invited to file a new appeal challenging DOC's updated response.

2023-108 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for specific emails. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2023-109 Washington: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for specific body-worn camera footage. MPD had provided footage with redactions pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because "a release of the redacted portions of the footage would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of privacy." The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-110 Larrain: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage from a particular police-involved shooting. MPD had denied the request in full pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because "[t]he video cannot be reasonably segregated to protect the privacy of MPD and other Law Enforcement Personnel who are depicted in the footage from various jurisdictions, as well as the suspect of the incident." The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-111 Lo: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage of a specific incident. MPD had stated that it did not locate any footage responsive to the request using the information provided in the request. The appeal was denied because MPD conducted an adequate search.

2023-112 Thompson: The appeal challenged DCHA's failure to respond to a request for specific emails. At the time of the appeal, DCHA continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to DCHA to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-113 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for records documenting a bonus awarded to Brenda Donald around January 6, 2023. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2023-114 Thompson: The appeal challenged OCF's failure to respond to a request for specific emails. At the time of the appeal, OCF continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to OCF to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-115 Bond Gill: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OCP to a request for "information on FY22 RFQ-DME-OST Needs Assessment Doc613929. Company/Organization awarded and list of Companies//Organizations who applied, their scores and bid amounts." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by OCP.

2023-116 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of CFSA to a request for "contracts between Brenda Donald, invoices for her services, and records of payment related to contracting work she has done for Child and Family Services after she stepped down as director." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by CFSA.

2023-117 Thompson: The appeal challenged DMPED's failure to respond to a request for specific email data. At the time of the appeal, DMPED continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to DMPED to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-118 Ryals: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for various records relating to the Board of Commissioners' ad hoc performance review committee. DCHA had provided some responsive records following the appeal, but the appellant provided evidence suggesting additional records exist. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to DCHA "to provide an explanation as to the search conducted and to produce any additional records identified as soon as practical."

2023-119 Glaberson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OUC to a request for various audio recordings and event chronologies. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by OUC.

2023-120 Schlom: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABRA to 2 requests for documents related to specific case numbers. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of responses by ABRA.

2023-122 Howard: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage of a specific incident. MPD had denied the request in full because the requested footage "was recorded inside a personal residence; therefore, exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Codes § 2-534(a)(2A)(A)." Because MPD had provided redacted footage in response to a similar request, the matter was remanded to MPD to revisit the request and provide any non-exempt footage.

2023-124 Borneman: The appeal challenged the response of DDOT to a request for various records "related to the City's 2023 Shared Fleet Device Permit Application Process under the District's Dockless Vehicle Sharing Program." DDOT had provided Shared Fleet Device Permit Applications for the companies that were granted permits to operate in the District of Columbia with redactions, asserting they contain business trade secrets or other financial information and are exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(l). DDOT later provided a supplemental response "which included the Scoring Sheets for the shared fleet device permit applications which showed the awardees scores and the scores of the non-selected applicants with the company names redacted." The appeal was granted in part and the matter was remanded to DDOT "for the additional consideration of whether any segregable information of the unsuccessful applicants can be disclosed by-way-of redaction or whether the practicalities of doing so would be too great, and not preclude the identification of the applicant or would lead to a document having little to no informational value." On remand, DDOT "provided a sufficient basis for withholding the entirety

of the unsuccessful applicants to the Shared Fleet Application Process pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(1), which protects from disclosure the confidential information within applications submitted to the government for consideration."

2023-125 Doyle: The appeal challenged the lack of response of D.C. Health to a request for "all complaints brought before the D.C. Board of Social Work, whether the social worker was disciplined or not" within a specific date range. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by D.C. Health.

2023-126 Howard: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage of a specific incident. MPD had provided footage with redactions because "the release of the redacted portions of the video would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and is therefore exempt pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2)." The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-127 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for "all Request for Tenancy Approval forms submitted in relation to 1402 Quincy Street." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2023-128 Weiner: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for any documents from the U.S. Marshals Service in possession of DOC documenting conditions in the jail, observations at the jail or any suggestions or recommendations for addressing or remediating conditions identified by the U.S. Marshals Service. DOC responded to the request by referring the requester to the U.S. Marshals Service. Based on ambiguities in the interpretation of the request, the matter was remanded back to DOC for clarification as to the search performed.

2023-129 Cummings: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DHS to a request for "records relating to requests for recertification, including approvals/denials." The appeal was dismissed as moot because DHS agreed to provide a copy of its prior response or reprocess the request if the prior response could not be located due to staff turnover.

2023-130 Schlom: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABRA to a request for documents related to a specific case number. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by ABRA.

2023-132 Simon: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DHS to a request for "Stay DC funding provided for residents of 61 Rhode Island Avenue NE." The appeal was dismissed as moot because DHS agreed to reprocess the request following an administrative issue.

2023-133 Moyer: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DHS to a request for "all documents related to and/or correspondence between the homeless shelter Community for Creative Non-Violence and the D.C. Department of Human Services, including but not limited to any correspondence and/or documents related to the department's decision to provide services and support to the shelter during the coronavirus crisis." The appeal was dismissed as moot because DHS agreed to reprocess the request following an administrative issue.

2023-134 Graham: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for "Security Management Policies for the following areas: Staffing and Manpower Utilization (5010.8), Possession and use of Firearms (5011.1), Use of Force (5011.3) and the Tactical Response Unit (5160.3)." DOC had denied the request in full because the records are "security-sensitive records for facility operations and security management purposes" and are exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4) and 1 DCMR § 406.1. The appeal was granted, and the matter was "remanded back to DOC to explain the applicability of D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4) and provide this Office a copy of the documents in question for in camera review, cite to another exemption, or produce a copy of the responsive records."

2023-135 Bennett: The appeal challenged UDC's failure to respond to a request for various records regarding an MOU about the game of chess. At the time of the appeal, UDC continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to UDC to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-136 Bennett: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCPL to a request for various records regarding chess tournaments and classes in D.C. Libraries. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCPL.

2023-138 Strouse: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for "Lt. Shane Lamond's Twitter Account Preservation Requests." MPD had stated that it did not locate any responsive records. The appellant provided evidence that at least one responsive record exists and MPD did not provide an explanation of its search. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to MPD to "promptly 1) revisit the search for the responsive records requested; 2) provide a detailed explanation of the search performed; and 3) provide a copy of the non-exempt records identified, if any."

2023-139 Williams: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DOEE to a request for "a copy of any reports, emails, or correspondence pertaining to unannounced visits relating to the voluntary cleanup program with D.C. United." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DOEE.

2023-140 Thompson: The appeal challenged the response of DMPED to a request for ""all electronic messages, including emails and instant messages, sent by Sharon Carney… between March 1, 2023, and March 28, 2023." DMPED had provided responsive records with redactions pursuant to pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(4). The decision of DMPED was affirmed after *in camera* review of the records at issue.

2023-141 Goldstein: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for "any and all documents related to the District of Columbia Department of Corrections policies and procedures on pharmacy and/or prescription medication dispensation or administration." DOC had responded that ""staff conducted a search and the responsive record found, Program Manual 6000.11 (Medical Management, with attachments), is attached." On appeal the requester clarified that they were seeking ""a complete and full assemblage of all records, documents, policies, regulations, and procedures—including those of medical contractors…" The appeal was denied because DOC

conducted an adequate search in light of the initial request. The requester was invited to submit a new request and DOC was reminded that this Office has previously held that it has an obligation to identify and produce "any record produced or collected pursuant to a contract with a private contractor to perform a public function" in accordance with D.C. Code § 2-532(a-3).

2023-142 Thompson: The appeal challenged DCHA's failure to respond to a request for specific emails. At the time of the appeal, DCHA continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to DCHA to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-143 Samolyk: The appeal challenged DME's failure to respond to a request for records "that are in anyway related to the work of the Community Working Group for the Foxhall and MacArthur Schools." At the time of the appeal, DME continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to DME to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-144 Simon: The appeal challenged the response of DPW to a request for e-mail correspondence sent and received by an individual inspector. DPW had denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4), which exempts from disclosure "inter-agency or intra-agency memorandums and letters which would not be available by law to a party other than an agency in litigation with the agency[.]" Following an *in camera* review, the decision of DPW was affirmed because the responsive records "are intra-agency communications about a proposed agency action."

2023-145 Haddad: The appeal challenged the response of DCPS to a request for "a dataset of 8th grade applicants to the School Without Walls High School for the 2023-2024 school year who took the PARCC test in the 2021-2022 school year via a DCPS or DC public charter school or while being homeschooled in DC." DCPS had stated that it did not have any responsive records. The decision of DCPS was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2023-146 Seller: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for "Use of Force Review Board (UFRB) Public Release Documents from January 1, 2011 through the most recent date that one has been released or the date this request is processed." MPD had provided a link to data on its website. The matter was remanded to MPD to "promptly 1) revisit the search for the responsive records requested; 2) provide a detailed explanation of the search performed; 3) provide a copy of the non-exempt records identified, if any; and 4) cite to an applicable exemption for each record withheld."

2023-147 Reaves: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for body-worn camera footage of a specific incident. DOC had denied the request because the release of such footage would constitute as a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy and is exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2). The decision of DOC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-148 Glaberson: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records relating to a specific incident. MPD denied the request in part because the agency "does not have the authority to release information as it relates to an abused or neglected child as stated in DC Code § 4–1303.06. Confidentiality of records and information and Code of the District of

Columbia § 16–2331. Juvenile case records; confidentiality; inspection and disclosure." The matter was remanded to MPD to "to explain its position on the applicability of D.C. Code § 16-2333(b)(2), cite to another exemption or produce a copy of the responsive records."

2023-149 Khatri: The appeal challenged the response of UDC to a request for particular emails and all records in an individual's personal file in the physics program. After the request was clarified, UDC determined that it had no responsive records. The appeal was denied because UDC conducted an adequate search in light of the evolving nature of the request.

2023-150 Thompson: The appeal challenged OCF's failure to respond to a request for specific instant messages. At the time of the appeal, OCF continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to OCF to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-151 Weiss: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for specific 911 calls. OUC had provided a copy of a 911 call but withheld a copy of another call pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(2) because "the record contains information of a personal nature where the public disclosure thereof would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy." The decision of OUC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-152 La Farge: The appeal challenged the response of DOB to a request for a "[l]ist of determination letters from Aug 1, 2021 to Sept 30, 2022. DOB had provided some responsive records, but appellant believed additional records exist. The appeal was dismissed as moot because DOB conducted an additional search and committed to providing the additional responsive records found.

2023-153 Long: The appeal challenged the lack of response of MPD to a request for "911 audio, CAD logs and incident reports for any calls to service to the address 3300 NEBRASKA AVE NW, WASHINGTON, DC 20016, between 01/01/2018 and [05/23/2023]." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by MPD.

2023-154 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OUC to a request for data "on police calls for service to the1400 block of Quincy Street NW." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by OUC.

2023-155 Beauvais: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for particular body-worn camera footage. MPD had stated that it had no responsive records. The appeal was denied because MPD conducted an adequate search.

2023-156 Doyle: The appeal challenged the lack of response of D.C. Health to a request for various records regarding the Association of Social Work Boards' exams required by the D.C. Board of Social Work. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by D.C. Health.

2023-157 Doyle: The appeal challenged the lack of response of D.C. Health to a request for "questions and comments submitted to the Board of Social Work for the board meeting on March 27, 2023." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by D.C. Health.

2023-158 Kutlik: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records relating to a particular accident. MPD had denied the request pursuant to disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534 (a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), and (a)(3)(C) because the records are part of an open investigation. The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested records are part of an ongoing investigation.

2023-159 Atkinson: The appeal challenged OS' failure to respond to a request for the following: A copy of any and all of the following records that are on microfilm: Birth certificates (1874-1895); Death certificates (1874-1945); Marriage certificates (1870-1920) and Wills and probate records (1801-1999). At the time of the appeal, OS continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to OS to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-160 Colvin: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records associated with a particular apartment building. The appeal was dismissed as improperly filed because it did not conform to the requirements of 1 DCMR § 412.4.

2023-162 Seller: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for "body-worn camera footage and the metadata of the footage between 9 a.m. ET May 30th and 11 p.m. ET on June 1st, 2020 related to operations to clear protesters in and around Lafayette Square." MPD had responded that it "was not involved in clearing Lafayette Park on June 1, 2020." Appellant provided evidence indicating the existence of responsive footage. The matter was remanded to MPD to "promptly conduct an adequate search and disclose the non-exempt portions of any responsive records identified."

2023-163 Katz: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for copies of all arrest records, Gersteins, and charging documents of child abuse or neglect cases. MPD denied the request and withheld the records in their entirety. The appeal was denied pursuant to D.C. Code 2-534(a)(6) because the requested records are confidential by statute.

2023-164 Nguyen: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific incident. The appeal was dismissed as the requester did not include a copy of MPD's final determination.

2023-165 Bergman: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCPL to a request for various records regarding a Shepherd Park Community Survey. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCPL.

2023-166 Vannoy: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for records related to any 911 calls made following an automobile accident. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of OUC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-167 Thompson: The appeal challenged DCHA's failure to respond to a request for specific emails. At the time of the appeal, DCHA continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to DCHA to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-169 Baudry: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific automobile accident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the records are part of an ongoing investigation.

2023-170 Donohue: The appeal challenged ANC 8A's failure to respond to a request for specific communications and a request for various financial records. At the time of the appeal, ANC 8A continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to ANC 8A to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-171 Wiggins: The appeal challenged the response of DDOT to a request for records related to street vending licensing. DDOT provided responsive documents, but the appellant asserted additional documents exist. The decision of DDOT was affirmed based on the finding it conducted an adequate search.

2023-172 Jones: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to police reports mentioning or filed by a specific individual. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed, in part, because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest. The matter was remanded back to MPD, in part, to provide a copy of a previously disclosed report, acknowledge a prior mistake in disclosure or otherwise distinguish between this request and any other which has provided the record in question.

2023-173 Thompson: The appeal challenged the response of DCHA to a request for tenancy approval forms related to a specific address. DCHA provided responsive records that had been redacted pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2), but the requester asserted additional documents were not provided. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to DCHA to promptly: 1) revisit the search for the responsive records requested; 2) provide a detailed explanation of the search performed; 3) provide a copy of any additional, non-exempt records identified; and 4) cite to an applicable exemption for each record withheld.

2023-174 Rose: The appeal challenged the response of OCFO to a request for a listing of unclaimed property owners. OCFO denied the request "pursuant to the Revised Uniform Unclaimed Property Emergency Act of 2021…and D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a) and (a)(6)." The appeal was denied because a listing of all unclaimed property owners is considered confidential pursuant to D.C. Code § 41-155.03.

2023-176 Schollaert: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for an audio copy of a 911 call. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of OUC

was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-178 Doyle: The appeal challenged the lack of response of D.C. Health to a request for "email correspondence between D.C. Government email addresses and Association of Social Work Boards (ASWB)." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DC Health.

2023-179 Liss: The appeal challenged the response of HBX to a request for records related to a data breach. HBX denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(A), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(4). The decision of HBX was affirmed pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4) because the documents contained information protected from disclosure by the deliberative process privilege.

2023-180 Airey: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for "the voicemail tape from Ms. Levy's answering machine when she disappeared in May of 2001." MPD had denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(B), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested audio is part of an ongoing investigation.

2023-181 Lambert: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DFHV to a request for "the complete record or detailed description of the DFHV protocol for DFHV vehicle inspection officers conducting traffic stops." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DFHV.

2023-182 Whaley: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to an apartment complex. MPD provided responsive records that it redacted pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-183 Darby: The appeal challenged the response of DCPS to a request for records related to the individual's daughter and a specific ticket number. DCPS denied the request and referred the requester to the DCPS Care Team to obtain the information. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by the DCPS Care Team.

2023-184 Vannoy: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a motor vehicle accident. MPD provided responsive records that it redacted pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. On remand after MPD provided additional explanation, the decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-185 Vannoy: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a motor vehicle accident. MPD provided responsive records that it redacted pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. On remand after MPD provided additional

explanation, the decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-186 Watts: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for an audio copy of a 911 call. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of OUC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-187 Hanig: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(B), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2023-188 Soper: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a specific automobile accident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(B), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the records are part of an ongoing investigation.

2023-189 Oberg: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OCA to a request for "a copy of any document listing ALL reports regularly prepared by the City Administrator's Office and direct reports for internal or external distribution." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by OCA.

2023-190 Oberg: The appeal challenged DCPS's failure to respond to a request for various records "related to DCPS Solicitation GAGA 2-22-R-0053." At the time of the appeal, DCPS continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to DCPS to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-191 Oberg: The appeal challenged the lack of response of HSEMA to a request for "copies of any Tier 2 Report or otherwise named inventory of chemicals filed by the CSX Corp from 1/1/2021 to the date this FOIA is filled." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by HSEMA.

2023-192 Oberg: The appeal challenged the failure of MPD to respond to 3 requests seeking various records. At the time of the appeal, MPD continued to process the requests. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to MPD to promptly disclose any nonexempt records.

2023-193 Gathright: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for copies of "the reports for audit number RMD-019, also known as '18 Hour Rule- Continuous Monitoring.'" MPD had stated that it was unable to locate any responsive records. The appeal was dismissed as moot because following clarification of the request it was assigned a new number and MPD continued to process the updated request.

2023-194 Demuth: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested footage would constitute an

invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-195 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DHS to a request for various records relating to Human Care Agreements CW68911 and CW100315 with Pathways to Housing D.C. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DHS.

2023-196 Blier: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific automobile accident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The matter was remanded back to MPD to 1) revisit whether there is an ongoing investigation related to this matter and, if not, to disclose any records that are not otherwise exempt; and 2) determine whether a discretionary release could be provided given the position of the MPD investigator that she did not object to the release.

2023-197 Bolden: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to an incident at a school. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by MPD.

2023-198 Holmes: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to multiple incidents. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(B), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the records are part of an ongoing investigation.

2023-199 Andrews: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to the arrest of an individual. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the records are part of an ongoing investigation.

2023-200 Darby: The appeal challenged the response of DHS to a request for records. DHS had granted the request in full. The basis of the appeal was unclear, and it was dismissed as improperly filed.

2023-201 Kestis: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OPC to a request for records related to individuals, addresses and telephone numbers. The appeal was dismissed as moot following the confirmation of a response by OPC.

2023-202 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for "copies of all recordings made of DCHA town hall meetings regarding voucher payments during 2022." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2023-203 Schlom: The appeal challenged ABCA's failure to respond to 3 requests for documents related to specific case numbers. At the time of the appeal, ABCA continued to process the requests. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to ABRA to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-204 Oberg: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for "any report prepared for supervisors and/or Command Staff or an excerpt of [an] MPD database showing daily sworn personnel staffing broken down by shift & PSA." MPD had denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(C), (a)(3)(E) and (a)(3)(F). This Office did not have enough information to make a determination and the matter was remanded to MPD to "to revisit this request and disclose any non-exempt records or any segregable portion thereof." We also noted that "[f]or any withheld records, a specific reference to the record and the corresponding exemption would assist this Office in determining whether an appropriate response has been provided."

2023-205 Webster: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records pertaining to a particular Officer. MPD had denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534 (a)(2), (a)(3)(A)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on a review of publicly available court records and MPD's representation that the records are part of an open investigation.

2023-206 Conner: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for particular body-worn camera footage. MPD had closed the request because its request for additional information needed to process the request went unanswered. The appeal was dismissed given receipt of MPD's request for additional information.

2023-207 Ryals: The appeal challenged the response of DMPED to a request for specific communications. DMPED had provided responsive records with redactions pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2)(Information of a personal nature where the public disclosure thereof would constitute a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy) and D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(4) and (e)(the deliberative process privilege and attorney-client privilege). Following an *in camera* review, the appeal was denied because it was determined that DMPED responded appropriately to the request.

2023-208 Ryals: The appeal challenged EOM's failure to respond to a request for specific text messages, call logs, and emails. At the time of the appeal, EOM continued to process the request. The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded to EOM to promptly issue a final determination.

2023-209 Gural: The appeal challenged the response of DHCD to a request for "the most up-to-date draft version of the Rent Control Housing Database mandated by DC law under §42–3502.03c. Public Accessible Rent Control Housing Database." DHCD denied the request because "the database is still under development, and cannot be released because the information contained therein are proprietary pursuant to the trade secrets and commercial or financial information exemption D.C. Code §2-534(a)(1); includes tenants' names and addresses pursuant to the personal privacy exemption D.C. Code §2-534(a)(2); and because the draft database is work product pursuant to the deliberative process exemption D.C. Code §2-534(a)(4)." The decision of DHCD was affirmed based on its representations.

2023-211 Delia: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to a 1968 murder. MPD had stated that the records were destroyed in 1994 in accordance with the

records retention schedule. The appeal was denied because MPD appropriately responded to the request.

2023-212 Harmon: The appeal challenged the lack of response of OCTFME to a request for specific emails and agreements. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by OCTFME.

2023-213 Burr: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for a particular 911 call. OUC had stated that the "record is exempt from disclosure and has been withheld under the Law Enforcement Privilege incorporated within D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(4)." The decision of OUC to withhold the 911 call was affirmed based on its "representation that the records [appellant] seek[s] are part of an open investigation, the release of which would interfere with an enforcement proceeding, as confirmed through a review of the press release issued by the United States Capitol Police."

2023-214 Schlom: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABCA to a request for documents related to a specific case number. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by ABCA.

2023-215 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a May 9 request seeking "resignation letters, memos regarding her departure, and related documents regarding the upcoming departure of Brenda Donald" and a May 12 request seeking "Request for Tenancy Approval forms submitted in relation to 4801 Connecticut Ave. NW." Following confirmation of a response to the May 9 request, that portion of the appeal was dismissed as moot. DCHA continued to process the May 12 request and that portion of the appeal was remanded to DCHA to promptly disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-216 Dalton: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DOEE to a request for "an electronic copy in Excel of the asbestos notification NESHAPS for the date range of June 1, 2023 to June 30, 2023." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DOEE.

2023-217 Marshall: The appeal challenged the response of FEMS to a request for records related to an incident at the White House. FEMS denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(E). The appeal was dismissed given the representation of FEMS that the disclosure of the requested records would reveal investigative techniques and procedures not generally known outside the government.

2023-218 Goodine: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2A)(B). The appeal was dismissed given MPD's representation that the footage is related to an incident involving domestic violence.

2023-219 Howell: The appeal challenged the response of FEMS to a request for records related to an incident at the White House. FEMS denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(3)(E). The appeal was dismissed given the representation of FEMS that the disclosure of

the requested records would reveal investigative techniques and procedures not generally known outside the government.

2023-220 Golden: The appeal challenged the response of DOC to a request for records related to the death investigation of an inmate. DOC initially denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2), (a)(3)(a)(i), (a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(C). The appeal was dismissed as moot following the release of additional records by DOC.

2023-221 Malone: The appeal challenged the response of OUC to a request for a transcript of all 911 calls made to a specific address. OUC denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of OUC was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-222 Hermann: The appeal challenged the response of DCRB to a series of questions and request for records related to the retirement of an MPD police officer. DCRB denied the request D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2) and asserted it does not have a duty to answer questions. The decision of DCRB was affirmed because it does not have a duty to answer questions, it conducted an adequate search and the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-223 Sampson: This was a second appeal challenging the response of DCRB to a request for records about specific individuals and DCRB Board Members. DCRB's search yielded approximately 4,600 documents and the request was denied pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(4) and (e) after reviewing a sample of them. The matter was remanded back to DCRB to conclude its search for and identification of responsive documents and to disclose any non-exempt records.

2023-224 Leighton: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for the Major Crash Unit Police Report and other records related to the death of an individual. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(3)(C),(a)(3)(B) and (a)(3)(A)(i). The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation that the requested footage is part of an ongoing investigation.

2023-225 Benicio: The appeal challenged the response of DDOT to a request for records related to speed violations of a specific vehicle. DDOT advised the requester that it did not have any responsive records. On notification of the appeal, DDOT identified and produced responsive records and the matter was dismissed as moot.

2023-226 Schlom: The appeal challenged the lack of response of ABCA to a request for documents related to a specific case number. The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by ABCA.

2023-227 Wade: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. After the requester provided identification, MPD agreed to process the request and the appeal was dismissed as moot.

2023-228 Egenti: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for body-worn camera footage related to a specific incident. MPD denied the request because the footage was taken

inside a personal residence and is exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2A)(A). The decision of MPD was affirmed given the representation that the footage was taken inside a personal residence.

2023-229 Smith: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for agency data. The requester sought to amend the request and the appeal was remanded back to MPD to address the request as amended.

2023-230 Jones: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for records related to the arrest of an individual. MPD provided the requested documents but redacted information that would constitute an invasion of personal privacy pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C). The appeal was granted, and the matter was remanded back to MPD to revisit its search for responsive documents.

2023-231 Thompson: The appeal challenged the lack of response of DCHA to a request for "Request for Tenancy Approval forms submitted in relation to 5521 Colorado Ave NW." The appeal was dismissed as moot following confirmation of a response by DCHA.

2023-232 Ivanov: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for specific body-worn camera footage. MPD had denied the request because the requested footage "is related to an incident involving domestic violence; therefore, is exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Code § 2-534(a)(2A)(B)." The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation and the request describing a domestic matter.

2023-233 Smith: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for specific video footage. MPD had responded that it was unable to locate any responsive footage. The appeal was dismissed as moot because with additional information provided on appeal, MPD created a new request and continued to process it.

2023-234 Jones: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for various records related to a particular incident. MPD denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed in part because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest. The matter was remanded in part for MPD "to address the issue of records open to public inspection by statute and for the production of any records that can be redacted so as to not infringe upon the privacy interest of any individuals contained therein."

2023-235 Gathright: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for "records showing which MPD members are working outside employment." MPD had denied the request pursuant to D.C. Code §§ 2-534(a)(2) and (a)(3)(C) because the disclosure of the requested records would constitute an invasion of personal privacy. The decision of MPD was affirmed because the public interest in disclosure did not outweigh the associated privacy interest.

2023-236 Engenti: The appeal challenged the response of MPD to a request for specific body-worn camera footage. MPD had denied the request because the requested footage "is related to an incident involving stalking; therefore, is exempt from disclosure pursuant to D.C. Codes §

2534(a)(2A)(B).” The decision of MPD was affirmed based on its representation and the request containing allegations of stalking.

FOIA APPEAL & WORKFLOW NUMBER	Last Name of Appellant	FOIA Agency	Decision Date
MLC2020-135	Smith	MPD/Teresa Quon	4/11/2023
MLC2020-214	Mulhauser	MPD/Teresa Quon	10/25/2022
MLC2021-034	Jackson	MPD/Teresa Quon	10/19/2022
MLC2021-075	Mulhauser	MPD	11/1/2022
MLC2021-086	Moore	MPD	10/25/2022
MLC2021-087	Crocheron	MPD	10/27/2022
MLC2021-090	Canora	MPD	11/1/2022
MLC2021-097	Lu	BEGA/Sheree Deberry	10/27/2022
MLC2021-098	Keeffe	MPD	10/27/2022
MLC2021-100	Spindel	MPD	10/27/2022
MLC2021-103	Mittelstadt	MPD	10/27/2022
MLC2021-104	Pendleton	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-112	Williams	DOES/Tonya Robinson	10/27/2022
MLC2021-114	Miller	MPD	10/27/2022
MLC2021-125	Crowder	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-126	Banks	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-127	Newton	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-138	Copeland	MPD	10/27/2022
MLC2021-154	Link	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2021-160	Barras	OCME/Rodney Adams	11/30/2022
MLC2021-179	Arnsdorf	MPD	11/1/2022
MLC2021-182	Slate	MPD	11/1/2022
MLC2021-185	Barras	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-186	Slate	EOM/Halie Vilagi	10/13/2022
MLC2021-187	Slate	MPD	2/6/2023
MLC2021-189	Slate	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-192	Mungin	DPW/Camille Glover	10/13/2022
MLC2021-193	Malin	MPD	10/13/2022
MLC2021-194	Schafer	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-195	Cameron	MPD	10/13/2022
MLC2021-196	Steinberg	DCHA	11/17/2022
MLC2021-199	Colgan	DHCD/Tonya Condell	10/13/2022
MLC2021-200	Malin	MPD	11/1/2022
MLC2021-202	Smith	MPD	11/2/2022
MLC2021-204	Findlay	OCA/Barry Kreiswirth	10/18/2022
MLC2021-206	Quinn	MPD	10/27/2022
MLC2021-207	Steinberg	DCHA/Ashley McFarland	1/27/2023
MLC2021-208	Simon	DCRA	10/18/2022
MLC2021-209	Malin	MPD	10/18/2022
MLC2021-210	Copeland	MPD	10/18/2022
MLC2021-211	Copeland	MPD	10/18/2022
MLC2021-217	Lauderdale	MPD	10/19/2022
MLC2021-219	Ye	MPD	11/17/2022

MLC2021-220	Winter	MPD	10/19/2022
MLC2021-221	Santana	OHR/ Charles Abbott	11/17/2022
MLC2021-223	Cameron	MPD	10/19/2022
MLC2021-224	Faniyi	MPD	2/2/2023
MLC2021-227	Ayele	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-229	Hasbrouck	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-231	Schiano	MPD	1/12/2023
MLC2021-233	Lewis	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2021-234	Spindel	OCA/Barry Kreiswirth	2/2/2023
MLC2021-235	Marshall	MPD	2/2/2023
MLC2021-241	Rodgers	DCHR/Aphrodite Hadjiloucas	10/19/2022
MLC2021-242	Marshall	MPD	2/2/2023
MLC2021-247	Fahey	DPW/Camille Glover	10/19/2022
MLC2021-248	Grigg	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-250	Ayele	MPD	10/19/2022
MLC2021-251	Ryals	MPD	2/6/2023
MLC2021-252	Stargard	DFHV/Abigail Zenner	10/19/2022
MLC2021-253	Becker	OCFO/Laverne Lee	2/2/2023
MLC2021-254	Lu	MPD	2/2/2023
MLC2021-255	Marshall	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-258	Spindel	CFSA/Wendy Singleton	10/19/2022
MLC2021-259	Spindel	CFSA/Wendy Singleton	10/19/2022
MLC2021-261	Mulhauser	OCTO	11/30/2022
MLC2021-263	Heath	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2021-267	Marcus	MPD	10/25/2022
MLC2021-268	Ayele	MPD	4/18/2023
MLC2022-001	Marritz	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-003	Thorne	MPD	10/25/2022
MLC2022-004	Stamatis	MPD	10/25/2022
MLC2022-005	Jia-Sobota	MPD	10/25/2022
MLC2022-007	Davis	MPD	10/25/2022
MLC2022-009	Jindal	MPD	2/2/2023
MLC2022-012	Marcus	DYRS	1/27/2023
MLC2022-013	Rimmer	MPD	4/11/2023
MLC2022-017	Ayele	BEGA/Sheree Deberry	10/19/2022
MLC2022-020	Smith	MPD	10/19/2022
MLC2022-021	Seyoum	UDC/Thomas Redmond	1/10/2023
MLC2022-022	Heath	DOC/Segun Obebe	2/1/2023
MLC2022-023	Lewis	MPD	1/25/2023
MLC2022-024	Lewis	MPD	11/2/2022
MLC2022-025	Taranto	MPD	1/12/2023
MLC2022-027	Mulhauser	OCA/Barry Kreiswirth	2/6/2023
MLC2022-029	Devine	MPD	1/12/2023
MLC2022-030	Guo	MPD	11/2/2022
MLC2022-034	Drobnis	HSEMA/Whitney Bowen	1/12/2023
MLC2022-036	Abdelhady	DOES/Tonya Robinson	2/1/2023
MLC2022-038	Schnide	MPD	1/25/2023

MLC2022-039	Mohseni	MPD	11/2/2022
MLC2022-043	Mondragon	OANC/Kathy Williams	2/1/2023
MLC2022-045	Dagle	MPD	1/12/2023
MLC2022-049	Parker	MPD	1/10/2023
MLC2022-050	Parker	MPD	1/10/2023
MLC2022-051	Parker	MPD	1/10/2023
MLC2022-052	Becker	OCFO/Laverne Lee	2/1/2023
MLC2022-054	Gural	MPD	1/25/2023
MLC2022-055	Cummings	DACL	1/10/2023
MLC2022-057	Daniels	DCHA	2/6/2023
MLC2022-059	Parker	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-060	Farley	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-061	Speller	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-062	Maxwell	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2022-063	Guerrieri	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2022-064	Farley	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-065	Trotta	MPD	1/10/2023
MLC2022-066	Park	DHCD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-067	Copeland	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-068	Crane	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2022-069	Kuehnert	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-070	Langley	OSSE/Lee Hagy	1/26/2023
MLC2022-073	Rodriguez	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2022-074	Douglas	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-075	Howe-Goldstein	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2022-076	Waddell	DOC/Segun Obebe	2/1/2023
MLC2022-077	Johnson	MPD	1/10/2023
MLC2022-078	Parker	MPD	1/10/2023
MLC2022-079	Siska	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-080	Farley	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2022-081	Johnson	OCFO/Laverne Lee	2/1/2023
MLC2022-082	Dean	MPD	1/10/2023
MLC2022-083	Edgerton	DOH/Phillip Husband	2/1/2023
MLC2022-084	Malakar	OS/Victor Reid	11/17/2022
MLC2022-086	Edgerton	OSSE	1/25/2023
MLC2022-087	Deane	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-092	Coughlin	DOH/Phillip Husband	1/18/2023
MLC2022-094	McLean	OCP/Jeremiah Regan	11/30/2022
MLC2022-096	Austermuhle	EOM	11/30/2022
MLC2022-098	Coughlin	DGS	1/10/2023
MLC2022-100	Robbins	OSA/Garrett Lee	11/17/2022
MLC2022-102	Douglas	MPD	12/1/2022
MLC2022-103	Johnson	DMPED/Erika Satterlee	2/8/2023
MLC2022-104	Smith	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-105	Konigsburg	MPD	12/1/2022
MLC2022-108	Konigsburg	MPD	12/1/2022

MLC2022-109	Konigsburg	EOM	12/1/2022
MLC2022-111	Konigsburg	DMPSJ/Lindsey Appiah	11/17/2022
MLC2022-113	Harvey	MPD	12/1/2022
MLC2022-115	Douglas	OUC	1/26/2023
MLC2022-116	Khatri	UDC	1/26/2023
MLC2022-117	Boulanger	DOH	2/8/2023
MLC2022-118	Infami	DOH	2/8/2023
MLC2022-119	Townsend	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-121	McCoy	DOH	2/8/2023
MLC2022-122	McCoy	DOH	2/8/2023
MLC2022-123	Stone	DOEE/David Dickman	11/17/2022
MLC2022-124	Fakri	FEMS	12/1/2022
MLC2022-126	Abdelhady	DOES/Tonya Robinson	2/2/2023
MLC2022-127	Kirwin	DPW	2/2/2023
MLC2022-128	Lehmkuhl	OUC	1/26/2023
MLC2022-129	Phillips	MPD	11/17/2022
MLC2022-132	Khatri	UDC	1/26/2023
MLC2022-135	Copeland	MPD	1/26/2023
MLC2022-136	Fletcher	MPD	2/2/2023
MLC2022-137	Musgrave	MPD	2/8/2023
MLC2022-138	Maharaj	OIG	1/25/2023
MLC2022-139	Fulton	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-140	Golden	FEMS	1/18/2023
MLC2022-141	Patel	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-142	Nelson	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-143	White	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-144	Dennis	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-145	Bangs	DMPSJ	1/25/2023
MLC2022-146	Doherty	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-147	Wells	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-148	May	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-149	Golden	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-150	Abdelhady	OCTO	1/18/2023
MLC2022-152	Prince	DCPL	1/26/2023
MLC2022-153	Lee	DCHA	1/10/2023
MLC2022-154	Copeland	MPD/Brandynn Reaves	1/25/2023
MLC2022-155	Mercer	MPD	1/26/2023
MLC2022-156	Carpenter	DDOT/Karen Calmeise	1/26/2023
MLC2022-157	Ziemke	DMV/Pamela Washington	2/2/2023
MLC2022-158	Washington	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2022-159	Austermuhle	DOES/Tonya Robinson	1/10/2023
MLC2022-160	Simon	DCHA	1/10/2023
MLC2022-161	Musgrave	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2022-162	Contrera	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2022-163	Ravnitzky	EOM	2/2/2023
MLC2022-164	Ravnitzky	EOM	1/10/2023

MLC2022-165	Mulhauser	MPD	1/25/2023
MLC2022-166	Van Dine	MPD	11/30/2022
MLC2022-167	Simon	DCHA	2/2/2023
MLC2022-168	Stonebarger	OUC	1/18/2023
MLC2022-169	Musgrave	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-171	Knight	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-172	Bossi	DMV	1/26/2023
MLC2022-173	Coughlin	DCPS/Eboni Govan	2/2/2023
MLC2022-174	Mercer	DFS	2/8/2023
MLC2022-175	Macvicar	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2022-177	Chung	MPD	2/2/2023
MLC2022-178	Wolf	DCPS/Eboni Govan	2/2/2023
MLC2022-179	Coles	FEMS	1/10/2023
MLC2022-181	Dennis	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-183	Obis	DOC/Segun Obebe	1/27/2023
MLC2022-184	Obis	DOC/Segun Obebe	1/27/2023
MLC2022-185	TateWhatley	MPD	2/2/2023
MLC2022-186	Khan-Tareen	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2022-187	Newsome	MPD	4/11/2023
MLC2022-188	Newsome	MPD	4/11/2023
MLC2022-189	Friendly	DOH	1/27/2023
MLC2022-190	Bond	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-191	Spindel	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-194	Abernethy	MPD	2/8/2023
MLC2022-195	Donohue	MOLC/Giavanna White	5/1/2023
MLC2022-197	Bales	MPD	1/27/2023
MLC2022-198	Luce	CFSA	2/2/2023
MLC2022-199	Mcmullen	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-200	Marshall	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-202	Li	MPD	12/20/2022
MLC2022-203	Zalsman	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-204	Matheson	OIG	1/18/2023
MLC2022-205	Edelman	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-206	Seegars	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-207	Thompson	DCHA	12/20/2022
MLC2022-208	Khatri	UDC	2/13/2023
MLC2022-210	Gural	DCHA	1/27/2023
MLC2022-211	Thompson	DCHA	2/1/2023
MLC2022-212	Thompson	DCHA	12/20/2022
MLC2022-213	Spindel	MPD	1/27/2023
MLC2022-214	Abdelhady	DOES	1/18/2023
MLC2022-215	Herbert	DCRA	1/27/2023
MLC2022-217	Maharaj	OIG	2/13/2023
MLC2022-218	Maharaj	DCHR	1/18/2023
MLC2022-219	Jones	DMPED	1/27/2023
MLC2022-220	Hawkins	DDOT/Karen Calmeise	2/13/2023
MLC2022-221	Thompson	DCHA	11/30/2022

MLC2022-222	Matzelevich	EOM	11/30/2022
MLC2022-223	Lewis	DCPL	1/25/2023
MLC2022-224	Silverman	DCPL	1/18/2023
MLC2022-225	Matzelevich	DME/Hillary Desir	1/18/2023
MLC2022-227	Thompson	DCHA	1/25/2023
MLC2022-228	Mcmullen	MPD	2/1/2023
MLC2022-229	Bell	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-230	Conner	MPD	1/18/2023
MLC2022-231	Thompson	DCHA	2/13/2023
MLC2023-001	Thompson	DCHA	3/21/2023
MLC2023-002	Ryals	DCHFA	3/24/2023
MLC2023-003	Bruckheim	OUC	3/24/2023
MLC2023-004	Dodd	DHCD	2/17/2023
MLC2023-005	Mulhauser	MOLC	5/1/2023
MLC2023-006	Conner	MPD	2/17/2023
MLC2023-007	Lewis	MPD	3/24/2023
MLC2023-008	Jablow	BEGA/Sheree Deberry	3/21/2023
MLC2023-009	Rosenberg	MPD	3/24/2023
MLC2023-010	Dole	DCRB	3/13/2023
MLC2023-011	Smith	DOEE	2/17/2023
MLC2023-012	Golinker	DC Water	2/27/2023
MLC2023-013	Soltas	DHCD	3/24/2023
MLC2023-014	Speciale	MPD	3/13/2023
MLC2023-015	Schmidt	SBOE	12/6/2022
MLC2023-016	Mcmullen	MPD	4/4/2023
MLC2023-017	Shetty	OUC	3/13/2023
MLC2023-018	Schlom	ABRA/Austin Hill	2/27/2023
MLC2023-019	Henderson	OANC/Kathy Williams	3/13/2023
MLC2023-020	Schlom	ABRA/Austin Hill	2/17/2023
MLC2023-021	Abdelhady	BEGA	3/24/2023
MLC2023-022	McBrien	EOM	2/17/2023
MLC2023-023	Fritz	FEMS	2/27/2023
MLC2023-024	Prince	OUC	3/24/2023
MLC2023-025	McFarland	DOB	3/21/2023
MLC2023-026	Hermann	OCME	3/24/2023
MLC2023-027	Green	OUC	3/13/2023
MLC2023-028	Hermann	MPD	3/13/2023
MLC2023-030	Bastien	DDOT/Karen Calmeise	2/27/2023
MLC2023-031	Bass	DMV	3/28/2023
MLC2023-032	Thompson	DCHA	2/27/2023
MLC2023-033	Van Dine	MPD	4/21/2023
MLC2023-034	Fesseha	MPD	3/24/2023
MLC2023-035	Cummings	OIG	2/27/2023
MLC2023-036	Thompson	DCHA	3/28/2023
MLC2023-037	Prince	MPD	2/27/2023

MLC2023-038	Siska	EOM	4/20/2023
MLC2023-039	Roodman	DOEE	3/24/2023
MLC2023-040	Dole	DCRB	4/3/2023
MLC2023-041	Orr	DDOT/Karen Calmeise	3/21/2023
MLC2023-042	McFarland	BEGA	3/28/2023
MLC2023-043	Schlom	ABRA/Austin Hill	2/27/2023
MLC2023-044	Thompson	DCHA	4/3/2023
MLC2023-045	Howard	MPD	3/24/2023
MLC2023-046	Thompson	DCHA	4/3/2023
MLC2023-047	Thomas	MPD	4/3/2023
MLC2023-048	Schlom	ABRA/Austin Hill	2/27/2023
MLC2023-049	Gilliam	ABRA/Austin Hill	3/28/2023
MLC2023-050	Uhar	DDOT/Karen Calmeise	4/3/2023
MLC2023-051	Schlom	ABRA/Austin Hill	3/13/2023
MLC2023-052	DOE	DCHA	4/21/2023
MLC2023-053	Ticker	DMPED/Erika Satterlee	2/27/2023
MLC2023-055	Duffy	DDOT/Karen Calmeise	4/10/2023
MLC2023-056	Barnes	FEMS	3/13/2023
MLC2023-057	Fawcett	OSSE	4/18/2023
MLC2023-058	Mears	MPD	4/10/2023
MLC2023-059	Mulhauser	DOH	5/1/2023
MLC2023-060	Douglas	DOES	3/13/2023
MLC2023-061	Deutch	MPD	4/10/2023
MLC2023-062	Perloff	MPD	5/5/2023
MLC2023-063	Williams-Jones	DHCD	4/10/2023
MLC2023-064	Alexei	DOES	4/10/2023
MLC2023-065	Pensack	MPD	4/21/2023
MLC2023-066	Shor	MPD	5/8/2023
MLC2023-067	Hamilton	MPD	5/16/2023
MLC2023-068	Ryals	MPD	4/21/2023
MLC2023-069	Bromberg Gaber	DMHHS	4/21/2023
MLC2023-070	Morris	DPW	4/10/2023
MLC2023-071	Hunt Kelly	DOB	4/18/2023
MLC2023-072	Drange	OSSE	4/21/2023
MLC2023-074	Mulhauser	EOM	4/5/2023
MLC2023-075	Sandford	OCA/Barry Kreiswirth	4/10/2023
MLC2023-076	Mascagni	DCPC	4/18/2023
MLC2023-077	Mulhauser	OCTO	6/2/2023
MLC2023-078	Kamin	MPD	4/18/2023
MLC2023-079	Brown	DHCD	5/16/2023
MLC2023-080	Greer	DOH	4/18/2023
MLC2023-081	Ferraro	DOC/Segun Obebe	4/10/2023
MLC2023-082	Lloyd	OUC	5/16/2023
MLC2023-083	Miller	OUC	5/5/2023
MLC2023-084	Wang	DHCD	5/5/2023
MLC2023-085	Carstens	OCFO	6/7/2023
MLC2023-086	Hatton	EOM	5/5/2023

MLC2023-087	Hatton	MPD	5/5/2023
MLC2023-088	Satter	OTR	5/5/2023
MLC2023-089	Alexander	MPD	5/16/2023
MLC2023-090	Thompson	OCF	5/22/2023
MLC2023-091	Uhar	ABRA	5/22/2023
MLC2023-092	Uhar	ABRA	5/16/2023
MLC2023-093	Maccoby	DFS	5/22/2023
MLC2023-094	Maccoby	MPD	5/22/2023
MLC2023-095	Glaberson	MPD	5/16/2023
MLC2023-096	Strouse	MPD	6/30/2023
MLC2023-097	Bunn	MPD	6/30/2023
MLC2023-098	Jewett	OUC	5/5/2023
MLC2023-099	Uhar	ABRA	5/8/2023
MLC2023-100	Uhar	ABRA/DOB	6/30/2023
MLC2023-101	Thompson	DCHA	5/8/2023
MLC2023-102	Thompson	OCF	5/8/2023
MLC2023-103	Thompson	DMPED	5/8/2023
MLC2023-104	Marshall	MPD	5/16/2023
MLC2023-105	Goldstein	DOC/Segun Obebe	6/26/2023
MLC2023-106	Schlom	ABRA	5/8/2023
MLC2023-107	Goldstein	DOC/Segun Obebe	6/30/2023
MLC2023-108	Thompson	DCHA	5/16/2023
MLC2023-109	Washington	MPD	6/2/2023
MLC2023-110	Larrain	MPD	6/2/2023
MLC2023-111	Lo	MPD	6/2/2023
MLC2023-112	Thompson	DCHA	5/16/2023
MLC2023-113	Thompson	DCHA	6/2/2023
MLC2023-114	Thompson	OCF	6/2/2023
MLC2023-115	Bond Gill	OCP	6/2/2023
MLC2023-116	Thompson	CFSA	6/5/2023
MLC2023-117	Thompson	DMPED	6/5/2023
MLC2023-118	Ryals	DCHA	7/3/2023
MLC2023-119	Glaberson	OUC	6/5/2023
MLC2023-120	Schlom	ABRA	6/5/2023
MLC2023-122	Howard	MPD	7/3/2023
MLC2023-123	Chapman	MOLC	9/29/2023
MLC2023-124	Borneman	DDOT/Karen Calmeise	7/31/2023
MLC2023-125	Doyle	DOH	6/26/2023
MLC2023-126	Howard	MPD	7/3/2023
MLC2023-127	Thompson	DCHA	6/26/2023
MLC2023-128	Weiner	DOC/Segun Obebe	7/21/2023
MLC2023-129	Cummings	DHS	6/26/2023
MLC2023-130	Schlom	ABRA	6/26/2023
MLC2023-132	SImon	DHS	7/3/2023
MLC2023-133	Moyer	DHS	7/3/2023
MLC2023-134	Graham	DOC/Segun Obebe	7/14/2023
MLC2023-135	Bennett	UDC	7/3/2023

MLC2023-136	Bennett	DCPL	7/3/2023
MLC2023-138	Strouse	MPD	7/7/2023
MLC2023-139	Williams	DOEE	7/3/2023
MLC2023-140	Thompson	DMPED	7/14/2023
MLC2023-141	Goldstein	DOC/Segun Obebe	7/31/2023
MLC2023-142	Thompson	DCHA	7/7/2023
MLC2023-143	Samolyk	DME	7/7/2023
MLC2023-144	Simon	DPW	6/30/2023
MLC2023-145	Haddad	DCPS/Eboni Govan	7/14/2023
MLC2023-146	Seller	MPD	8/8/2023
MLC2023-147	Reaves	DOC/Segun Obebe	8/8/2023
MLC2023-148	Glaberson	MPD	7/31/2023
MLC2023-149	Khatri	UDC	7/31/2023
MLC2023-150	Thompson	OCF	7/7/2023
MLC2023-151	Weiss	OUC	8/7/2023
MLC2023-152	La Farge	DOB	8/9/2023
MLC2023-153	Long	MPD	7/31/2023
MLC2023-154	Thompson	OUC	7/14/2023
MLC2023-155	Beauvais	MPD	7/31/2023
MLC2023-156	Doyle	DC Health	7/14/2023
MLC2023-157	Doyle	DC Health	7/14/2023
MLC2023-158	Kutlik	MPD	8/9/2023
MLC2023-159	Atkinson	OS	7/31/2023
MLC2023-160	Colvin	MPD	8/15/2023
MLC2023-162	Seller	MPD	8/9/2023
MLC2023-163	Katz	MPD	8/21/2023
MLC2023-164	Nguyen	MPD	8/15/2023
MLC2023-165	Bergman	DCPL	8/9/2023
MLC2023-166	Vannoy	OUC	8/15/2023
MLC2023-167	Thompson	DCHA	8/9/2023
MLC2023-169	Baudry	MPD	8/15/2023
MLC2023-170	Donohue	OANC/Kathy Williams	8/15/2023
MLC2023-171	Wiggins	DDOT/Karen Calmeise	8/28/2023
MLC2023-172	Jones	MPD	8/28/2023
MLC2023-173	Thompson	DCHA	8/28/2023
MLC2023-174	Rose	OCFO	8/28/2023
MLC2023-176	Schollaert	OUC	8/21/2023
MLC2023-178	Doyle	DC Health	8/21/2023
MLC2023-179	Liss	DCHBX	8/28/2023
MLC2023-180	Airey	MPD	8/28/2023
MLC2023-181	Lambert	DFHV	8/21/2023
MLC2023-182	Whaley	MPD	9/5/2023
MLC2023-183	Darby	DCPS/Eboni Govan	9/5/2023
MLC2023-184	Vannoy	MPD	9/5/2023
MLC2023-185	Vannoy	MPD	9/5/2023
MLC2023-186	Watts	OUC	8/21/2023
MLC2023-187	Hanig	MPD	9/5/2023

MLC2023-188	Soper	MPD	9/5/2023
MLC2023-189	Oberg	OCA/Barry Kreiswirth	8/21/2023
MLC2023-190	Oberg	DCPS/Eboni Govan	9/1/2023
MLC2023-191	Oberg	HSEMA/Whitney Bowen	8/21/2023
MLC2023-192	Oberg	MPD	8/21/2023
MLC2023-193	Gathright	MPD	9/8/2023
MLC2023-194	Demuth	MPD	9/8/2023
MLC2023-195	Thompson	DHS	8/21/2023
MLC2023-196	Blier	MPD	9/8/2023
MLC2023-197	Bolden	MPD	9/8/2023
MLC2023-198	Holmes	MPD	9/18/2023
MLC2023-199	Andrews	MPD	9/18/2023
MLC2023-200	Darby	DHS	9/8/2023
MLC2023-201	Kestis	OPC	8/21/2023
MLC2023-202	Thompson	DCHA	8/21/2023
MLC2023-203	Schlom	ABCA	8/21/2023
MLC2023-204	Oberg	MPD	9/8/2023
MLC2023-205	Webster	MPD	9/20/2023
MLC2023-206	Conner	MPD	9/20/2023
MLC2023-207	Ryals	DMPED	9/29/2023
MLC2023-208	Ryals	EOM	9/20/2023
MLC2023-209	Gural	DHCD	9/20/2023
MLC2023-210	Sampson	DCRB	9/20/2023
MLC2023-211	Delia	MPD	9/20/2023
MLC2023-212	Harmon	OCTFME	9/20/2023
MLC2023-213	Burr	OUC	9/20/2023
MLC2023-214	Schlom	ABCA	9/20/2023
MLC2023-215	Thompson	DCHA	9/20/2023
MLC2023-216	Dalton	DOEE	9/20/2023
MLC2023-217	Marshall	FEMS	9/22/2023
MLC2023-218	Goodine	MPD	9/22/2023
MLC2023-219	Howell	FEMS	9/22/2023
MLC2023-220	Golden	DOC/Segun Obebe	9/22/2023
MLC2023-221	Malone	OUC	9/22/2023
MLC2023-222	Hermann	DCRB	9/22/2023
MLC2023-223	Sampson	DCRB	9/22/2023
MLC2023-224	Leighton	MPD	9/22/2023
MLC2023-225	Benicio	DDOT/Karen Calmeise	9/22/2023
MLC2023-226	Schlom	ABCA/Jonathan Berman	9/29/2023
MLC2023-227	Wade	MPD	9/29/2023
MLC2023-228	Egenti	MPD	9/29/2023
MLC2023-229	Smith	MPD	9/29/2023
MLC2023-230	Jones	MPD	9/29/2023
MLC2023-231	Thompson	DCHA	9/29/2023
MLC2023-232	Ivanov	MPD	9/29/2023
MLC2023-233	Smith	MPD	9/29/2023

MLC2023-234	Jones	MPD	9/29/2023
MLC2023-235	Gathright	MPD	9/29/2023
MLC2023-236	Engenti	MPD	9/29/2023