

FY 2018 FOIA Appeal Annual Report Log

Mayor's Office of Legal Counsel

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-001	10/2/2017	10/3/2017	10/11/2017	Douglas	MPD	10/16/2017	MOOT	Improper denial	9
2018-002	10/3/2017	10/3/2017	10/10/2017	Mishler	DOH	10/12/2017	WITHDRAWN	Failure to Respond	NA
2018-003	10/3/2017	10/3/2017	10/4/2017	Mishler	OCP	10/5/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	2
2018-004	10/4/2017	10/4/2017	10/11/2017	Rodriguez	DOH	10/12/2017	AFFIRMED	No responsive records	5
2018-005	10/6/2017	10/6/2017	10/11/2017	Rodriguez	DOH	10/20/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	9
2018-006	10/6/2017	10/6/2017	10/11/2017	Rodriguez	OCP	10/20/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	9
2018-007	10/12/2017	10/12/2017	10/13/2017	Hunt	DCRA	10/25/2017	AFFIRMED	a(2)	9
2018-008	10/10/2017	10/10/2017	10/19/2017	Douglas	MPD	10/24/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-009	10/10/2017	10/10/2017	10/24/2017	Brown	DMV	10/24/2017	AFFIRMED	No oblig. to create record	10
2018-010	10/10/2017	10/10/2017	10/24/2017	Brown	DMV	10/24/2017	AFFIRMED	No oblig. to answer quest.	10
2018-011	10/10/2017	10/10/2017	10/11/2017	Brown	DOH	10/24/2017	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-012	10/10/2017	10/10/2017	10/24/2017	Brown	DMV	10/24/2017	AFFIRMED	No oblig. to create record	10
2018-013	10/10/2017	10/11/2017	10/12/2017	Christian	OCFO	10/27/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	OS Adj.
2018-014	10/13/2017	10/13/2017	10/20/2017	Wagner	MPD	10/27/2017	AFFIRMED	(a)(3)(C)	10
2018-015	10/16/2017	10/16/2017	10/23/2017	Purmort	OCP	10/30/2017	AFFIRMED	a(1), a(4)	10
2018-016	10/17/2017	10/17/2017	10/24/2017	Roberts	MPD	11/1/2017	AFFIRMED	a(3)(A)(i)	11

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-017	10/17/2017	10/17/2017	10/24/2017	LaFon	DCRA	10/31/2017	REMANDED	Failure to Respond	10
2018-018	10/18/2017	10/18/2017	10/25/2017	Blaize	MPD	11/1/2017	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-019	10/19/2017	10/19/2017	10/19/2017	Matzelevich	DGS	10/31/2017	MOOT/AFFIRMED	Failure to Respond	8
2018-020	10/19/2017	10/19/2017	10/20/2017	Schnaubelt	ORM	11/2/2017	AFFIRMED	Response to questions, delay	10
2018-021	10/23/2017	10/23/2017	11/3/2017	Pivato	DCRA	11/6/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-022	10/23/2017	10/23/2017	11/6/2017	Pivato	DCRA	11/6/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-023	10/26/2017	10/26/2017	NA	Rodriguez	DOEE	11/9/2017	REMANDED	a(4)	10
2018-024	10/26/2017	10/26/2017	11/1/2017	Matzelevich	DCPS	11/2/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	5
2018-025	10/27/2017	10/27/2017	11/13/2017	Bralow	MPD	11/13/2017	AFFIRMED	(a)(3)(A)(i)	10
2018-026	10/27/2017	10/27/2017	11/2/2017	Matzelevich	DDOT	11/2/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	6
2018-027	10/27/2017	10/27/2017	11/6/2017	Matzelevich	DGS	11/13/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-028	10/30/2017	10/30/2017	11/6/2017	Tedrow	MPD	11/14/2017	MOOT	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-029	11/6/2017	11/6/2017	NA	Reeder	MPD	11/21/2017	AFFIRMED	Transferred to another agency	10
2018-030	11/6/2017	11/6/2017	11/21/2017	Medici	DMPED	11/21/2017	AFFIRMED	a(1)	10
2018-031	11/6/2017	11/13/2017	11/14/2017	Santos	DHS	11/17/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond, Transferred to another agency	8

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-032	11/13/2017	11/13/2017	NA	Brown	DCWater	11/17/2017	MOOT	Improper appeal	4
2018-033	11/15/2017	NA	NA	Brown	DCHA	11/15/2017	AFFIRMED	No oblig. to answer quest.	1
2018-034	11/15/2017	NA	NA	Brown	DCRA	11/15/2017	AFFIRMED	No oblig. to answer quest.	1
2018-035	11/15/2017	NA	NA	Brown	EOM	11/15/2017	AFFIRMED	No oblig. to answer quest.	1
2018-036	11/15/2017	NA	NA	Brown	EOM	11/15/2017	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	1
2018-037	11/15/2017	NA	NA	Brown	OCME	11/15/2017	AFFIRMED	No oblig. to answer quest.	1
2018-038	11/15/2017	11/15/2017	11/22/2017	Brown	OHR	11/30/2017	AFFIRMED	Improper appeal	10
2018-039	11/15/2017	NA	NA	Brown	DCRA	11/15/2017	AFFIRMED	Premature	1
2018-040	11/15/2017	NA	NA	Brown	DCRA	11/15/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	1
2018-041	11/15/2017	NA	NA	Brown	DHCD	11/15/2017	AFFIRMED	Improper request	1
2018-042	11/15/2017	11/15/2017	11/29/2017	Decker	MPD	11/30/2017	AFFIRMED	(a)(3)(A)(i)	10
2018-043	11/20/2017	11/20/2017	NA	Ayele	DOC	12/5/2017	AFFIRMED	No oblig. to answer quest.	10
2018-044	11/20/2017	11/20/2017	11/29/2017	Dunagan	MPD	12/5/2017	AFFIRMED	(a)(3)(A)(i)	10
2018-045	11/21/2017	11/21/2017	11/29/2017	Sherman	MPD	12/6/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-046	11/27/2017	11/27/2017	12/1/2017	Lewis	DCPL	12/11/2017	MOOT	a(4)	10
2018-047	11/28/2017	NA	NA	Sager	OAG	11/28/2017	No Jurisdiction	No Jurisdiction	1
2018-048	11/29/2017	11/29/2017	12/12/2017	Billy	MPD	12/13/2017	REMANDED	Failure to Respond	10

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-049	11/30/2017	11/30/2017	12/7/2017	Hill	MPD	12/13/2017	MOOT	Excessive Withholding	10
2018-050	12/4/2017	12/4/2017	12/15/2017	Rueda	DCRA	12/18/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-051	12/8/2017	12/8/2017	12/14/2017	Rueda	DCRA	12/18/2017	MOOT	Untimely Response	10
2018-052	12/11/2017	12/11/2017	12/12/2017	Christian	OCFO	12/26/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-053	12/12/2017	12/12/2017	12/19/2017	Brittin	OCP	12/26/2017	MOOT	Failure to Respond	9
2018-054	12/12/2017	12/12/2017	12/20/2017	Pardoe	MPD	12/27/2017	AFFIRMED	(a)(3)(A)(i)	10
2018-055	12/15/2017	12/15/2017	12/27/2018	Goel	DGS	1/2/2018	REMANDED	a(1)	10
2018-056	12/18/2017	12/18/2017	12/28/2017	Bruni	OCP	1/3/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-057	12/19/2017	12/19/2017	1/2/2018	Austermuhle	DMPED	1/4/2018	REMANDED	a(1), a(4)	10
2018-058	12/26/2017	12/26/2017	12/27/2017	Brown	DCWater	1/10/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-059	12/28/2017	12/28/2017	1/9/2018	Rueda	DCRA	1/12/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-060	12/28/2017	12/28/2017	12/28/2017	Bruni	OCP	1/11/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	9
2018-061	12/28/2017	12/28/2017	1/8/2018	Tucker	OCP	1/12/2018	AFFIRMED	No responsive records	10
2018-062	12/28/2017	12/28/2017	12/29/2017	Briscoe	FEMS	1/11/2018	AFFIRMED	(a)(6)	9
2018-063	12/29/2017	12/29/2017	1/8/2018	Schiano	MPD	1/16/2018	AFFIRMED	(a)(2)	10
2018-064	1/16/2018	1/16/2018	1/23/2019	Ban	MPD	1/29/2018	MOOT	Improper denial	9
2018-065	1/17/2018	1/17/2018	1/30/2018	Chapman	OSSE	1/31/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-066	1/3/2018	1/3/2018	1/9/2018	Rueda	DCRA	NA	susp./admin. closed	(a)(4)	NA

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-067	1/8/2018	1/8/2018	1/9/2018	Barber	OAH	1/23/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-068	1/18/2018	1/18/2018	1/25/2018	Sabio	OCFO	2/1/2018	AFFIRMED	(a)(2)	10
2018-069	1/18/2018	1/18/2018	1/25/2018	Sabio	OCFO	2/1/2018	MOOT	Format	10
2018-070	1/23/2018	1/23/2018	1/26/2018	Householder	OCTO	2/6/2018	MOOT	Time of receipt	10
2018-071	1/26/2018	1/26/2018	2/2/2018	Cryder	OCFO	2/9/2018	REMANDED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-072	2/2/2018	2/2/2018	2/9/2018	Rodriguez	DOH	2/15/2018	REMANDED	Failure to Respond	9
2018-073	2/2/2018	2/5/2018	2/9/2018	Horrell	OUC	2/13/2018	WITHDRAWN	Failure to Respond	7
2018-074	2/5/2018	2/5/2018	2/12/2018	Townsend	MPD	2/20/2018	REMANDED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-075	2/5/2018	2/5/2018	2/12/2018	Weinstein	DCRA	2/20/2018	REMANDED	(a)(2)	10
2018-076	2/12/2018	2/12/2018	2/20/2018	Goel	DGS	2/27/2018	AFFIRMED	(a)(2), (a)(4), (a)(6)	10
2018-077	2/13/2018	2/13/2018	2/22/2018	Baranetsky	MPD	2/27/2018	REMANDED	(a)(3)(D)	9
2018-078	2/14/2018	2/14/2018	3/7/2018	Garvie	MPD	3/7/2018	REMANDED	Adequacy of Search	14
2018-079	2/14/2018	2/14/2018	2/22/2018	Brown	DCHA	3/1/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-080	2/20/2018	2/20/2018	3/1/2018	Jablow	DGS	3/6/2018	REMANDED	Failure to Respond	10
2018-081	2/23/2018	2/23/2018	3/8/2018	Christian	OCFO	3/9/2018	REMANDED	Adequacy of Search, fees, format	10
2018-082	2/28/2018	2/28/2018	3/8/2018	Luce	DOH	3/14/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-083	3/2/2018	3/2/2018	3/5/2018	Mitchell	OCME	3/16/2018	AFFIRMED	(a)(2), (a)(6)	10

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-084	3/5/2018	3/5/2018	3/13/2018	Nani	OCFO	3/16/2018	REMANDED	Improper denial, Adequacy of Search	9
2018-085	3/8/2018	3/8/2018	3/8/2018	Rodriguez	DOH	3/22/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-086	3/8/2018	3/8/2018	3/13/2018	Rodriguez	DOH	3/22/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-087	3/8/2018	3/8/2018	3/14/2018	Rodriguez	DOH	3/22/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-088	3/13/2018	3/13/2018	3/27/2018	Austermuhle	DMPED	3/27/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-089	3/15/2018	3/16/2018	3/23/2018	Capler	DOC	3/29/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-090	3/19/2018	3/19/2018	3/29/2018	Havenstein	MPD	4/2/2018	MOOT	(a)(2)	9
2018-091	3/19/2018	3/19/2018	3/29/2018	Lambert	OCFO	4/2/2018	AFFIRMED	No records	9
2018-092	3/20/2018	3/20/2018	3/23/2018	Stachewicz	DCHA	4/2/2018	MOOT	Improper Response	8
2018-093	3/20/2018	3/22/2018	3/27/2018	Guzman	OCFO	4/5/2018	REMANDED	(a)(1), (a)(3)(E), (a)(4)	10
2018-094	3/22/2018	3/22/2018	4/3/2018	Melvin	DOH	4/5/2018	AFFIRMED	Improper appeal	10
2018-095	3/26/2018	3/26/2018	4/5/2018	Mulhauser	DOH	4/10/2018	REMANDED	(a)(6)	11
2018-096	3/27/2018	3/27/2018	4/3/2018	Brown	DCHA	4/10/2018	REMANDED IN PART MOOT IN PART	Failure to Respond	10
2018-097	3/30/2018	3/30/2018	4/9/2018	Bregman	MPD	4/13/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	(a)(3)(A)(i)	10
2018-098	4/2/2018	4/2/2018	4/11/2018	Franzblau	MPD	4/17/2018	REMANDED	(a)(2), (3)	10

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-099	4/2/2018	4/2/2018	NA	Austermuhle	DMPED	4/10/2018	REMANDED	(a)(1)	6
2018-100	4/4/2018	4/4/2018	4/13/2018	Douglas	MPD	4/19/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-101	4/9/2018	4/9/2018	4/9/2018	Rueda	DCRA	4/24/2018	PREMATURE MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-102	4/12/2018	4/12/2018	4/19/2018	Rabinowitz	DMPED	4/27/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	(a)(4)	10
2018-103	4/17/2018	4/17/2018	4/17/2018	Lewis	DCHR	4/30/2018	AFFIRMED	(a)(2)	10
2018-104	4/17/2018	4/17/2018	4/27/2018	Slomovitz	OCFO	5/1/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	(a)(4)	10
2018-105	4/24/2018	4/24/2018	5/1/2018	Garvie	MPD	5/8/2018	REMANDED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-106	4/26/2018	4/26/2018	5/8/2018	Douglas	MPD	5/10/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	(a)(2), Adequacy of Search	10
2018-107	5/2/2018	5/2/2018	5/14/2018	Mulhauser	MPD	5/15/2018	MOOT	Adequacy of Search	9
2018-108	5/2/2018	5/2/2018	5/11/2018	Bruni	DOH	5/15/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	Requester Identity	9
2018-109	5/7/2018	5/7/2018	5/10/2018	Mackins	DDOT	5/21/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-110	5/8/2018	5/8/2018	5/17/2018	Johnson	OUC	5/22/2018	MOOT	(a)(2)	10
2018-111	5/8/2018	5/8/2018	5/15/2018	Hassan	DCRA	5/22/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-112	5/14/2018	5/14/2018	5/21/2018	Krynski	DCRA	5/29/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-113	5/23/2018	5/23/2018	6/5/2018	Durkee	DISB	6/7/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	(a)(1), (a)(2)	10
2018-114	5/16/2018	5/16/2018	5/23/2018	Rueda	DCRA	5/31/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-115	5/21/2018	5/21/2018	5/29/2018	Austermuhle	DMPED	5/30/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	6
2018-116	5/29/2018	5/29/2018	6/6/2018	Patel	DCRA	6/12/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-117	5/29/2018	5/29/2018	6/4/2018	Fitch	UDC	6/12/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-118	6/1/2018	6/4/2018	6/15/2018	Franzblau	MPD	6/19/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	(a)(2),(3)(E),(4), Adequacy of Search	12
2018-119	6/4/2018	6/4/2018	6/14/2018	Leckar	OAH	6/19/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	Adequacy of Search, (a)(4)	11
2018-120	6/5/2018	6/5/2018	6/12/2018	Leckar	EOM	6/19/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	Improper denial, (a)(4)	10
2018-121	6/5/2018	6/5/2018	7/13/2018	Leckar	OAH	Suspended, 7/16/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	NA
2018-122	6/5/2018	NA	6/5/2018	Moeser	DME	6/8/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	3
2018-123	6/5/2018	6/5/2018	NA	D'Angelo	OCP	6/13/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	6
2018-124	6/5/2018	6/5/2018	6/18/2018	Nirappil	MPD	6/19/2018	AFFIRMED	(a)(2),(3)(C), BWC	10
2018-125	6/6/2018	6/6/2018	6/12/2018	D'Angelo	OCP	6/14/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	6

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-126	6/7/2018	6/7/2018	6/18/2018	Jones	DCHA	6/21/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	(a)(2), (a)(4)	10
2018-127	6/21/2018	6/21/2018	6/29/2018	Christian	OCFO	6/29/2018	WITHDRAWN	NA	6
2018-128	6/11/2018	6/11/2018	6/25/2018	Sawchuk	DCHA	6/25/2018	MOOT	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-129	6/11/2018	6/11/2018	6/18/2018	Austermuhle	DMPED	6/20/2018	REMANDED	Failure to Respond	7
2018-130	6/11/2018	6/11/2018	6/18/2018	Brown	DCHA	6/25/2018	AFFIRMED	No Records	10
2018-131	6/14/2018	6/14/2018	6/21/2018	Austermuhle	DMPED	6/29/2018	REMANDED	Failure to Respond	11
2018-132	6/15/2018	6/15/2018	6/27/2018	Barth	MPD	6/29/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	(a)(2)	10
2018-133	6/20/2018	6/20/2018	6/26/2018	Mulhauser	PCSB	7/5/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	Adequacy of Search, (a)(1), (2), (4)	10
2018-134	6/21/2018	6/21/2018	6/28/2018	Christian	OCFO	6/29/2018	WITHDRAWN	NA	6
2018-135	6/21/2018	6/21/2018	6/28/2018	Bruni	OCP	7/3/2018	REMANDED	Fees	8
2018-136	6/21/2018	6/21/2018	6/26/2018	Fleischer	DDOT	7/6/2018	AFFIRMED	No Records	10
2018-137	6/22/2018	6/22/2018	6/28/2018	Barth	MPD	7/9/2018	REMANDED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-138	6/22/2018	6/22/2018	NA	McAnney	MPD	7/9/2018	AFFIRMED	(a)(2), (3)(C)	10
2018-139	6/29/2018	6/29/2018	7/9/2018	Khatri	UDC	7/16/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	Adequacy of Search, (a)(2), (4)	10
2018-140	7/6/2018	7/6/2018	7/17/2018	Friedman	MPD	7/20/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-141	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	7/25/2018	Cunningham	MPD	7/30/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	9

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-142	7/17/2018	7/17/2018	7/23/2018	Galarraga	UDC	7/30/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	9
2018-143	7/19/2018	7/19/2018	7/26/2018	Douglas	MPD	8/2/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-144	7/26/2018	7/26/2018	8/3/2018	Currey	MPD	8/9/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-145	7/26/2018	7/27/2018	8/14/2018	Knizhnik	MPD	8/16/2018	REMANDED IN PART MOOT IN PART	(3)(B)	14
2018-146	7/27/2018	7/27/2018	8/3/2018	Goldchain	MPD	8/10/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-147	7/31/2018	7/31/2018	8/8/2018	Da'Vage	DCHA	8/14/2018	AFFIRMED	No Records	10
2018-148	7/31/2018	7/31/2018	8/13/2018	Khatri	UDC	8/14/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	Adequacy of Search, (a)(2)	10
2018-149	8/3/2018	8/3/2018	8/14/2018	Crumbly	MPD	8/17/2018	REMANDED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-150	8/3/2018	8/3/2018	8/10/2018	Yafeu	MPD	8/17/2018	REMANDED	Fees	10
2018-151	8/6/2018	8/6/2018	8/14/2018	Biello	DCRA	8/20/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-152	8/7/2018	8/7/2018	8/13/2018	Mulhauser	DME	8/21/2018	REMANDED	Failure to Respond, Adequacy of Search	10
2018-153	8/10/2018	8/13/2018	8/21/2018	Barbin	MPD	8/24/2018	MOOT	Fees	10
2018-154	8/20/2018	8/20/2018	8/24/2018	Mulhauser	PCSB	9/4/2018	REMANDED	Adequacy of Search, (a)(2)	10
2018-155	8/21/2018	8/21/2018	8/28/2018	Mullins	OCFO	9/5/2018	REMANDED	(a)(2)	10

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-156	8/21/2018	8/21/2018	9/5/2018	Spitzer	MPD	9/5/2018	AFFIRMED	(3)(B), (3)(A)(i)	10
2018-157	8/22/2018	8/22/2018	8/29/2018	Cunningham	OUC	9/7/2018	AFFIRMED	Inadequate Response	10
2018-158	8/23/2018	8/23/2018	8/24/2018	Santos	OCP	9/6/2018	AFFIRMED	Improper Denial	9
2018-159	8/23/2018	8/23/2018	9/6/2018	Moeser	DOH	9/7/2018	AFFIRMED	Format, (d)(1)	10
2018-160	8/28/2018	NA	NA	Pridgen	DOES	8/31/2018	MOOT	Fees	3
2018-161	8/29/2018	8/29/2018	9/6/2018	Jones	DCHA	9/12/2018	WITHDRAWN	NA	9
2018-162	8/30/2018	8/30/2018	9/7/2018	Watts	OEA	9/11/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	7
2018-163	9/5/2018	9/5/2018	9/5/2018	Blocker	DDOT	9/6/2018	WITHDRAWN	Failure to Respond	1
2018-164	9/5/2018	9/5/2018	9/13/2018	Jablow	DME	9/21/2018	REMANDED	Failure to Respond	11
2018-165	9/7/2018	9/7/2018	9/11/2018	Miller	MPD	9/18/2017	REMANDED	Failure to Respond	7
2018-166	9/10/2018	9/10/2018	9/18/2018	Martin	DGS	9/18/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10
2018-167	9/10/2018	9/10/2018	9/21/2018	Thurman	MPD	9/24/2018	AFFIRMED	(a)(2)	10
2018-168	9/10/2018	9/10/2018	9/21/2018	Thurman	MPD	9/24/2018	AFFIRMED IN PART REMANDED IN PART	(a)(2)	10
2018-169	9/11/2018	9/11/2018	9/21/2018	Watts	OEA	9/25/2018	AFFIRMED	Improper FOIA request	10
2018-170	9/17/2018	9/17/2018	NA	Mulhauser	OCTO	10/1/2018	REMANDED	Failure to Respond	10
2018-171	9/17/2018	9/17/2018	9/21/2018	Trainum	DCRA	10/1/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-172	9/17/2018	9/18/2018	9/18/2018	Anaya	HSEMA	10/1/2018	REMANDED	Improper Denial	10
2018-173	9/21/2018	9/24/2018	10/2/2018	Mulligan	MPD	10/5/2018	MOOT	(a)(2)	10

FOIA APPEAL NUMBER	Date Received in MOLC	Date sent to Agency	Date Returned	Requester	FOIA Agency	Decision Date	Decision	Exemption, Issue	Days to Process
2018-174	9/24/2018	9/24/2018	10/2/2018	Perloff	MPD	10/15/2018	MOOT	(3)(B)	14
2018-175	9/28/2018	9/28/2018	10/15/2018	Uhar	DDOT	10/15/2018	AFFIRMED	Adequacy of Search	10
2018-176	9/28/2018	9/28/2018	10/5/2018	Jablow	OCP	10/15/2018	MOOT	Failure to Respond	10

FY 2018 FOIA Appeal Summaries

Mayor's Office of Legal Counsel

2018-001 Douglas – Request for records relating to MPD trips or activities located in foreign countries. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because MPD was in the process of responding.

2018-002 Mishler – Request for records related to Animal Services Program. Appeal withdrawn after DOH partially responded to request.

2018-003 Mishler – Request for contract with Human Rescue Alliance. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OCP and OCP provided requester with a response.

2018-004 Rodriguez – Request for quarterly report of “Known Managed Feral Cat Colony List.” DOH’s response was affirmed, because DOH was not obligated to create records, and the contractor had not been providing the reports.

2018-005 Rodriguez – Request for correspondence with the Human Rescue Alliance. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DOH and DOH provided requester with a response.

2018-006 Rodriguez – Request for records pertaining to a contract with Human Rescue Alliance. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OCP and OCP provided requester with a response.

2018-007 Hunt – Request for all applications and permits of an address. Appeal of redaction to contractor’s personal email address. DCRA’s decision was affirmed on the basis of personal privacy interests.

2018-008 Douglas – Request for records relating to MPD training policies. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from MPD and MPD provided requester with a response.

2018-009 Brown – Request for a transcript of a hearing and questions related to a hearing. DMV’s decision was affirmed, because DMV was not obligated to create new records or answer interrogatories. Decided with 2018-012.

2018-010 Brown – Request asking to interpret law. DMV’s decision was affirmed, because DMV was not obligated to conduct research or answer interrogatories

2018-011 Brown – Request for medical records. DOH’s decision was affirmed because DOH conducted an adequate search.

2018-012 Brown - Request for a transcript of a hearing and questions related to a hearing. DMV’s decision was affirmed, because DMV was not obligated to create new records or answer interrogatories. Decided with 2018-009.

2018-013 Christian – Request for records relating to litigation, along with communications to the Mayor’s Office of Legal Counsel. Appeal decided by Office of the Secretary, dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OCFO and OCFO provided requester with a response.

2018-014 Wagner – Request for records related to an investigation of an officer involved in a shooting that occurred on September 11, 2016. MPD’s decision was affirmed on the basis of personal privacy interests.

2018-015 Purmort – Request for records relating to solicitation of community dining and home-delivered meals. OCP’s decision was affirmed on the basis that disclosure of redacted information could cause substantial competitive harm to the bidder and because disclosure would violate the deliberative process privilege.

2018-016 Roberts – Request for body worn camera footage, a PD-251 and a PD-61. MPD’s decision was affirmed on the basis that release of the records could interfere with an enforcement proceeding.

2018-017 LaFon – Request for records relating to a property. Appeal remanded to DCRA to conduct an adequate search.

2018-018 Blaize – Request for footage of street camera. Due to its retention policy, MPD no longer possessed responsive records at the time of the request. MPD’s decision was affirmed.

2018-019 Matzelevich – Request for two emails related to Hearst Park. DGS’s response was affirmed, because the redacted portions were draft language that would have revealed the deliberative process.

2018-020 Schnaubelt – Request for records related to a previous complaint made to ORM. ORM’s decision was affirmed, because ORM was not obligated to answer interrogatories.

2018-021 Pivato – Request for records related to two addresses. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DCRA and DCRA provided requester with a response.

2018-022 Pivato – Request for evaluations and analysis pertaining to an address. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DCRA and DCRA provided requester with a response.

2018-023 Rodriguez – Request for emails with a set of search terms. Appeal remanded to DOEE, because the agency had no adequately shown redacted emails were protected by the deliberative process privilege.

2018-024 Matzelevich – Request for memoranda of understanding and agreement. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DCPS and DCPS provided requester with a response.

2018-025 Bralow – Request for investigatory records of communications between a homicide victim and Wikileaks. MPD’s decision was affirmed, because release of any responsive investigatory records would interfere with an enforcement proceeding.

2018-026 Matzelevich – Request for records relating to Hearst Park. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DDOT and DDOT provided requester with a response.

2018-027 Matzelevich – Request for records relating to Hearst Park. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DGS and DGS provided requester with a response.

2018-028 Tedrow – Request for application and hiring materials. Appeal was dismissed after MPD provided additional documents; MPD was not obligated to create additional records.

2018-029 Reeder – Request for records related to contract with website. MPD’s decision was affirmed, because MPD and DMV are separate agencies and MPD was not obligated to transfer requester’s request.

2018-030 Medici – Request for grant agreement. DMPED’s decision was affirmed because the redacted information involved commercial information, the release of which could cause substantial harm.

2018-031 Santos – Request for record related to a contract. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DHS and DHS provided requester with a response.

2018-032 Brown – Request for records regarding lead testing of water. Appeal dismissed as moot because it was a new request, that DC Water represented it would address.

2018-033 Brown – Request to answer a question. Appeal dismissed, as DCHA was not obligated to answer questions, and because requests must reasonably describe a record.

2018-034 Brown - Request to answer a question. Appeal dismissed, as DCRA was not obligated to answer questions, and because requests must reasonably describe a record.

2018-035 Brown - Request to answer a question. Appeal dismissed, as EOM was not obligated to answer questions, and because requests must reasonably describe a record.

2018-036 Brown - Request for registered agent corporate filing. EOM’s decision affirmed because it conducted an adequate search and EOM did not possess the requested records.

2018-037 Brown – Request for records of health information relating to lead poisoning. Appeal dismissed, as OCME was not obligated to answer questions, and because requests must reasonably describe a record.

2018-038 Brown – Request for records relating to three investigations. OHR’s decision was affirmed, because OHR provided responsive documents.

2018-039 Brown - Request for registered agent corporate filing. Appeal was dismissed as prematurely filed.

2018-040 Brown – Request for payment standards information regarding an MLS advertisement. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DCRA and DCRA provided requester with a response.

2018-041 Brown - Request for payment standards information regarding an MLS advertisement. DHCD's decision was affirmed because request did not reasonably describe a record.

2018-042 Decker – Request for records relating to an unsolved 1981 homicide. MPD's decision was affirmed, because release of the records would interfere with an enforcement proceeding.

2018-043 Ayele – Request to answer questions. Appeal dismissed, as DOC was not obligated to answer questions, and because requests must reasonably describe a record.

2018-044 Dunagan – Request for investigatory records relating to an unsolved homicide. MPD's decision was affirmed, because release of any responsive investigatory records would interfere with an enforcement proceeding.

2018-045 Sherman – Request for officer involved shooting data. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from MPD and MPD provided requester with a response.

2018-046 Lewis – Request for records related to an EEOC investigation. DCPL amended its production, which addressed the issues raised in the appeal. The appeal was dismissed as moot.

2018-047 Sager – Request for records of OAG. Appeal dismissed for lack of jurisdiction.

2018-048 Billy – Request for data of seizures from 1995 to the present. Matter remanded to MPD to complete conducting an adequate search.

2018-049 Hill – Request for records relating to forgery and felony murder charges from 1994. MPD amended its production, which addressed the issues raised in the appeal. The appeal was dismissed as moot.

2018-050 Rueda – Request for records related to construction activity at an address. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DCRA and DCRA provided requester with a response.

2018-051 Rueda - Request for records related to permits at an address. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DCRA and DCRA provided requester with a response.

2018-052 Christian - Request for records identifying agency codes. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OCFO and OCFO provided requester with a response.

2018-053 Brittin – Request for records related to an RFP. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OCP and OCP provided requester with a response.

2018-054 Pardoe – request for records related to unsolved homicides known as “the Freeway Phantom murders” from the 1970’s. MPD’s decision was affirmed, because release of the records would interfere with an enforcement proceeding.

2018-055 Goel – Request for portions of a bidder certification form. Matter remanded to DGS to disclose some redacted portions, because parts were not protected by the commercial information exemption and because there was no personal privacy interest.

2018-056 Bruni – Request for a contract for animal control services. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OCP and OCP provided requester with a response.

2018-057 Austermuhle – Request for records submitted by District to Amazon. Matter remanded to DMPED, because the withheld record did not implicate the deliberative process privilege and because the release of the records would not cause commercial harm.

2018-058 Brown – Request for records relating to lead testing. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DC Water and DC Water provided requester with a response.

2018-059 Rueda – Request for records relating to inspection reports. DCRA’s decision was affirmed because it conducted an adequate search.

2018-060 Bruni - Request for records related to performance evaluations. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OCP and OCP provided requester with a response.

2018-061 Tucker – Request for records related to the soccer stadium at Buzzard Point. OCP amended its response to clarify that it did not maintain responsive record. OCP’s amended decision was affirmed.

2018-062 Briscoe – Request for records related to an ambulance pickup. FEMS decision was affirmed because the records were protected by HIPPA and requester had not provided adequate verification of identity.

2018-063 Schiano – Request for time cards of a detective. MPD’s decision was affirmed as the release of requested records would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

2018-064 Wilson – Request for records related to homeless encampment clearings. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from MPD and MPD provided requester with a response.

2018-065 Chapman – Request for DC Pre-K Enhancement and Expansion Program records. OSSE’s decision was affirmed, as it had conducted an adequate search.

2018-066 Rueda – Request for records of construction activity. Appeal suspended and then administratively closed.

2018-067 Barber – Request for COST communications. Appeal remanded to OAH to finish production of records.

2018-068 Sabio – Request for records relating to sexual harassment and discrimination complaints. OCFO’s decision was affirmed, because the release of the records would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

2018-069 Sabio - Request for records relating to sexual harassment and discrimination complaints. OCFO’s decision was affirmed, because the release of the records would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

2018-070 Householder – Request for contracts relating to internet access projects. Appeal was dismissed as moot, because OCTO responded to all categories of the request.

2018-071 Cryder – Request for records relating to interaction between OTR and RPTAC. Appeal remanded to OCFO, to complete an adequate search.

2018-072 Rodriguez – Request for records relating to rabies reports for cats. Matter remanded to DOH to complete its search.

2018-073 Horrell – Request for 911 calls. Appeal was withdrawn.

2018-074 Townsend – Request for employment records. Matter remanded to MPD to conduct an adequate search.

2018-075 Weinstein – Request for records related to a vacant building determination. Matter remanded to DCRA to release redacted records, because of the public interest in the records.

2018-076 Goel – Request for pricing records relating to a solicitation. DGS’s decision was affirmed because disclosure would reveal protected tax information and would reveal the deliberative process of the agency.

2018-077 Baranetsky – Request for records related to police escorts for foreign governments or dignitaries. Appeal found that the records did not implicate the identity of a confidential source. Matter remanded to MPD to complete its search and release non-exempt records.

2018-078 Garvie – Request for records related to facial recognition technology. Matter remanded to MPD to conduct an adequate search.

2018-079 Brown – Request for payment history of a specified address. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DCHA and DCHA provided requester with a response.

2018-080 Jablow – Request for records related to Fletcher-Johnson Middle School. Request found to have been constructively denied, matter remanded to DGS to complete its search.

2018-081 Christian – Request for information related to property tax credits. Matter remanded to OCFO to provide a fee estimate.

2018-082 Luce –Request for records related to the loss or destruction of pre-adoptive birth certificates. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DOH and DOH provided requester with a response.

2018-083 Mitchell – Request for autopsy report. OCME’s decision was affirmed because release of the records would have constituted a clearly unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

2018-084 Nani – Request for information related to property tax penalty. Matter remanded to OCFO to conduct an adequate search.

2018-085 Rodriguez – Request for animal control services contract. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DOH and DOH provided requester with a response.

2018-086 Rodriguez - Request for reports of animal control officers. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DOH and DOH provided requester with a response.

2018-087 Rodriguez - Request for financial spreadsheets related to an animal control services contract. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DOH and DOH provided requester with a response.

2018-088 Austermuhle – Request for non-disclosure agreement. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DMPED and DMPED provided requester with a response.

2018-089 Capler – Request for a statistic related to assaults. DOC’s decision was affirmed because DOC was not obligated to answer questions or create records.

2018-090 Havenstein – Request for internal affairs report. Requester agreed to request records through a non-FOIA process, and appeal was dismissed as moot.

2018-091 Lambert – Request for records detailing the number of sexual harassment complaints made by employees of the D.C. Lottery Board, and any resulting settlements. OCFO’s decision was affirmed, because it was not withholding any responsive records; further, OCFO was not obligated to answer questions.

2018-092 Stachewicz – Request for records related to a contract. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DCHA and DCHA provided requester with a response.

2018-093 Guzman – Request for records related to field audit manuals and training materials related to audits. The matter was affirmed in part and remanded in part – OTR did not adequately show the withheld records would allow for circumvention of law enforcement.

2018-094 Melvin – Request for Board of Nursing contract. Appeal dismissed, because requester changed request on appeal and DOH did not withhold records.

2018-095 Mulhauser – Request for implementation of the Death with Dignity Act of 2016. Matter remanded to DOH to conduct a search for aggregate tabulations.

2018-096 Brown – Request for letter of determination, hearing, and letter of notification. Matter found to be moot in part, and remanded in part to DCHA to conduct an adequate search.

2018-097 Bregman – Request for records relating to an altercation outside the Turkish ambassador’s residence. Appeal dismissed in part, and remanded in part to release records for persons no longer involved in an enforcement proceeding.

2018-098 Franzblau – Request for records of police activities on Inauguration Day. Matter remanded to MPD to conduct a search and produce non-exempt records.

2018-099 Austermuhle - Request for non-disclosure agreement. Matter remanded to DMPED to produce the NDA.

2018-100 Douglas – Request for records pertaining to itineraries of trainings. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from MPD and MPD provided requester with a response.

2018-101 Rueda – Appeal based on DCRA’s failure to respond was premature because DCRA was still within its time limit to respond.

2018-102 Rabinowitz – Request for records related to the Qualified High Tech Companies incentive program. DMPED’s decision was affirmed in part in regard to withholding internal talking point documents. DMPED’s decision was remanded in part to disclosure reasonably segregable portions of budget support act summaries.

2018-103 Lewis – Request for records identifying the current citizenship of the Director of the Office of Human Rights. DCHR’s decision was affirmed, because the release of the records would constitute an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy.

2018-104 Slomovitz - Request for records related to a vacant lot that was part of a tax sale process. OTR’s decision was affirmed in part in that portions of the responsive records were protected by the deliberative process, attorney-client, and attorney work product privileges. OTR’s decision was remanded in part to disclosure reasonably segregable portions of responsive records.

2018-105 Garvie - Request for records related to facial recognition technology. Matter remanded to MPD to continue disclosing additional responsive records identified following FOIA Appeal 2018-78.

2018-106 Douglas – Request for records related to a training in Israel. MPD’s decision to redact certain information to protect personal privacy was affirmed in part. MPD’s response was remanded to conduct an adequate search.

2018-107 Mulhauser – Request for body-worn camera footage. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because MPD was in the process of responding.

2018-108 Bruni – Request for records related to animal control correspondence. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because DOH reconsidered its denial and agreed to provide a response.

2018-109 Mackins-Free – Request for traffic camera footage. DDOT’s decision was affirmed because the camera at issue did not record footage; therefore, no response record existed.

2018-110 Johnson – Request for records pertaining to a 911 call. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because OUC provided the requester with responsive records following a subpoena.

2018-111 Hassan – Request for records related to construction permit documents. DCRA’s remand in part to conduct an adequate search for certain permit documents.

2018-112 Krynski - Request for records related to the “March for Our Lives” demonstration. DCRA’s response was affirmed because it conducted an adequate search and disclosed responsive records.

2018-113 Durkee – Request for records exchanged between DISB, Travelers Insurance Company, and the Office of the Attorney General in a particular case. DISB’s decision to redact certain information to protect personal privacy was affirmed in part. DISB’s decision was remanded in part because the records were not protected by the commercial information exemption.

2018-114 Rueda – Request for records related to a permit application. DCRA’s response was affirmed because it conducted an adequate search for the permit document at issue.

2018-115 Austermuhle - Request for records related to the District’s bid for Amazon’s second headquarters. Appeal was dismissed as moot because DMPED claimed it intended to respond to the request within the time frame permitted by DC FOIA.

2018-116 Patel – Request for records related to a property. DCRA’s response was affirmed because it conducted an adequate search and disclosed responsive records.

2018-117 Fitch – Request for transcripts and attendance records. Appeal was dismissed as moot because the appeal was based on a lack of response from UDC and UDC provided the requester with a response.

2018-118 Franzblau – Request for MPD’s activities on Inauguration Day, 2017. MPD’s decision to redact certain information to protect personal privacy was affirmed in part. MPD’s response was remanded in part to conduct an adequate search and because MPD did not demonstrate that the records at issue were investigatory records.

2018-119 Leckar – Request for correspondence between OAH employees and other individual. OAH’s response was affirmed in part because DC FOIA does not require the creation of a privilege log. OAH’s response was remanded in part to conduct an adequate search and to

disclose reasonably segregable portions of records withheld pursuant to the deliberative process privilege.

2018-120 Leckar – Request for certain records exchanged between the Mayor’s General Counsel and the Commission on Selection and Tenure. EOM’s decision was affirmed in part in the certain portions of responsive records were protected from disclosure pursuant the personal privacy exemption and the deliberative process and attorney-client privileges. EOM’s decision was in part because certain withheld information was not protected by the deliberative process and attorney-client privileges and to conduct an adequate search.

2018-121 Leckar - Request for certain records exchanged by the Chair of the Commission on Selection and Tenure. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from COST and COST provided requester with a denial that the requester could challenge by separate appeal.

2018-122 Moeser - Request for communications exchanged between the former Deputy Mayor for Education and the former Chancellor of the District of Columbia Public Schools. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DME and DME provided requester with a response.

2018-123 D'Angelo - Request for contract and bid information. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OCP and OCP provided requester with a response.

2018-124 Nirappil – Request for BWC footage of a traffic stop that resulted in the arrest of Councilmember Trayon White, Sr. MPD’s decision was affirmed on the basis that disclosure would be an unwarranted invasion of personal privacy interests.

2018-125 D'Angelo - Request for contract records. OCP’s response was affirmed because it conducted an adequate search for responsive records.

2018-126 Jones - Request for records related to an investigation. DCHA’s decision was affirmed in part because certain information was protected from disclosure to protect personal privacy interests and the deliberative process privilege. DCHA’s decision was remanded in part to disclose reasonably segregable portions of records withheld in their entirety.

2018-127 Christian – Request for records of uncashed checks. Appeal was withdrawn.

2018-128 Sawchuk – Request for records related to the “Moving to Work” plan. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because DCHA determined that it would provide additional records that were missing from its initial response.

2018-129 Austermuhle - Request for emails related to Amazon’s second headquarters. Appeal remanded to DMPED to disclose responsive emails on a rolling basis.

2018-130 Brown – Request for hearing transcripts. DCHA’s decision was affirmed, because transcripts did not exist and DCHA was not obligated to create new records.

2018-131 Austermuhle - Request for records related to the District's bid for Amazon's second headquarters. Appeal remanded to DMPED to disclose responsive records on a rolling basis.

2018-132 Barth – Request for performance ratings of MPD employees between FY2014 and FY2018. MPD's decision was affirmed in part because certain information was protected from disclosure to protect personal privacy interests. MPD's decision was remanded in part to disclose reasonably segregable portions of records withheld in their entirety.

2018-133 Mulhauser – Request for records related to facility surveys. The adequacy of PCSB's search was affirmed. PCSB's decision was remanded in part to disclose reasonably segregable portions of records withheld pursuant to the deliberative process privilege.

2018-134 Christian – Request for uncashed checks. Appeal was withdrawn.

2018-135 Bruni – Request for records related to a contract. Appeal remanded because OCP did not clearly demonstrate a basis for requiring advance payment of fees.

2018-136 Fleischer – Request for reports required by the Distracted Driving Safety Act of 2004. DDOT's decision was affirmed, because reports did not exist and DDOT was not obligated to create new records.

2018-137 Barth - Request for records related to policies and procedures of the Narcotics and Special Investigations Division. Appeal was remanded to MPD to conduct an adequate search.

2018-138 McAnney - Request for records pertaining to complaints made against a police officer. MPD's decision was affirmed on the basis of personal privacy interests.

2018-139 Khatri - Request for records related to a former UDC professor. UDC's decision was affirmed in part on the basis of personal privacy interests. UDC's decision was remanded in part to conduct an adequate search.

2018-140 Friedman - Request for records related to policing of the Black Lives Matter movement. MPD's response was affirmed because MPD conducted an adequate search.

2018-141 Cunningham - Request for a records related to a 911 call and a police report. MPD's response was affirmed because MPD conducted an adequate search.

2018-142 Galarraga – Request for records related to the procurement of janitorial services. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from UDC and UDC provided requester with a response.

2018-143 Douglas - Request for records related to an official's training in Israel. MPD's response was affirmed because MPD conducted an adequate search.

2018-144 Currey - Request for records related victims of sexual assault and simple assaults. MPD's response was affirmed because MPD conducted an adequate search.

2018-145 Knizhnik - Request for records related to interactions involving MPD officers. Appeal was remanded in part for MPD to release segregable portions of video footage.

2018-146 Goldchain - Request for records pertaining to arrests for “marijuana and other illegal drugs.” The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from MPD and MPD provided requester with a response.

2018-147 Da'Vage - Request for records of a cell phone’s call log. Appeal was affirmed because DCHA did not maintain the phone’s call log.

2018-148 Khatri - Request for records related to a former UDC professor. UDC’s decision was affirmed in part because it conducted an adequate search. UDC’s decision was remanded in part to disclose segregable portions of records withheld to protect personal privacy interests.

2018-149 Crumbley – Request for surveillance camera footage. Appeal was remanded to MPD to conduct an adequate search and disclose non-exempt portions of responsive records.

2018-150 Yafeu - Request for records related to training and job performance. Appeal remanded because MPD did not clearly demonstrate a basis for requiring advance payment of fees.

2018-151 Biello - Request for inspection and permit records. Appeal remanded in part for DCRA to conduct an adequate search for additional responsive records.

2018-152 Mulhauser - Request for records related to facility surveys. The adequacy of PCSB’s search was affirmed. Appeal was remanded to DME to conduct an adequate search.

2018-153 Barbin - Request for surveillance camera footage. Appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on MPD’s refusal to grant a fee waiver; however, MPD subsequently demonstrated that responsive records did not exist.

2018-154 Mulhauser - Request for records related to communications or meetings between the Urban Institute and PCSB. PCSB’s decision was remanded because there was not a protected personal privacy interest in the redacted information.

2018-155 Mullins - Request for records related to payment of property taxes. Appeal was remanded to OTR to release responsive records with redactions necessary to protect personal privacy interests.

2018-156 Spitzer - Request for records related MPD’s officer’s activities that resulted in fatalities. MPD’s decision was affirmed because disclosure the investigatory records could interfere with enforcement proceedings.

2018-157 Cunningham – Request for records related to a 911 call. OUC’s response was affirmed because the appeal was based on OUC’s failure to respond, but OUC demonstrated that it had disclosed the responsive recording and agreed to resend the recording.

2018-158 Santos – Appeal was based on OCP’s improper closure of a request. OCP’s response was affirmed because OPC demonstrated that it reassigned your request to the agency that would maintain documents responsive to your request.

2018-159 Moeser – Request for records related to pregnancy-related deaths. DOH’s decision was affirmed because the information was protected from disclosure by the Vital Records Act and DOH was not required to create a new record to respond to the request.

2018-160 Pridgen - Request for records related to the Senior Community Service Employment Program. Appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on DOES’s refusal to grant a fee waiver and the refusal did not constitute a denial of access to public in the context of FOIA.

2018-161 Jones – Request for investigative report prepared by law firm. Appeal was withdrawn.

2018-162 Watts – Request for records related to a 2004 court decision. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OEA and OEA provided requester with a response.

2018-163 Blocker – Request for stoplight sequencing timing of three stoplights. Appeal was withdrawn.

2018-164 Jablow – Request for records related to correspondence and contracts. The appeal was remanded to DME to continue disclosing responsive records on a rolling basis.

2018-165 Miller - Request for body-worn camera and dashboard camera footage. The appeal was remanded to MPD for failure to respond to the request timely.

2018-166 Martin - Request for records relating to an environmental impact statement. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from DGS and DGS provided requester with a response.

2018-167 Thurman - Request for records relating to citizen complaints against police officers. MPD’s decision was affirmed on the basis of personal privacy interests.

2018-168 Thurman - Request for records relating to citizen complaints against police officers. MPD’s decision was remanded in part to conduct an adequate search and disclose non-exempt responsive records.

2018-169 Watts - Request for records related to a 2004 court decision. OEA’s decision was affirmed, because the request did not reasonably describe a record for OEA to conduct an adequate search.

2018-170 Mulhauser - Request for records related to the response to an opinion from the Office of Open Government. The appeal was remanded to OCTO for failing to provide a response to the request.

2018-171 Trainum - Request for records related permits and inspections of construction. DCRA's decision was affirmed, as it had conducted an adequate search.

2018-172 Anaya - Request for records related to a traffic accident. Appeal was remanded to HSEMA, because HSEMA did not adequately demonstrate that disclosure of the investigatory records would interfere with law enforcement proceedings.

2018-173 Mulligan - Request for photographs. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from MPD and MPD agreed to provide requester with a response.

2018-174 Perloff – Request for video footage. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from MPD and MPD agreed to provide requester with a response.

2018-175 Uhar – Request for records related to a public space permit. DDOT's decision was affirmed, as it had conducted an adequate search.

2018-176 Jablow - Request for records related to a contract award. The appeal was dismissed as moot, because the appeal was based on a lack of response from OCP and OCP provided requester with a response.

Disclaimer: These synopses are intended to summarize D.C. FOIA appeal decisions. The decisions may be viewed in their entirety in the D.C. Register and through the District's FOIA website: <http://dc.gov/page/freedom-information-act-foia>